Central Washington University ScholarWorks@CWU

Faculty Senate Minutes

CWU Faculty Senate Archive

11-3-2010

CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 11/03/10

Janet Shields
Central Washington University, senate@cwu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes

Recommended Citation

Shields, Janet, "CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 11/03/10" (2010). Faculty Senate Minutes. Paper 53. http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes/53

 $This \ Meeting \ Minutes \ is \ brought \ to \ you \ for \ free \ and \ open \ access \ by \ the \ CWU \ Faculty \ Senate \ Archive \ at \ Scholar Works @CWU. \ It \ has \ been \ accepted \ for \ inclusion \ in \ Faculty \ Senate \ Minutes \ by \ an \ authorized \ administrator \ of \ Scholar Works @CWU.$

REGULAR MEETING Wednesday, November 3, 2010, 3:10 p.m. BARGE 412 Minutes

Senators: All senators or their alternates were present except: Kelly Benson, Jonathan Fassett, Ralf Greenwald, Ronald Tidd, Steve Wagner and Matthew Wilson.

Visitors: Sheryl Grunden, Teresa Sloan, Natalie Crump, Wendy Ritteriser and Dennis Defa

CHANGES TO AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA - Agenda approved.

MOTION NO. 10-05(Approved): APPROVAL OF MINUTES of October 6, 2010

Faculty Retirement Plan – Wendy Ritteriser gave a short presentation on some of the changes that are happening with the faculty and exempt employee retirement programs. The changes are being done because of changes in federal regulations. The proposal is being vetted through different groups. Next fall faculty will receive information on the changes and will make their investment decisions. There is additional information on the Human Resources website. It currently can only be accessed on campus.

COMMUNICATIONS - none

FACULTY ISSUES: Senator Gray reported that students in English 101 have been complaining about having difficulties studying because of the amount of drinking and noise on campus. She mentioned that students distinguish between wet and dry dorms. Provost Quirk explained that anyone under 21 is not allowed to have alcohol on campus. The University has banned alcohol energy drinks on campus. President Gaudino will be this situation up with the resident dorm advisors.

Senator Glasby asked the President to confirm or deny that athletics base budget was increased by \$225,000 this year. This is more than was provided for faculty merit or faculty salary compression. President Gaudino explained that the athletic budget has been under funded for a number of years and money has been backfilled by the President each year. Increasing athletics base budget just reflects more clearly what is actually being spent and not hiding those expenses. President Gaudino, after looking at athletics budget, realized that students and coaches were being put in unsafe situations when traveling and players were not receiving their full meal per diem in some cases. Senator Kovalerchuk asked if departments like the Purchasing office could be asked to come and speak at a Senate meeting.

PRESIDENT: President Gaudino reported two new hires on campus. The first is George Clark who has accepted the Vice President of Business and Financial Affairs/Chief Financial Officer who will arrive on campus on January 10th. Mr. Clark will be on campus between now and then attending some meetings. The Foundation board has hired Forest Rogers as their Executive Director. This position is fully funded by the Foundation. Mr. Rogers started the job on Monday. Student Affairs is getting close to issuing the bonds for the Barto reconstruction. The Board of Trustees should give the go ahead tomorrow. Assuming everything is approved; demolition should start in December with construction starting in the spring. President Gaudino thanked the individuals who have agreed to be on the Strategic plan steering committee. Amy Hoover and Tracy Pellett will serve as co-chairs of the committee. The President stressed that this is not a trivial exercise and asked that the university committee participate in the process. The new strategic plan will have ties to the NWCCU accreditation, budgeting and assessment. The committee hopes to have the main vision and goals by the end of this year. Next year individual departments will work on putting their plans together.

PROVOST: Provost Quirk commented that the committee felt it did not have enough faculty representation, so he is working on fixing that. The results from the election will have an impact on state level budgets. The failure of the income tax proposal and the repeal of other taxes will noticed during the legislative session in regards to budgets. The next state economic forecast is due out November 18th. Senator Jackson asked when departments might know about tuition waivers. The Provost indicated that the committee has been reconfigured and is meeting for the first time this month. They are working to get the information out to the deans as soon as possible. Senator Gray asked about enrollment data information the Provost indicated would be sent out after the last Senate meeting. That information will be forwarded by the Faculty Senate office.

