Kinematics and Vorticity in Kangmar Dome, Southern Tibet: Testing Midcrustal Channel-flow Models for the Himalaya

Tom Wagner
Central Washington University

Jeffrey Lee
Central Washington University, jeff@geology.cwu.edu

Bradley R. Hacker
University of California - Santa Barbara

Gareth Seward
University of California - Santa Barbara

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac

Part of the Geology Commons, Geomorphology Commons, and the Tectonics and Structure Commons

Recommended Citation

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of the Sciences at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Scholarship for the College of the Sciences by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@cwu.edu.
Kinematics and vorticity in Kangmar Dome, southern Tibet: Testing midcrustal channel flow models for the Himalaya
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[1] Kinematic, kinematic vorticity ($W_m$), and deformation temperature analyses were completed to test the hypothesis that midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of the Kangmar gneiss dome, southern Tibet record ductile deformation patterns of a “frozen” segment of a southward flowing midcrustal channel. Microscopic and mesoscopic kinematic indicators exhibit a downward transition from a subequal mix of top–north and top–south shear in garnet zone rocks to dominantly top–north shear in staurolite/kyanite zone and deeper rocks. Kinematic vorticity values indicate an increase in pure shear component with depth from ~48% pure shear in chloritoid zone rocks through ~62% in garnet zone to staurolite/kyanite zone rocks to ~68% pure shear in an orthogneiss, the deepest exposed rocks. Deformation temperatures inferred from grain-scale microstructures and quartz lattice preferred orientations increase from ~300°C–400°C in chloritoid zone rocks to ≥600°C in the deepest exposed rocks. These temperatures are equivalent to temperatures derived from garnet–biotite thermobarometry, indicating that $W_m$ was recorded during peak metamorphism. This ductile deformation zone was cut by the brittle southern Tibetan detachment system (STDS) that juxtaposed metasedimentary rocks upon the orthogneiss. On the basis of these relations, midcrustal rocks in the core of Kangmar Dome record: (1) general shear (vertical thinning and N–S horizontal extension) with a component of top–north shear during peak metamorphism within a ductile shear zone corresponding to the northern and deeper portion of the STDS, (2) an increase in pure shear with structural depth, a consequence of an increase in lithostatic load, and (3) displacement of the high-temperature shear zone by the brittle STDS. Our data are compatible with the deformation patterns predicted for the top part of a southward flowing midcrustal channel. Citation: Wagner, T., J. Lee, B. R. Hacker, and G. Seward (2010), Kinematics and vorticity in Kangmar Dome, southern Tibet: Testing midcrustal channel flow models for the Himalaya, Tectonics, 29, TC6011, doi:10.1029/2010TC002746.

1. Introduction

[2] The N–S collision between the Indian and Asian plates since the Eocene has resulted in impressive crustal shortening and vertical thickening in the Himalayan orogen. Yet, normal slip, parallel to convergence, along the north dipping southern Tibetan detachment system (STDS) [e.g., Burg and Chen, 1984; Burchfiel et al., 1992] has been important within this orogenic belt since at least the early Miocene [e.g., Godin et al., 2006, and references therein], and possibly as early as the late Eocene/early Oligocene [Lee and Whitehouse, 2007] (Figures 1 and 2a). A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain normal slip along the STDS. Early models suggested that a wedge of midcrustal material, the Greater Himalayan sequence (GHS) located in the footwall of the STDS and hanging wall of the Main Central thrust (MCT), was extruded southward by gravitational collapse in response to the extreme topographic gradient along the southern margin of the Himalayan orogen [e.g., Burchfiel and Royden, 1985; Royden and Burchfiel, 1987] (Figures 1 and 2a). Vorticity and deformation temperature data from the base of the GHS in the Sutlej Valley, NW India, led Grasemann et al. [1999] and Vannay and Grasemann [2001] to propose that both simple shear and general shear characterized flow within the extruding wedge, with simple shear deformation concentrated along the boundaries of the wedge grading through general shear to pure shear extrusion of the center of the wedge.

[3] A combination of field, microstructural, and quartz petrofabric data from the lower portion of the GHS exposed in Bhutan led Grujic et al. [1996, 2002] to postulate a model whereby a layer or channel of midcrustal material (the GHS) bounded above and below by the normal-slip STDS and thrust-slip MCT, respectively, extended northward beneath southern Tibet for at least 200 km. In this model, midcrustal ductile channel flow was characterized by Poiseuille flow or a combination of Poiseuille and Couette flow (Figure 2b). Poiseuille (or parabolic) flow develops between stationary rigid plates in which a plate-boundary–parallel gradient in pressure produces the highest velocities in the center of the channel and decreasing velocities and opposite shear senses for the top and bottom of the channel. Poiseuille flow is characterized by high vorticity number (simple shear) at the top and bottom of the channel, and no deformation at the center of the channel. Couette (or linear) flow develops between rigid plates moving relative to one another and is characterized by a linear velocity field with the highest...
velocities toward the top or bottom of the channel, and simple shear (high vorticity number) across the channel [e.g., White, 1974] (Figure 2b). Building on these ideas, and incorporating a wealth of geophysical and geological data from the Himalayan orogeny, Beaumont et al. [2001, 2004, 2006] developed a set of transient, plane strain, coupled thermal-mechanical finite element models in which the GHS represents a hot, low-viscosity midcrustal channel that extruded southward from beneath southern Tibet toward the orogenic front during N-S convergence (Figures 2a and 2c). Flow began after the crust had been tectonically thickened and the midcrust had experienced a reduction in viscosity due radiogenic heating or an increase in mantle heat flux. In this model, flow and extrusion of the low-viscosity tabular body of midcrust is driven by a subhorizontal gravitational potential energy gradient developed as a consequence of the topographic and crustal thickness differences between the Tibetan Plateau and its margins, and focused erosion along the southern flank of the high Himalaya [e.g., Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004; Hodges et al., 2001]. The low-viscosity channel is bounded above and below by normal-sense (STDS) and thrust-sense (MCT) shear zones, respectively, that separate the channel from more viscous material above and below [Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004]. This model predicts that both a plate-boundary-parallel pressure gradient and underthrusting will lead to a combination of Poiseuille and Couette flow, or hybrid flow, within the channel (Figure 2b).

[5] To test the predictions of these models, kinematic, vorticity, and deformation temperature studies of the GHS were completed in the Sutlej Valley [Grasemann et al., 1999], on the Everest massif [Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006] in Bhutan [Carosi et al., 2006, 2007], and in Nepal [Larson and Godin, 2009] (Figure 1). The results indicate a deformation pattern characterized by top-north simple shear at the top, just below the STDS, an increasing component of pure shear with depth, and dominantly top-south general shear toward the base above the MCT, a pattern consistent with that predicted by channel flow models (Figure 3a). However, Law et al. [2004] and Jessup et al. [2006] noted that the midcrustal rocks exposed on Everest might record multiple stages of a ductile deformation history that were subsequently structurally juxtaposed.

