Central Washington University ScholarWorks@CWU

Faculty Senate Minutes

CWU Faculty Senate Archive

1-12-2011

CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 01/12/11

Janet Shields *Central Washington University,* senate@cwu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes

Recommended Citation

Shields, Janet, "CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 01/12/11" (2011). *Faculty Senate Minutes*. Paper 46. http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes/46

This Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the CWU Faculty Senate Archive at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU.

REGULAR MEETING Wednesday, January 12, 2011, 3:10 p.m. BARGE 412 Minutes

Senators: All senators or their alternates were present except: James Avey, Dorothy Chase, Jonathan Fassett, Rodrigo Murataya, Don Nixon, Ronald Tidd and Matthew Wilson

Visitors: Sheryl Grunden, Kirk Johnson, Roy Savoian, Connie Lambert, Marji Morgan and Patricia Cutright.

CHANGES TO AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA – Moved Old Business below Reports/Action Items.

MOTION NO. 10-11(Approved): APPROVAL OF MINUTES of December 1, 2010

COMMUNICATIONS – A letter was read from Paul Stayback, ASCWU Vice President for Academic Affairs regarding text books. The letter is on file in the Faculty Senate office.

John Swiney – Enrollment Management – John provided copies of the CWU Viewbook. He will provide an electronic copy of a PowerPoint presentation to the Senate office for distribution. Departments may stock their brochures at the Welcome Center to help increase their exposure to potential students. Central is doing a good job of attracting new students and retaining them. This year CWU enrolled 1,800 new freshman and 2,000 new transfer students as well as approximately 200 postbachs and 200 graduate students. We have been meeting enrollment targets for the past 2-1/2 years. Seniors are the most rapidly growing student group. King County is the primary source of our current student population, then Pierce, Snohomish, Yakima, Kittias and them Kitsap. CWU has two full-time staff on the west side of the state. One employee to specifically to work with potentially freshman and the other to work with transfer students. Central's admission index without review is 28. If a student applicant falls below 28 they go through a comprehensive review. Typically Central admitted the top 70% and admits approximately 30% by review. One of the goals is to increase the amount of merit financial aid to help attract top students to Central. Merit awards are current tired. Students with an admission index of 80 or above receive the Presidential award of \$3,000. The next level is the Trustee's award of students with GPAs of 3.5-3.9 received \$2,000. The Dean's award is students with admission index of 45-55.

FACULTY ISSUES: None

PRESIDENT: Out of town

PROVOST: Out of town

OLD BUSINESS

REPORTS/ACTION ITEMS

SENATE COMMITTEES:

Executive Committee:

Motion No. 10-12(Approved, 5 abstentions): "Endorsement of the Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEOI) forms as presented in Exhibit A." Senator Snedeker two forms Form C for skills classes and Form E Visual and Performing SEOI forms.

Academic Affairs Committee: The committee has not met.

Bylaw and Academic Code Committee: Senator Rawlinson reported that the committee met and has forwarded a couple of recommendations to Executive Committee. The committee will meet again February 7th to continue discussing committee charges.

Curriculum Committee: Senator Lori Braunstein reported that the committee is working on curriculum proposals.

Evaluation and Assessment: Senate Snedeker reported that the committee will meet tomorrow.

General Education: Senator Čuljak reported the committee is working on curriculum proposals as well the continuation of a reform proposal

Faculty Legislative Representative: No report.

CHAIR: Chair Brandsorfer reminded Senators that the Registrar has created grade reports through Safari. These reports allow faculty to compare course GPAs by class and department. Faculty can review how their course GPAs compares with other sections of the same course. He encouraged faculty to take advantage of these reports. The Academic Affairs Committee has not met due to a number of reasons. The Provost's Academic Affairs Council no longer exists and has left little voice for faculty, ADCO, exempt and classified staff representatives to give input into academic policy. Chair Bransdorfer asked that Senators go back to their departments and have a discussion regarding the academic calendar. Would like them to pose the question on what they feel can be improved with the current system. Rodney will collect all the responses and provide the feedback to the Provost.

CHAIR-ELECT: No report

STUDENT REPORT: Cam reported there has been a request sent to the Faculty Senate requesting names for a faculty representative to the Student Academic Senate. Chair Bransdorfer reported this information has been sent to Paul Stayback. Paul Stayback is working with the SEOI Task Force on the new SEOI. Students have concerns that if the SEOIs are put online and require students to fill them out prior to receiving their grades, it will potentially cause unintended consequences for students.

NEW BUSINESS - None

Meeting was adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

Exhibit A

CENTRAL	STUDENT EVALAUTION	Form C 2010 Skills
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY	OF INSTRUCTION	Form C ZUIU Skills

• Use a #2 pencil to make dark, solid marks • For each question mark ONE answer only and erase errors completely

Course: _____ Time of day:

1. STUDENT LEARNING ENVIRONMENT. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
a. instructor fostered a fair and respectful learning environment?	0	0	0	0	0		
b. instructor seemed genuinely concerned with whether students learned?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. standards of classroom behavior were clearly communicated and enforced?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. instructor met the class at scheduled times unless otherwise arranged?	0	0	0	0	0		
	Yes	No					
e. Did you seek help from the instructor outside of class during the	0	0					

e. Did you seek help from the instructor outside of class during the course?	0	0			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
f. If YES , was the instructor available to provide help?	0	0	0	0	0

g. Please provide additional comments on the areas addressed in Section 1 above.