OLD BUSINESS - None

REPORTS/ACTION ITEMS

SENATE COMMITTEES:

Executive Committee:

Motion No. 10-06(Approved): "Ratification of the appointment of lan Loverro and Therese Young to the Bylaw & Academic Code Committee."

Motion No. 10-07(Approved): "Endorsement of the Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEOI) forms as presented in Exhibit A."

Motion No. 10-08(Approved): "Approval of the 2011-12 regular Faculty Senate meetings as follows: Fall Quarter - October 5, November 2, November 30; Winter Quarter - January 11, February 8, March 7; Spring Quarter - April 4, May 2, May 30."

Academic Affairs Committee: No report

Bylaw and Academic Code Committee: No report

Curriculum Committee: Senator Lori Braunstein reported that the Curriculum Committee is looking at the catalog from the student's perspective. One issue is helping the students know how many total credits they have to take and that it is consistent from major to major. If a degree requires the student to take the professional education sequence, that needs to be listed in a consistent manner. The committee is also looking at a policy that was approved last spring. The policy is too vague and would be more confusing than it would help. The committee is looking at making changes to that. The committee is also looking at the departments that are going for program review and looking at their catalog copy for their department to make sure everything is up-to-date. The committee is currently beta testing a new online curriculum form process.

Evaluation and Assessment: Senator Snedeker reported that the committee is waiting for a charge.

General Education: Senator Čuljak reported that the committee has met twice. There has been mixed feedback on the draft proposal from last year. Toni is looking at the various iterations of the program proposals over the past 7 years and looking at learner outcomes. The committee is hoping to have a proposal for beta testing by the end of the academic year.

Faculty Legislative Representative: No report

CHAIR: The Shared Governance Task Force is completing their final report to the President. Hope to articulate better shared governance and redefine the role of the Faculty Senate. One of the main pushes is getting more faculty/administration collaboration at various levels. Chair Bransdorfer asked the Senators if they would be willing to invite some of the vice presidents and deans to the Faculty Senate meetings. They would be ex-officio, non-voting members. A straw poll was taken and it was agreed to invite administrators to the Faculty Senate meetings. The Provost search is progressing. The committee has narrowed down the field to four candidates. The forums will probably be the week before and the week after Thanksgiving. Please make sure you participate in these forum opportunities. The Distinguished Faculty award nominations are due to the Faculty Senate office by 5:00 p.m. December 1st.

CHAIR-ELECT: Thanks to the committee that has been working on the provost search. There have been many applicant materials to go through.

STUDENT REPORT: Cam Garlock gave a report for the students. The Student Academic Senate is trying to be more proactive and not just a group to allocate money. There are a number of departments that still need senators if you know students who would be interested. One of the issues they are looking at this year is the time to degree. It is hard for a lot of students to graduate in four years because of required course overlaps. The Student Academic Senate is in need of two faculty advisors if any Senator is interested. Steven Robison, Art department, volunteered. Still need one more volunteer.

NEW BUSINESS - None

Meeting was adjourned at 4:52 p.m.