[6] Investigations similar in scale and scope to those on the Everest massif were completed on midcrustal rocks exposed in Mabja Dome, one of the North Himalayan gneiss domes (Figure 1) [Langille et al., 2010]. Midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of these domes are proposed to represent exhumed portions of the GHS [e.g., Searle et al., 2003; Beaumont et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007]. The midcrustal rocks in Kangmar Dome (Figure 1) are composed of a ductile shear zone system that deforms the entire midcrustal sequence [Beaumont et al., 2004]. This shear system is composed of an upper STDS and a lower MCT that separate the midcrustal sequence above and below the midcrustal channel [Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004]. The shear system above the midcrustal channel is composed of a series of minor shear zones that are separated by low-angle cliniform fabric domains [Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004]. The shear system below the midcrustal channel is composed of a single major shear zone that is characterized by a strong foliation and a well-developed reverse sense of shear [Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004].
Figure 2. (a) Cross-sectional view of the central Himalayan orogeny showing major tectonic features. GHS, Greater Himalayan Sequence; GKT, Gyirong-Kangmar Thrust; LHS, Lesser Himalayan Sequence; MBT, Main Boundary Thrust; MCT, Main Central Thrust; MFT, Main Frontal Thrust; STDS, southern Tibetan detachment system. Dashed box shows location of Figure 2b. After Lee et al. [2000] and Beaumont et al. [2001]. (b) Schematic diagram showing Poiseuille, Couette, and combined Couette-Poiseuille flow (hybrid flow). Predicted velocity profiles (double-barbed black arrows) and kinematics (paired single-barbed arrows). After Gruijc et al. [2002], Beaumont et al. [2004], and Langille et al. [2010]. (c) Schematic cross section showing channel flow modeled isotherms and proposed locations of the Greater Himalayan Sequence (GHS) exposed on Mount Everest and middle crustal rocks exposed in the core of Mabja and Kangmar domes prior to exhumation. Paired single-barbed arrows indicate relative sense of motion across faults and sense of shear; double-barbed arrows show velocity profile; large bold arrows indicate direction of flow and exhumation of the GHS. After Beaumont et al. [2004], Godin et al. [2006], and Langille et al. [2010].
2007; Cottle et al., 2009] and are closer to the presumed source of the flowing channel. Midcrustal rocks from Mabja Dome record a mix of top-north and top-south shear and ~40–50% pure shear at the highest structural levels, changing with structural depth to solely top-south shear and ~50–65% pure shear at the deepest structural levels (Figure 3b) [Langille et al., 2010]. Langille et al. [2010] interpreted this pattern of ductile deformation as the result of a hybrid flow regime, defined by a combination of Poiseuille and Couette flow, and flow reversal.

To further test the channel flow model and to document the spatial distribution of ductile deformation patterns across southern Tibet, we report results from detailed investigations of the spatial and temporal distribution of kinematics, deformation temperatures, and vorticity in midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Kangmar Dome, located ~150 km east of Mabja Dome and ~75 km north of the high Himalaya (Figure 1). Kangmar Dome exposes midcrustal rocks from ~15 to 25 km depth for which the structural, metamorphic, and geochronologic evolution have been well documented [Burg et al., 1984; Chen et al., 1990; Lee et al., 2000, 2002]. Our results show that ductile deformation in these midcrustal rocks was characterized by general shear: combined pure shear (vertical thinning and north-south horizontal extension) and top-north simple shear. This pattern of ductile deformation likely occurred within a ductile shear zone that was the northern and deeper continuation of the brittle normal-slip STDs. This shear zone was subsequently cut by the brittle STDs. Our results, combined with similar data sets from the GHS and from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vorticity Method</th>
<th>GHS Transect Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rigid Grain</td>
<td>Jessup et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Law et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain Ratio</td>
<td>Carosi et al., 2006, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grasemann et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larson and Godin, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Graphs of vorticity estimates versus structural depth for (a) the GHS and (b) Mabja Dome. Vorticity data from the GHS are plotted relative to the Main Central Thrust (MCT) and southern Tibetan detachment system (STDS) and from Mabja Dome are plotted with reference to structural depth below the chloritoid-in isograd (see Figure 1 for study locations). Single-barbed arrows show relative sense of motion across shear zones. Large, dashed gray rectangle in Figure 3a indicates the range in vorticity and approximate relative structural position of middle crustal rocks exposed in Mabja Dome. Abbreviations are ctd, chloritoid; gar, garnet; ky, kyanite; N, north; S, south; stt, staurolite; sill, sillimanite. After Larson and Godin [2009] and Langille et al. [2010].
midcrustal rocks exposed in Mabja Dome, are consistent with models of southward ductile flow and extrusion.

2. Geologic Setting

2.1. Regional Geology

[8] Kangmar Dome is one of several gneiss domes, collectively referred to as the North Himalayan gneiss domes, exposed within the Tethys Himalaya about halfway between the Indus–Tsangpo suture and the high Himalaya (Figure 1). The Tethys Himalaya comprise a stratigraphic succession of Cambrian through Eocene marine sediments once located along the northern passive margin of India and now deformed between the Indian and Eurasian tectonic plates since collision in the Eocene [e.g., Gaetani and Garzanti, 1991; Brookfield, 1993; Liu and Einsele, 1994; Garzanti, 1999]. Exposed to the south of the North Himalayan gneiss domes is the north dipping Gyirong–Kangmar thrust fault (GKT), which juxtaposes weakly metamorphosed and deformed Tethyan sediments in its hanging wall upon unmetamorphosed Tethyan sediments in its footwall [Burg and Chen, 1984; Ratschbacher et al., 1994]. In turn, the Tethys Himalaya sits in the hanging wall of the shallowly north dipping STDS. Middle Miocene to Holocene north–south striking grabens cut these older structures [e.g., Armijo et al., 1986; Stockli et al., 2002; Dewane et al., 2006; Hager et al., 2006; Mahéo et al., 2007].

2.2. Geology of Kangmar Dome

[9] The North Himalayan gneiss domes, including Mala-shan, Lagoi–Kangri, Mabja, Kampa, and Kangmar (Figure 1), exhibit a similar geologic framework characterized by a core of orthogneisses, migmatites, leucogranites, and high-grade metamorphic rocks mantled by progressively lower grade to unmetamorphosed rocks. These rocks record two major ductile deformation events, an older N–S shortening and vertical thickening episode and a younger N–S extensional and vertical thinning episode, that are bracketed in age between late Eocene/early Oligocene to middle Miocene [Chen et al., 1990; Lee et al., 2000, 2004, 2006; Zhang et al., 2004; Aoya et al., 2005, 2006; Quigley et al., 2006, 2008; Kawakami et al., 2007; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Liao et al., 2008].

[10] Kangmar Dome exposes a core of Cambrian orthogneiss mantled by Carboniferous and Permian metapelites overlain by Triassic sedimentary rocks that were intruded by metabasite and aplite dikes (Figures 4 and 5) [Lee et al., 2000, 2002]. The contact between the orthogneiss core and overlying metapelites has been described, most recently, as a nonconformity due to lack of stratigraphic omission and absence of a break in thermochronologic ages across it [Lee et al., 2000].