2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagre e	Strongly disagree		
a. course objectives were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	0		
b. instructor made clear the specific skills I needed to acquire?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. instructor explained rationales for the skills I was expected to acquire?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. the required skills were presented in a way that allowed me to learn?	0	0	0	0	0		
e. instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear?	0	0	0	0	0		
f. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained?	0	0	0	0	0		
g. instructions for class activities were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	0		
h. tests were connected to course content?	0	0	0	0	0		
i. instructor provided useful feedback on student work (e.g., identifying strengths or deficiencies in skill acquisition)?	0	0	0	0	0		
j. instructor provided timely feedback on student progress?	0	0	0	0	0		
k. class sessions were well organized?	0	0	0	0	0		
I. coursework was useful in understanding course content?	0	0	0	0	0		
m. opportunities to practice what was learned were adequate?	0	0	0	0	0		
n. instructor encouraged students to connect course content to issues beyond the university classroom?	0	0	0	0	0		
o. course activities challenged students to think critically?	0	0	0	0	0		

p. Please provide additional comments for the areas addressed in Section 2 above.

GENERAL INFORMATION

					N A	Mone the		1 0 0 0 1	N 4 I- I
					Much more than most	More than most	About	Less than most	Much le
					courses?	courses?	average?	courses?	course
amount of wor	k OUTSI	DE of cla	SS		0	0	0	0	0
level of engage	ement/ac	tive learn	ning IN	class	0	0	0	0	0
intellectual cha			-		0	0	0	0	0
Please provide	e additior	nal comm	ents fo	r the areas a	ddressed in Section 3 abo	ove.		<u>.</u>	
	ducting	g resea			outside of class did ing homework or la				
O 0 (nc	o) hrs/wk		O 1-:	3 hrs/wk	O 4-6 hrs/wk	O 7-10 hrs/w	ĸ		
O 11-1	5 hrs/wk		O 16	-20 hrs/wk	O 21+ hrs/wk				
		e this c	ourse		mark all that apply.	I.			
Major require				□ Minor red					
Certificate rec					eneral Education requirer	nent			
Reputation of General intere		۱۲		□ Time of o	bay				
What is yo			-						
O First	year (0 -	44 credit	:s)	O Sop	phomore (45 - 89 credits)	O Junior (90 - 134 credits	5)	
O Seni	or (135 o	or more cr	edits)	O Gra	duate	O Other (e.g. post-baccal	aureate)	
What grad	le do y	ou exp	ect to	earn in th	nis class?				
0 A	ОВ	oc.	ΟD			.)			
0 A	ОВ	00	00	0 F	O Other (Pass/Fail, etc	5.)			

• Use a #2 pencil to make dark, solid marks • For each question mark ONE answer only and erase errors completely

Course: _____

or: _____ Time of day:

1. STUDENT LEARNING ENVIRONMENT. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
a. instructor fostered a fair and respectful learning environment?	0	0	0	0	0		
b. instructor seemed genuinely concerned with whether students learned?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. standards of student/class behavior were clearly communicated and enforced?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. instructor met the class as scheduled/arranged?	0	0	0	0	0		
	Yes	No					
e. Did you seek help from the instructor outside of class during the	0	0					

course?	Ŭ	Ŭ			
	Strongly				Strongly
	agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	disagree
f. If YES , was the instructor available to provide help?	0	0	0	0	0
			•	-	

g. Please provide additional comments on the areas addressed in Section 1 above.

2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagre e	Strongly disagree		
a. course objectives were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	0		
b. overall course content/rehearsal schedule followed an understandable sequence?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to satisfy course objectives?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. student responsibilities for practice/studio/rehearsals were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	0		
e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained?	0	0	0	0	0		
f. instructor made efficient use of studio/rehearsal time?	0	0	0	0	0		
g. instructor provided useful feedback on student performance?	0	0	0	0	0		
h. instructor provided timely feedback on student progress?	0	0	0	0	0		
i. instructor assisted your artistic development?	0	0	0	0	0		
j. instructor encouraged students to connect course content to issues beyond the university classroom?	0	0	0	0	0		
k. course activities challenged students to think critically?	0	0	0	0	0		

I. Please provide additional comments for the areas addressed in Section 2 above.

GENERAL INFORMATION

3. How would you compare this course with all or at this level (i.e., 100, 200, 300, etc.) taken at CWU			ng Arts cou	rses of sim	ilar credits
	Much more than most courses?	More than most courses?	About average?	Less than most courses?	Much less than most courses?
a. amount of work OUTSIDE of class/rehearsal	0	0	0	0	0
b. level of engagement/active learning IN class/rehearsal	0	0	0	0	0
c. intellectual challenge presented to you	0	0	0	0	0
 d. Please provide additional comments for the areas addressed 4. For this class, about how many hours outside rehearsing, studying, reading, conducting resear 	of class did	l you spend i			practicing,
O 0 (no) hrs/wk O 1-3 hrs/wk O 4-	6 hrs/wk + hrs/wk	O 7-10 hrs/wk			
□ Certificate requirement □ Reputa □ General interest □ Time of □ Other?	equirement tion of instructo				
6. What is your class standing?					
O First year (0 - 44 credits) O Sophomore (-	45 - 89 credits)	O Junior (90 - 134 credits	.)	
O Senior (135 or more credits) O Graduate		O Other (e	.g. post-baccal	aureate)	
7. What grade do you expect to earn in this class	s?				
OA OB OC OD OF OOthe	er (Pass/Fail, et				
8. Please provide any additional comments about effectiveness, course materials, classroom facilit			or (e.g., inst		