Exhibit A

CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNVIERSITY

STUDENT EVALAUTION OF INSTRUCTION

Form D 2010 Lab Instruction

• Use a #2 pencil to make dark, solid marks • For each question mark C	NE answer	only and	Clase Cli	oro compic	,
Course: Instructor:			Time of o	day:	
1. STUDENT LEARNING ENVIRONMENT. To what extent do y	ou agree	or disag	ree that	the	
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
a. instructor fostered a fair and respectful learning environment?	0	0	0	O	O
b. instructor seemed genuinely concerned with whether students learned?	0	0	0	0	0
c. standards of classroom behavior were clearly communicated and enforced?	0	0	0	0	0
d. instructor met class at scheduled times unless otherwise arranged?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	T	_		
a. Did yay analy hala funas the instructor outside of along during the	Yes	No	_		
 e. Did you seek help from the instructor outside of class during the course? 	0	0			
	Strongly	Agroo	Noutral	Diogram	Strongly
f. If YES , was the instructor available to provide help?	agree O	Agree	Neutral O	Disagree O	disagree
The Fig. , was the monaster available to provide help.					
g. Please provide additional comments on the areas addressed in #1.					
g. Flease provide additional comments on the areas addressed in #1.					
g. Flease provide additional comments on the areas addressed in #1.					
2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do y	ou agree	or disag	ree that	the	
	Strongly			the Disagre e	Strongly
	Strongly agree	or disag Agree O	ree that Neutral O	Disagre	Strongly disagree O
2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do y a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated?	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagre e	disagree
2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do y a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear?	Strongly agree	Agree O	Neutral O	Disagre e O	disagree O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions?	Strongly agree O O O	Agree O O O	Neutral O O O	Disagre e O O O O	disagree O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained?	Strongly agree O O O O O	Agree O O O	Neutral O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful?	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work?	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress?	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory exercises? k. safety procedures were communicated and enforced (when	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Neutral	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory exercises?	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Neutral	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory exercises? k. safety procedures were communicated and enforced (when appropriate)? l. out-of-class work was useful in understanding course content? m. instructor encouraged students to connect lab content to issues	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Neutral	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory exercises? k. safety procedures were communicated and enforced (when appropriate)? l. out-of-class work was useful in understanding course content? m. instructor encouraged students to connect lab content to issues beyond the university classroom?	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Disagre e OO	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
a. course objectives were clearly communicated? b. objectives for each lab were clearly communicated? c. lab instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear? d. lab instructor was prepared for lab sessions? e. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained? f. answers to student questions were meaningful? g. lab instructor provided useful feedback on student work? h. lab instructor provided timely feedback on student progress? i. lab sessions applied material taught in lecture (when applicable)? j. equipment and supplies were appropriate for assigned laboratory exercises? k. safety procedures were communicated and enforced (when appropriate)? l. out-of-class work was useful in understanding course content? m. instructor encouraged students to connect lab content to issues	Strongly agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Agree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Neutral O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Disagre e O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	disagree O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O

GENERAL INFORMATION					
3. How would you compare this course with all of 300, etc.) taken at CWU? Was the?	other course	es of similar o	redits at th	is level (i.e.,	100, 200,
	Much more than most courses?	More than most courses?	About average?	Less than most courses?	Much less than most courses?
a. amount of work OUTSIDE of class	0	0	0	0	0
b. level of engagement/active learning IN class	0	0	0	0	0
c. intellectual challenge presented to you	0	0	0	0	0
d. Please provide additional comments for the areas addressed					
4. For this class, about how many hours outside reading, conducting research, writing, doing hother academic activities?					
O 0 (no) hrs/wk O 1-3 hrs/wk O 4	-6 hrs/wk	O 7-10 hrs/wł	<		
O 11-15 hrs/wk O 16-20 hrs/wk O 2	?1+ hrs/wk				
5. Why did you take this course? Please mark a	all that apply	<i>1</i> .			
	of day	ition requirement			
	(45 - 89 credits)	O Junior (90 - 134 credit	٥)	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(45 - 69 Credits)	•		•	
O Senior (135 or more credits) O Graduate		O Other (e	e.g. post-bacca	ilaureate)	
7. What grade do you expect to earn in this class	ss?				
OA OB OC OD OF OOth	ner (Pass/Fail, e	tc.)			
8. Please provide any additional comments abo effectiveness, course materials, classroom facil		e or instructo	or (e.g., ins	tructor's tea	ching