[11] Ductile fabrics within the midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Kangmar record two major penetrative deformational events upon which lower strain events were superimposed. East-west trending F1 folds and an S1 foliation indicating north–south horizontal shortening and vertical thickening characterize the oldest deformational event, D1. The second deformational event, D2, folded bedding and the S1 foliation at high structural levels and, with increasing structural depth, transposed them into parallelism with a subhorizontal mylonitic S2 foliation and associated north-south Ls2 stretching lineation. D2 deformational fabrics indicate north-south horizontal extension and vertical thinning [Lee et al., 2000]. Rotation of F1 folds and L0x1 intersection lineations into parallelism with the Ls2 stretching lineation implies an X:Z strain ratio of at least 30:1 associated with D2 deformation [Lee et al., 2000]. Younger, lower strain events include D3 east–west trending folds of the D2 foliation and D4 doming that folds the S2 mylonitic foliation into a doubly plunging, N–S elongated domal geometry [Lee et al., 2000] (Figures 4 and 5).

[12] Barrovian metamorphism, characterized by concentric chloritoid–through kyanite–in metamorphic isograds increases toward the core of the dome and occurred after D1 deformation and prior to or during the early stages of D2 ductile deformation. Peak temperatures and pressures increase from ~445°C and ~370 MPa in garnet zone rocks to ~625°C and ~860 MPa in staurolite/kyanite zone rocks [Lee et al., 2000] (Figures 4 and 5).

[13] Thermal diffusion through thickened crust, and 40Ar/39Ar and apatite fission track thermochronology indicate that peak metamorphism, vertical thinning, and horizontal extension associated with D2 ductile deformation was likely ongoing between ~40 to 20 Ma [Lee et al., 2000] and had ceased by ~15 Ma [Lee et al., 2000]. Contours of mica 40Ar/39Ar cooling ages are domed parallel to the mylonitic S2 foliation; however, low-temperature potassium feldspar 40Ar/39Ar and apatite fission track cooling ages are not, indicating that doming occurred at ~11 Ma [Lee et al., 2000].

[14] Our detailed kinematic, deformation temperature, and vorticity data on midcrustal rocks in Kangmar allow a test of the channel flow hypothesis and shed additional light on the mechanism by which this gneiss dome formed.

3. Kinematics, Deformation Temperatures, and Vorticity

3.1. Introduction

[15] One hundred and eight samples from midcrustal rocks in Kangmar Dome were analyzed to document the spatial distribution of D2 ductile deformation patterns. These samples were located within the concentric chloritoid–through kyanite–in metamorphic isograds in Carboniferous metasediments, marbles, schists, quartzites (units CPs, Cm2, C3, Cm1, and C1s) and the Cambrian basal orthogneiss (unit Cog) (Figures 4 and 5). Textural analyses of all 108 samples yielded information about deformation temperatures; 58 of these samples were oriented for documenting the kinematics of deformation. Vorticity analyses were completed on 36 of the 58 oriented samples to characterize the relative percentages of simple and pure shear across the dome. Electron backscatter diffraction analyses (EBSD) of nine oriented quartzite samples provide information about quartz lattice preferred orientations (LPOs) and information about temperature, shear sense, and the slip systems that were active in quartz grains during deformation. Samples mentioned by
Figure 4. Geologic maps of Kangmar Dome showing (a) locations of metamorphic isograds (dashed lines), cross sections A-A' and B-B', and sample locations (italic font); (b) sense of shear across the dome; (c) contours of D2 deformation temperatures (50°C contour interval); and (d) contours of average percent pure shear (5% contour interval) estimated using the rigid grain technique. Ctd, chloritoid; gar, garnet; ky, kyanite; stt, staurolite. After Lee et al. [2000].
3.2. Kinematics

[16] Analyses of D2 microstructures such as quartz oblique grain shape foliations (Figure 6a), asymmetric geometry of strain shadows surrounding metamorphic porphyroblasts (Figure 6b), inclusion trails of minerals within metamorphic porphyroblasts (Figure 6b), mineral fish (Figure 6c), and shear bands (Figure 6d) were completed on oriented samples to define the spatial distribution of top-north and top-south sense of shear across the dome (Figures 4b and 5b) (Table 1). In addition, EBSD-determined quartz [c] and ⟨a⟩ axes defined random (R), point (P), or girdle (G) distributions. The R value is a measure of LPO strength, where R = 1 indicates the absence of a preferred orientation. To determine strain geometry, P and G values were normalized, \( P_n \) and \( G_n \), respectively [Barth et al., 2010]:

\[
P_n = P / (P + G)
\]  

\[
G_n = G / (P + G) \text{ or } G_n = 1 - P_n
\]

[17] Within chloritoid zone rocks, an oblique grain shape foliation (Figure 6a) was present in sample KD71 from the
north flank of the dome, indicating a top-south sense of shear (Figures 4b, 5b, and 8) (Table 1). Quartz LPO data from sample KD71 yielded a weak [c] axis single girdle that is oblique to the foliation by an angle of 45°. The distribution of the [c] and ⟨a⟩ axes may be the result of combined basal ⟨a⟩ and prism ⟨a⟩ slip; if so, the obliquity suggests a top-south sense of shear (Figure 7b) [cf. Barth et al., 2010]. Sample KD68 from the south flank of the dome has symmetric strain shadows surrounding chloritoid and iron oxide porphyroblasts, indicating a pure shear component (Table 1). Other samples from the chloritoid zone do not possess assessable kinematic indicators. In outcrop, scarce asymmetric
foliation boudins on the north flank of the dome suggests top-north sense of shear.

[18] Microstructures in garnet zone rocks used to determine sense of shear include quartz oblique grain shape foliations (Figure 6a), σ- and δ-type strain shadows surrounding iron oxide and garnet porphyroblasts (Figure 6b), inclusion trails of quartz within garnet porphyroblasts (Figure 6b), and C'-type shear bands (Figure 6d). Sample KD75 from the north flank of the dome and four samples from the south flank of the dome all indicate top-south sense of shear (Figures 4b, 5b, and 8) (Table 1); sample KD53 is the only one possessing microscopic shear bands, which indicate top-south sense of shear (Table 1). LPOs were measured for three quartzite samples from garnet zone rocks (Figure 7b). Samples KD5b and KD57 are both from the south flank of the dome. KD5b shows an oblique quartz grain shape foliation and a well-developed asymmetric cross-girdle [c] axis LPO (Figure 7b), both indicating a top-south sense of shear (Table 1). Sample KD57 is characterized by a [c] axis cross-girdle LPO compatible with rhomb (a) slip; the minor asymmetry of the LPO (Figure 7) suggests top-north sense of shear (Table 1). Sample KD76, from the north flank of the dome, is characterized by a LPO compatible with basal (a) slip and low Pn/high Gt [c] values (Figure 7b), which suggest pure shear strain [cf. Barth et al., 2010].

[19] Kinematic microstructures observed in staurolite/kyanite zone metapelites and down structure to the contact with the orthogneiss, include σ- and δ-type strain shadows surrounding garnet porphyroblasts, inclusion trails of quartz and mica within garnet porphyroblasts, mineral fish (Figure 6c), and microscopic C'-type shear bands (Figure 6d). Kinematic mesoscopic structures include shear bands, and asymmetric quartz vein and mafic dike boudins. Shear sense in these rocks is dominantly top-north across the dome (Figures 4b and 5b) (Table 1). Microscopic C' type shear bands are present within four of the six samples from the north flank of the dome and one sample from the south flank of the dome; all indicate top-north shear (Figures 4b and 5b) (Table 1). LPO data from quartzite sample KD94 (Figure 7b), a staurolite zone rock from the south flank of the dome, yielded a well-developed asymmetric [c] axis cross-girdle and asymmetric (a) axis maxima indicating a top-south sense of shear (Table 1). LPO data were collected on four quartzite samples, KD12a, 43a, 44c, 45b, from the kyanite zone along the north flank of the dome (Figure 7b). The LPO for sample KD12a has a triclinic symmetry with respect to the foliation; a sense of shear cannot be determined.
### Kangmar Dome

#### North Flank of the Dome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Microscopic Shear Sense</th>
<th>Microscopic Shear Indicator</th>
<th>Mesoscopic Shear Sense</th>
<th>Mesoscopic Shear Indicator</th>
<th>Matrix Deformation Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Metamorphic Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Temperature Indicator</th>
<th>Vorticity</th>
<th>Pure Shear (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd-in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD71&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>chbs/ogs</td>
<td>~400–500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.60–0.72</td>
<td>59–49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD80</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD79</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.78–0.89</td>
<td>43–30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD81</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD49</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gar-in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD50</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>446 ± 44</td>
<td>Lee et al. [2000]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD48</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD48b</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD78</td>
<td>psam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~450–550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>mineral asm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD77</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~450–550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>mineral asm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD74</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500–550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD75&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>~500–550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.52–0.85</td>
<td>65–35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.43–0.65</td>
<td>72–54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD43</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~450–550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>mineral asm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stt/ky-in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD92&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>mss</td>
<td>~500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.49–0.59</td>
<td>67–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD91</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~562 ± 35</td>
<td>Lee et al. [2000]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD12a&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ab, msb</td>
<td>~500–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.42–0.52</td>
<td>72–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD12b&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss/sb</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>624 ± 39</td>
<td>Lee et al. [2000]</td>
<td>0.58–0.67</td>
<td>60–53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD12c</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>feldspar mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD44&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>sym</td>
<td>ss</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.58–0.66</td>
<td>61–54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>sb</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ab, msb, mss</td>
<td>~550–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.25–0.46</td>
<td>83–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~550–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.50–0.60</td>
<td>66–59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD45&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>mss</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.42–0.72</td>
<td>72–49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD45&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.50–0.60</td>
<td>66–59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD47</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ab, msb, mss</td>
<td>~500–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD73&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>sb</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>mss</td>
<td>~500–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>feldspar mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD89</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~564 ± 38</td>
<td>Lee et al. [2000]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD15a&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss/sb</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>feldspar mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD15b&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD15&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD13b</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD14</td>
<td>orth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD26a&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth0</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>mss/maa</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.43–0.49</td>
<td>71–67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD26b</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD25&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>meta</td>
<td>sym</td>
<td>ss</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>mss</td>
<td>~500–600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>msa</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>msa</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.41–0.51</td>
<td>73–65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD21</td>
<td>orth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n, t-s</td>
<td>maa</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD21a&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD21b&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>apl</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ogs</td>
<td>~600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>feldspar mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD20&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.44–0.47</td>
<td>70–61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD19&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>orth0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>t-n, s-s</td>
<td>maa</td>
<td>&gt;630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.52–0.61</td>
<td>65–58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD46</td>
<td>orth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>S/C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### South Flank of the Dome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Microscopic Shear Sense</th>
<th>Microscopic Shear Indicator</th>
<th>Mesoscopic Shear Sense</th>
<th>Mesoscopic Shear Indicator</th>
<th>Matrix Deformation Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Metamorphic Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Temperature Indicator</th>
<th>Vorticity</th>
<th>Pure Shear (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd-in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>sym</td>
<td>ss</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.70–0.77</td>
<td>59–44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD67</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD66&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.62–0.70</td>
<td>57–50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD90</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD30&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.67–0.80</td>
<td>53–41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD1a</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD1b</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD64</td>
<td>arg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD36b</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD33</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD35</td>
<td>phy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~300–350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD62&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>psam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.70–0.80</td>
<td>59–41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Rock Type</td>
<td>Shear Sense</td>
<td>Microscopic Indicator</td>
<td>Matrix Deformation (°C)</td>
<td>Metamorphic Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Temperature Indicator</td>
<td>Vorticity</td>
<td>Pure Shear (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD60(a)</td>
<td>psam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD58</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD97ma</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD97pe</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD7</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD54b</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD6</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD53b</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>ss/sb</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5a</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD55c</td>
<td>qtz</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>ebsd/ogs</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5bc</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5b</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-n</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5a</td>
<td>sch</td>
<td>t-s</td>
<td>ss/it</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD11c</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD10c</td>
<td>orth2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD1bc</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD10c</td>
<td>orth2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD1bc</td>
<td>orth1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD10c</td>
<td>orth2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>quartz mstr</td>
<td>0.55–0.61</td>
<td>62–58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sample oriented parallel to Ls2.*
from this sample. Sample KD43a yields [c] and ⟨a⟩ axis small-circle girdles suggesting coaxial flattening (Figure 7b) [cf. Barth et al., 2010]. Samples KD44c and KD45b also did not yield interpretable LPOs, implying that the LPO and L-S fabric reflect different deformation histories.

[20] Kinematic indicators observed in orthogneiss at and below the contact with the metapelites include microscopic and mesoscopic strain shadows, quartz oblique grain shape foliations, mesoscopic asymmetric augen, sheared quartz veins, and shear bands (Table 1). These indicators yield a mix of top-north and top-south senses of shear on the north flank of the dome and dominantly top-north sense of shear on the south flank (Figures 4b and 5b) (Table 1). These kinematic fabrics are best preserved within a high-strain, well-developed mylonitic foliation, defined by strongly flattened and elongate quartz grains and aligned micas, and associated with a ∼N-S trending stretching lineation. This high-strain fabric is located within ~20 m of the contact with the overlying metapelites. The foliation, lineation, and kinematic fabrics become progressively weaker with depth and disappear ∼1000 m below the contact between the orthogneiss and overlying metapelites. Below this boundary, the D2
mylonitic foliation is not present, and the orthogneiss appears undeformed.