CENTRAL
WASHINGTON UNVIERSITY

STUDENT EVALAUTION OF INSTRUCTION

Form **W** 2010

Online Instruction

1. STUDENT LEARNING ENVIRONMENT. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
a. instructor fostered a fair and respectful learning environment?	0	0	0	0	0		
b. instructor seemed genuinely concerned with whether students learned?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. standards of online behavior were clearly communicated and enforced?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. the organization and design of the online environment were conducive to learning?	0	0	0	0	0		
e. instructor was actively engaged in the class?	0	0	0	0	0		

	Yes	No			
f. Did you seek help from the instructor during the course?	0	0			
	Strongly				Strongly
	agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	disagree
g. If YES, did the instructor provide help?	0	0	0	0	0

h. Please provide an	y additional	comments on t	the areas	addressed in #1.
----------------------	--------------	---------------	-----------	------------------

2. TEACHING FOR STUDENT LEARNING. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagre e	Strongly disagree		
a. course objectives were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	Ŏ		
b. overall course content was presented in an understandable sequence?	0	0	0	0	0		
c. instructor used a variety of methods, as needed, to make content clear?	0	0	0	0	0		
d. the instructor used online technologies to facilitate interaction among students and with instructor?	0	0	0	0	0		
e. assignments and tests were connected to course content?	0	0	0	0	0		
f. evaluation and grading techniques were clearly explained?	0	0	0	0	0		
g. instructions for class activities were clearly communicated?	0	0	0	0	0		
h. instructor provided useful feedback on student work?	0	0	0	0	0		
i. instructor provided timely feedback on student progress?	0	0	0	0	0		
j. online activities were well organized?	0	0	0	0	0		
k. work completed off-line was useful in understanding course content?	0	0	0	0	0		
I. instructor encouraged students to connect course content to issues beyond the university classroom?	0	0	0	0	0		
m. course activities challenged students to think critically?	0	0	0	0	0		

n. Please provide any	additional comments	for the areas addressed	ın #2.

3. INFORMATION ON ONLINE CLASS TECHNOLOGY

a. How many online courses have you taken I	before this cou	rse?			
O None					
O 1 to 2 O 3 to 4					
O More than 4					
b. How strongly do you agree that the techno	logies used in t	this course w	ere reliable	?	
O Strongly agree					
O Agree					
O Neutral O Disagree					
O Strongly disagree					
			1		
c. Which online technologies used in this class	ss were most u	seful for you	r learning?		
GENERAL INFORMATION					
4. How would you compare this course with a	all other course	s of similar c	radite at thi	s level (i e	100 200
300, etc.) taken at CWU? Was the?	in other course	3 Or Sillillar C	reuns at tim	S level (i.e.,	100, 200,
,	Much				
	more than	More than		Less than	Much less
	most	most	About	most	than most
a. amount of work OUTSIDE of online environment	courses?	courses?	average?	courses?	courses?
b. level of engagement/active learning while IN the			_	_	
online environment	0	0	0	0	0
c. intellectual challenge presented to you	0	0	0	0	0
d. Please provide additional comments for the areas a	addressed in #4.				
5. For this class, about how many hours outs		•	7 •		
reading, conducting research, writing, doing	homework or la	ab work, anal	yzing data,	rehearsing,	and other
academic activities and participating online?					
O (no) hrs/wk O 1-3 hrs/wk	O 4-6 hrs/wk	O 7-10 hrs/wł	(
O 11-15 hrs/wk O 16-20 hrs/wk	O 21+ hrs/wk				
6. Why did you take this course? Please man		'.			
	nor requirement Ifills General Educa	tion requirement			
	fered Online	lion requirement			
☐ General interest ☐ Oth	her?				
7. What is your class standing?					
-	ore (45 - 89 credits)	O Junior (90 - 134 credits)	
O Senior (135 or more credits) O Graduate		•	e.g. post-baccal	•	
O Graduate	•		g. posi-baccai	adioalo <i>j</i>	
8. What grade do you expect to earn in this c	lass?				
OA OB OC OD OF O	Other (Pass/Fail, et	c.)			

9. Please provide any additional comments about the course or instructor (e.g., instructor's teaching effectiveness, course materials, online technologies, etc.).					