3.3. Deformation Temperatures

Methods used to assess deformation temperatures recorded in midcrustal rocks in Kangmar include quartz (Figures 9a–9e), feldspar (Figure 9f), and calcite microstructures; the stability fields of mineral assemblages present within the strain shadows surrounding chloritoid, garnet, staurolite, and kyanite porphyroblasts; and the opening angle in quartz [c] axis LPOs. For the latter, the opening angle is defined as the angle between the two girdles of [c] axis cross-girdle LPOs. A positive correlation exists between deforma-
tion temperature and opening angle between ~250°C and 650°C ± 50°C, although hydrolytic weakening and changes in strain rate also play a role [Law et al., 2004]. In this study, deformation temperatures estimated from quartz [c] axis opening angles (Figure 7) overlap with deformation temperature estimates based on quartz and feldspar microstructures (Figure 8), supporting the assumption that opening angle increases with increasing temperature.

[22] Matrix quartz grains within this zone exhibit bulging recrystallization microstructures (Figure 9a) and undulatory extinction (Figure 9b), with deformation lamellae more noticeable toward the base of the chloritoid zone, indicating deformation temperatures of ~300°C increasing to ~400°C with structural depth [Hirth and Tullis, 1992; Stipp et al., 2002a; Passchier and Trouw, 2005] (Figure 8). Sample KD81, a calc schist located near the base of the chloritoid zone, contains strained, but untwinned, calcite grains, indicating temperatures of >300°C [Burkhard, 1993; Ferrill et al., 2004]. The inference of basal (a) and prism (a) slip and lack of prism [c] slip from quartz LPO data within

Figure 9. Photomicrographs of microstructures from which deformation temperatures were inferred. (a) Bulging recrystallization (BLG) in quartz (~280–400°C). (b) Undulose extinction in quartz (~300–350°C). (c) Subgrain rotation (SGR) in quartz (~400–500°C). (d) Grain boundary migration (GBM) in quartz (~500°C). (e) Chessboard extinction in quartz (~630°C). (f) Myrmekite in feldspar (~600°C). Abbreviations are gar, garnet; myrm, myrmekite; qtz, quartz.
chloritoid zone rocks (Figure 7b) also indicate greenschist to amphibolite facies temperatures [Mainprice et al., 1986]. In garnet zone rocks, deformation temperatures of ~450°C–550°C are indicated by quartz + biotite + muscovite parageneses in strain shadows surrounding rotated garnet porphyroblasts [Spear, 1993] (Table 1). Matrix quartz grains in this zone include undulatory extinction, deformation lamellae, bulging recrystallization (Figure 9c), and subgrain rotation microstructures indicating temperatures of ~300–500°C [Hirth and Tullis, 1992; Stipp et al., 2002a; Passchier and Trouw, 2005]. The core-and-mantle microstructure indicative of subgrain rotation in matrix quartz grains is more noticeable with increasing structural depth, indicating deformation temperatures near the base of garnet zone rocks [Hirth and Tullis, 1992; Stipp et al., 2002a; Passchier and Trouw, 2005] (Figure 8). Two samples, KD5b and KD97pa, contain calcite grains near the base of garnet zone rocks [Lee et al., 2000; Hirth and Tullis, 1992; Spear, 1993; Lee et al., 1993; Passchier and Trouw, 2005]. Temperatures of kinematic indicators such as microscopic shear bands determined by quartz textures and strain shadows determined by mineral assemblages ranged from ~280 to 350°C and ~400 to 550°C, respectively (Figure 8). Quartz LPOs from this zone show an increase with structural depth from inferred basal (a), prism (a), and rhomb (a) slip to exclusively rhomb (a) slip, indicating an increase in temperatures from ~400°C to <650°C [Mainprice et al., 1986]. Sample KD5b yields a cross-girdle [c] axis pattern and opening angle of ~62°, corresponding to deformation temperatures of ~450°C–550°C (Figure 7b) (Table 1).

[24] Deformation temperatures ≥600°C were recorded in the deepest exposed section of the dome by quartz + biotite + muscovite parageneses [Spear, 1993] in strain shadows that are in equilibrium with rotated kyanite porphyroblasts within staurolite/kyanite zone rocks (Figure 8). Matrix quartz grains throughout this zone exhibited microstructures indicative of grain boundary migration recrystallization (Figure 9d) and minor chessboard extinction (Figure 9e), indicating deformation temperatures of ~500 to >630°C, respectively [Hirth and Tullis, 1992; Stipp et al., 2002a; Passchier and Trouw, 2005]. The presence of myrmekite in matrix feldspars at the deepest structural levels indicates temperatures in excess of ~600°C [Burkhard, 1993; Ferrill et al., 2004] (Figure 9f). Microscopic shear bands in this zone have deformation temperatures determined by quartz and feldspar microstructures of ~300 to 400°C, and strain shadow mineral assemblages indicate ~450 to >600°C (Figure 8). Quartz LPOs, except sample KD94, in this zone are triclinic with respect to the foliation and lineation (Figure 7b). Sample KD94, from the structurally highest part of this zone, yields a cross-girdle [c] axis LPO with an opening angle of ~60° corresponding to a deformation temperature of ~430–530°C (Figure 7b) (Table 1).

[25] Matrix and strain shadow deformation temperature estimates in chloritoid through kyanite zone rocks overlap with peak metamorphic temperatures estimated by Lee et al. [2000] on the basis of Fe–Mg partitioning between biotite and garnet (Figure 8) (Table 1). These relations support Lee et al.’s [2000] interpretation that D2 deformation occurred during and after the peak metamorphism. Contours of matrix deformation temperatures (Figures 4c and 5c) were created using the median value of the estimated range in matrix deformation temperatures for each sample (Table 1). These contours are at best approximate and depend on how each temperature estimate is weighted and whether different samples with overlapping ranges in deformation temperature are grouped together or treated separately. Regardless, D2 deformation temperature contours are domed across Kangmar (Figure 5c), confirming that doming postdates D2 deformation [Lee et al., 2000].

[26] The deformation temperatures of microscopic shear bands determined by quartz and feldspar microstructures overlap with muscovite and biotite 40Ar/39Ar closure temperatures (~285–420°C) [Lee et al., 2000] and are cooler than matrix deformation temperatures (Figure 8). This observation, combined with the fact that the shear bands cut across the mylonitic S2 foliation and D2 ductile fabrics, requires that these fabrics formed after the D2 ductile deformation.

3.4. Vorticity

[27] Mean vorticity numbers were estimated at Kangmar using oriented thin sections cut perpendicular to the foliation and parallel to the Ls2 stretching lineation. Measurements for vorticity analyses were either taken directly from thin sections using a petrographic microscope or from photomicrographs using a best fit ellipse determined with imaging software, ImageJ (W. Rasband, U.S. National Institutes of Health, 2005). ImageJ provides several different methods for calculating a best fit ellipse including fit spline, fit ellipse, and parallel to the Ls2 stretching lineation. Measurements for vorticity analyses were either taken directly from thin sections using a petrographic microscope or from photomicrographs using a best fit ellipse determined with imaging software, ImageJ (W. Rasband, U.S. National Institutes of Health, 2005). ImageJ provides several different methods for calculating a best fit ellipse including fit spline, fit ellipse, and convex hull; no noticeable variations in mean vorticity estimates were observed among these methods. The rigid grain and oblique grain shape foliation techniques were used to estimate mean vorticity numbers.

[28] The rigid grain technique involves measuring either the aspect ratio (R) [Wallis et al., 1993] or shape factor (B*) [Passchier, 1987] of a rigid porphyroblast such as garnet, hornblende, or tourmaline, and the acute angle (θ) formed between the long axis of the porphyroblast and main foliation, S, where

\[
R = M_x / M_y
\]

\[
B^* = (M_x^2 - M_y^2) / (M_x^2 + M_y^2)
\]

\[
M_x = (R_x^2 - 1) / (R_x^2 + 1)
\]

\[
M_y = (R_y^2 - 1) / (R_y^2 + 1)
\]

where \(R_x\) and \(R_y\) are the clast long axes of the rigid porphyroblast and the lineation, L, respectively. The rigid grain technique is useful for estimating vorticity from arbitrary data sets, such as those collected using petrographic microscopy. The rigid grain technique can be used to estimate vorticity from arbitrary data sets, such as those collected using petrographic microscopy. The rigid grain technique can be used to estimate vorticity from arbitrary data sets, such as those collected using petrographic microscopy.
or, alternatively, by plotting $B^*$ versus $\theta$ on the rigid grain net (Figures 11a and 11b) (see Figure S1 in the auxiliary material for additional plots). The advantage of the rigid grain net is that vorticity ($W_m$) can be read directly from the plot.

To apply the rigid grain method, the following conditions must be met: (1) rigid grains must predate the deformational fabric of interest, (2) rigid grains must be internally undeformed, (3) rigid grains must have rotated independently from the surrounding matrix and other adjacent porphyroblasts, and (4) samples must be characterized by a well-developed LS fabric indicative of plane strain [Jessup et al., 2007]. An inaccurate vorticity determination is likely if the above conditions are not met [Jessup et al., 2007].

In addition, rigid grains in contact with one another during deformation perpendicular to the plane of the thin section will also introduce a bias and uncertainty in the vorticity estimate. Currently, there is no quantitative way to assess these uncertainties associated with mean vorticity estimates obtained from a visual determination of $R_c$ and $B^* c$ (Figure 11). In each sample, all rigid grains that met the above criteria were measured to accurately define $R_c$ and $B^* c$ and to calculate a mean vorticity number.

The oblique grain shape foliation technique was used on quartzite samples. During progressive deformation, the long axes of quartz neoblasts rotate from an initial parallelism with the instantaneous stretching axis toward the flow plane (Figure 10) [Wallis et al., 1993; Xypolias, 2009].

Quartz grains that exhibit internal deformation (e.g., associated with GBM) have rotated to a greater or lesser degree compared to quartz neoblasts and should be avoided [Xypolias, 2009]. These angles are then adjusted with respect to the flow plane after measuring the angle $\beta$ between the flow plane and main foliation $S_a$ from quartz LPOs (Figure 10). The flow plane is defined as the orthogonal to the central girdle of a cross-girdle or single girdle [c] axis LPO (Figure 10) [Wallis, 1992]. Collectively, $\delta + \beta$ define the angle $\eta$ and are related to vorticity by [Xypolias, 2009]

$$W_m = \sin 2\eta$$

or

$$W_m = \sin 2(\delta + \beta)$$

For this method to be applicable, a well-developed [c] axis fabric and quartz oblique grain shape foliation are needed. To accurately define the ISA, $\delta$ for approximately 100 quartz neoblasts were measured in thin section with respect to the main foliation $S_a$ (Figure 10).
Mean vorticity ($W_m$) estimates in schist and orthogneiss samples using the rigid grain technique range from 0.62 to 0.89 (57–30% pure shear) in chloritoid zone rocks, 0.30–0.85 (80–35% pure shear) in garnet zone rocks, and 0.25–0.76 (83–45% pure shear) in staurolite/kyanite zone rocks, and 0.38–0.61 (75–58% pure shear) in the orthogneiss, the deepest exposed rocks (Table 1) (Figure 12). Quartzite samples using the same technique yielded mean vorticity estimates that are similar: 0.60–0.72 (59–49% pure shear) in chloritoid zone rocks, 0.43–0.67 (72–53% pure shear) in garnet zone rocks, and 0.42–0.68 (72–52% pure shear) in staurolite/kyanite zone (Figure 12). Johnson et al. [2009] investigated the reliability of the rigid grain technique for estimating vorticity through the study of naturally deformed samples using feldspar as the measured rigid clast and numerical modeling. Johnson et al.’s [2009] results led them to conclude that mica facilitates porphyroclast/matrix decoupling and that the rigid grain technique applied to mica-rich rocks will likely lead to an incorrect estimate of vorticity that is too low. It seems unlikely that this is an issue in our samples because (1) we did not use feldspar clasts in our measurements, (2) the lowest $W_m$ values recorded in our samples are from those with the lowest percentage of mica, and (3) metamorphic isobars were vertically collapsed to ∼20% of their original thickness by the D2 vertical thinning and horizontal extensional deformation event [Lee et al., 2000].

Figure 11. Representative vorticity plots for sample KD5b using multiple techniques. (a) Plot from Wallis et al. [1993] of the angle $\theta$ versus aspect ratio R. The dark vertical lines represent the estimated range for the critical aspect ratio $R_c$. (b) Rigid grain net [from Jessup et al., 2007] showing a plot of angle $\theta$ versus shape factor $B^*$. The dark vertical lines represent the range of $W_m$. (c) Oblique grain shape plot of frequency versus the angle $\eta$. Vertical dashed lines represent the range in $\eta$ that defines the instantaneous stretching axis (ISA). (d) Plot of the angle $\beta$ versus the angle $\beta$ (see Figure 10) from which strain ratio and vorticity can be calculated [Xypolias, 2009]. Shaded gray box represents the range in $\delta$ versus $\beta$ for sample KD5b. Curved solid lines represent the strain ratio ($R_{xz}$); diagonal dashed lines represent $W_m$. n is the number of data points. See text for discussion. Additional vorticity plots are shown in Figure S1.
applying both vorticity techniques. Note that Wallis [1995] suggested that the maximum $\delta$ angle be used to calculate the orientation of the ISA. However, sample KD5b shows a range of quartz elongation orientations, with some exceeding the theoretical maximum of 45° (Figure 11c). As suggested by Johnson et al. [2009], the range in measured $\delta$ angles could be the result of (1) variations in magnitude of strain recorded in quartz grains as a consequence of dynamic recrystallization and/or (2) rotation relative to the ISA as a consequence of mechanical heterogeneity caused by, for example, porphyroblasts just outside the plane of the section. Because of this, all $\eta$ values greater than 45° were excluded. To estimate a $\eta$ value for this sample, we calculated a best fit density distribution curve through a frequency versus $\eta$ histogram (Figure 11c). Where the density distribution curve sharply drops and levels off defines the range in angle $\eta$ between the ISA and the flow plane (Figure 11c). The oblique grain shape foliation technique yielded a mean vorticity of 0.93–0.99 (25–9% pure shear) indicating a large simple shear component, whereas the rigid grain technique yielded a mean vorticity of 0.60–0.75 (59–46% pure shear), indicating a larger pure shear component (Figure 11) (Table 1). A mean vorticity of 0.97–0.98 (15–12% pure shear) and strain ratio ($R_{xz}$) of $\sim$20–30, in agreement with the bulk strain ratio estimated by Lee et al. [2000], were estimated for this sample using a plot of $\delta$ versus $\beta$ (Figure 11). Advantages of this plot are that it allows a way to check the accuracy of $W_m$, $\delta$, $\beta$, and $\eta$ obtained by other techniques; eliminates the assumption of a steady state deformation; and provides an estimate of strain ratio [Xypolias, 2009]. Plots of vorticity and contours of percentage of pure shear (Figures 4, 5, and 12) indicate an increasing component of pure shear with structural depth and with increasing deformation temperature.

[34] There are six salient observations that fall from the kinematic, deformation temperature, and vorticity data. First, relatively high-temperature D2 kinematic indicators show a subequal mix of top-north and top-south shear in garnet zone rocks, and dominantly top-north shear in staurolite/kyanite

Figure 12. Vorticity estimates and shear sense versus structural depth below the chloritoid-in isograd for orthogneiss, phyllite, schist, and quartzite samples. Graphs are shown with respect to cross section B–B′ (see Figure 4 for cross section location). Shear sense indicators without a vorticity estimate are not shown.
zone rocks and the underlying orthogneiss (Figure 8). Second, relatively low-temperature shear bands are characterized by dominantly top–north shear across the dome (Figure 8). Third, deformation temperatures determined from quartz, feldspar, and calcite microstructures, mineral assemblages from strain shadows of rotated index minerals, and quartz LPOs overlap with peak metamorphic temperature estimates of Lee et al. [2000] (Figure 8). This relation indicates that high-temperature D2 kinematic indicators and fabrics formed at peak metamorphic temperatures. Fourth, quartz and feldspar microstructures indicate that shear bands formed at temperatures that overlap with estimated $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar mica closure temperatures ($\sim$285 to 420°C) [Lee et al., 2000] and are colder than D2 fabric temperatures (Figure 8). Alternatively, increased strain rate may explain the quartz microstructures observed in the shear bands [e.g., Heard and Carter, 1968; Koch et al., 1989]. However, natural strain rates appear to be relatively constant, as a consequence of strain changes in quartz microstructures have typically been attributed to changes in temperature [e.g., Stipp et al., 2002b, and references therein]. Thus, we conclude that these microstructures recorded formation of relatively low-temperature kinematic indicators that developed during postpeak cooling after the main, higher temperature, high-strain phase of D2 deformation. Fifth, the percentage of pure shear recorded in these rocks increases with depth from an average of $\sim$48% pure shear in chloritoid zone rocks to $\sim$62% pure shear in garnet to staurolite/kyanite zone rocks, to $\sim$68% in the orthogneiss. Sixth, both high- and low-temperature D2 ductile fabrics disappear within the orthogneiss $\sim$1000 m below the contact with the overlying metasediments. Thus, mylonitic D2 deformatonal fabrics define an $\sim$2200–2900 m thick zone extending from the garnet-in isograd [Lee et al., 2000, 2002] to $\sim$1000 m below the contact between the orthogneiss and overlying metasediments (Figure 5).

4. Discussion
4.1. Deformation Patterns in the Midcrust
[35] The relatively high temperature D2 kinematic fabrics in midcrustal rocks exposed in Kangmar Dome yield a dominantly top–north D2 kinematic fabric and a pure shear deformation component that increases with depth. This kinematic and vorticity pattern suggests that deformation across the dome was characterized by general shear (vertical thinning and N–S horizontal extension) with a component of top–north sense of shear. The pattern of D2 kinematics across Kangmar documented in this study differs from the bulk pure shear kinematic interpretation by Lee et al. [2000] and contrasts with the solely top–north shear discussed by Chen et al. [1990]. It is consistent with the kinematic pattern of deformation predicted by a combination of the top half of Poiseuille flow (Figure 2b) and a shear zone parallel stretch, the implied pattern of midcrustal deformation predicted by the channel flow hypothesis [e.g., Beaumont et al., 2004; Grujic et al., 2002].

[36] High-strain mylonitic fabrics are exposed within metasedimentary and orthogneissic rocks in the core of Kangmar dome from the garnet-in isograd, $\sim$1200–1900 m above the contact between the two to $\sim$20 m below. The strength of these fabrics within the orthogneiss progressively decreases with increasing structural depth beneath the contact for about $\sim$1000 m, below which the orthogneiss appears undeformed. Above the garnet-in isograd, the strength of D2 fabrics progressively decreases up section for $\sim$650–1450 m, above which the metasedimentary rocks do not possess a D2 fabric. Thus, the mylonitic fabrics define a high-strain D2 deformation zone 1200–1900 m thick that straddles the contact between the orthogneiss core and its metasedimentary mantle. This high-strain zone is located within a much wider, $\sim$2200–2900 m thick D2 deformation zone that extends from the approximate level of the garnet-in isograd, where the S1 foliation has been completely transposed into parallelism with the S2 mylonitic foliation [Lee et al., 2000], to $\sim$1000 m below the contact between the orthogneiss and overlying metasediments (Figure 5).

[37] The contact between the orthogneiss core and metasedimentary mantle in Kangmar has been interpreted as an intrusive contact [Hayden, 1912; Zhou et al., 1981], a metamorphic core complex–type detachment fault [Chen et al., 1990], and an unconformity [Burg et al., 1984; Zhang et al., 1986; Lee et al., 2000]. Combining our observations of a high-strain structural fabric above and below the contact with Lee et al.’s [2000] description of the contact as varying from a knife-sharp concordant contact to a locally discordant contact with up to $\sim$1 m of breccia and fault gouge, suggests that the orthogneiss–metasedimentary contact is a fault. Because of the dominance of top–north shear in the ductilely deformed metapelites above and the orthogneiss below, we concur with the suggestion of that Chen et al. [1990] and Hauck et al. [1998] that this fault is the northern continuation of the STDs. A consequence of this interpretation is that Kangmar Dome records a progressive deformation history characterized by an initial period of general shear with a top–north simple shear component at relatively high temperatures and midcrustal depths ($\sim$20–30 km [Lee et al., 2000]), followed by general shear with a dominantly top–north simple shear component at somewhat lower temperatures and shallower depths, ending with both sets of ductile fabrics subsequently cut by the top–north normal slip STDs as these midcrustal rocks were exhumed to shallower crustal levels. Slip along this brittle structure resulted in the juxtaposition of high-grade metapelites upon the orthogneiss. In this interpretation, the absence of a break in mica $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages across the fault contact [Lee et al., 2000] can be explained by (1) reheating and cooling of the rocks after faulting, (2) slip above mica closure temperature [e.g., Chen et al., 1990], or (3) small-magnitude fault slip. The first interpretation is likely implausible because no source of heat, such as a pluton or dike swarm, has been observed in Kangmar [Burg et al., 1984; Chen et al., 1990; Lee et al., 2000, 2002] and footwall rocks below the fault contact yield older mica $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar cooling ages [Lee et al., 2000]. The second interpretation also is unlikely because the microstructures documented in this paper show that faulting occurred during the development of the moderate temperature D2 shear bands. The third interpretation is most plausible because the
same lithologic units and metamorphic grade are juxtaposed across the ~10 km long exposure of the fault.

[38] The North Himalayan gneiss domes, Malashan, Mabja, Kampa, and Kangmar, preserve a midcrustal D2 extensional deformation event that is late Eocene/early Oligocene to middle Miocene in age [Chen et al., 1990; Aoya et al., 2005, 2006; Kawakami et al., 2007; Quigley et al., 2006, 2008; Lee et al., 2000, 2004, 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Langille et al., 2010]. However, the kinematics and structural depth associated with this high-strain D2 extensional deformation varies from dome to dome. In Malashan Dome (Figure 1), Aoya et al. [2005, 2006] documented dominantly top–north shear, and associated this style of deformation with extension triggered by the emplacement of granites within the middle to upper structural levels of the Tethys Himalaya (depths of ~10 km [Kawakami et al., 2007]) above the STDS. The D2 sense of shear in Kampa Dome (Figure 1), recorded in metasediments that reached kyanite zone metamorphic grade (depths of >16 km), was also [Langille et al., 2010] attributed this pattern of deformation with extension triggered by the emplacement of granites within midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Mabja Dome (depths of ~20–25 km [Lee et al., 2004]) (Figure 1) change from mixed top–north and top–south shear at moderate structural levels, through dominantly top–south shear within deep structural levels, to solely top–south shear in the deepest rocks studied [Langille et al., 2010] (Figure 3). Langille et al. [2010] attributed this pattern of ductile deformation to a hybrid flow regime characterized by spatial variations in viscosity and/or bulk pure shear at moderate structural levels and underthrusting beneath the MHT and/or extrusion of middle crust at the deepest structural levels. These relations imply that midcrustal ductile deformation in southern Tibet varied spatially and rheologically, and indicate along-strike changes in the depth of midcrustal ductile extension. This in turn suggests that either (1) the assumption that the Tethys Himalaya was the rigid upper crust above a flowing ductile middle crust is not valid [e.g., Searle et al., 2003; Beaumont et al., 2004; Kawakami et al., 2007] or (2) the multiple faults that define the STDS in the high Himalaya [e.g., Searle et al., 2003; Godin et al., 2006; Kellett et al., 2009] may not merge northward and downward into a single fault.

[39] In Malashan, Mabja, and Kampa domes, the top of the D2 deformation zone has been documented, however, the base of the deformation zone has not. The D2 fabrics in Malashan span a structural thickness of at least ~2500–3000 m (from Middle Jurassic metapelites to the underlying early Miocene Malashan granite [Aoya et al., 2005, 2006; S. Wallis, personal communication, 2010]). In Mabja Dome, D2 ductile fabrics extend continuously from the base of Triassic metapelites to the deepest exposures mapped, indicating a D2 deformation zone more than ~7500 m thick [Lee et al., 2004]. At the highest structural levels in Kampa Dome, D2 ductile fabrics are exposed from the middle of Triassic metapelites to the deepest exposures yielding a minimum estimate for thickness of the D2 deformation zone of ~2050 m [Quigley et al., 2006, 2008]. The D2 deformation zones documented in these gneiss domes represent the ductile equivalent of the broad brittle deformation zone of the STDS and the ductile deformation in its footwall. For example, in the Mount Everest region and in Bhutan, mapping has documented two normal-slip detachment faults separated by a kilometer or two and footwall ductile deformation distributed across a structural thickness of 3–4 km [e.g., Grujic et al., 2002; Searle et al., 2003; Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006; Kellett et al., 2009].

[40] Vorticity estimates in Kangmar associated with D2 deformation decrease with structural depth, indicating an increase in pure shear component from ~48% in chloritoid zone rocks, through ~62% in garnet zone to staurolite/kyanite zone rocks, to ~68% in the orthogneiss, the deepest exposed rocks (Figures 4d, 5d, and 12) (Table 1). An increase in pure shear with depth indicates significant vertical thinning and horizontal extension, consistent with the documented well-developed subhorizontal D2 foliation and stretching lineation, the rotation of D1 linear structures into parallelism with the D2 stretching lineation, and the collapse of metamorphic isobars to ~20% of their original thickness [Lee et al., 2000]. We ascribe the increase in pure shear with depth, at least in part, to an increased lithostatic load, the same mechanism proposed for the increase in pure shear with structural depth documented in midcrustal rocks exposed on Mount Everest [Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006] and in Mabja Dome [Langille et al., 2010].

[41] In Kangmar, deformation temperatures associated with relatively high-temperature D2 kinematic fabrics increase with structural depth from ~300–500°C in chloritoid zone rocks to >630°C in staurolite/kyanite zone and deeper rocks. These deformation temperature estimates overlap with quantitative peak metamorphic temperature estimates of Lee et al. [2000] (Figure 8), indicating that D2 kinematics and vorticity were recorded during peak metamorphism prior to dome formation and exhumation. The onset of the D2 high-temperature event is not constrained in this study, but is late Eocene/early Oligocene in Mabja Dome [Lee and Whitehouse, 2007], which records deformational and metamorphic histories similar to Kangmar [cf. Lee et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004, 2006]. Based on 40Ar/39Ar mica ages, D2 deformation ceased by ~15 Ma, indicating that temperatures had dropped below ~280–420°C at this time [Lee et al., 2000]. A middle Miocene cessation age is similar to the documented end of the same high-temperature D2 extensional deformational event in Malashan [Aoya et al., 2005], Mabja [Lee et al., 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007], and Kampa [Quigley et al., 2006] domes.

[42] Relatively low-temperature shear bands in garnet zone and deeper rocks are characterized by dominantly top-north shear across Kangmar. Deformation temperatures for these kinematic indicators overlap with estimated mica 40Ar/39Ar closure temperature estimates (~280–420°C) [Lee et al., 2000] (Figure 8), indicating that these shear bands formed at ~15 Ma. Contours of middle Miocene mica 40Ar/39Ar ages are domed [Lee et al., 2000], implying that this low-temperature deformation event occurred during cooling and exhumation after peak metamorphism and after the high-strain D2 deformation, but likely shortly before brittle slip along the STDS and doming. Lee et al. [2000] suggested that subsequent doming was the consequence of capture of midcrustal rocks in the hanging wall of the north.
dipping GKT and southward slip up and over a midcrustal ramp.

[43] Based on the data presented here, data from structure and metamorphic petrology from Kangmar [Lee et al., 2000], and geochronology from Kangmar [Lee et al., 2000]; Kampa [Quigley et al., 2006, 2008]; Mabja [Lee et al., 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007], and Malashan [Aoya et al., 2005], we propose the following evolution for Kangmar Dome that is a slightly modified version of that postulated by Lee et al. [2000]. During the late Eocene/early Oligocene to early/middle Miocene, brittle normal slip along the STDS merged at depth to the north into a zone of ductile shear, which, in turn, merged into a ~2–3 km wide root zone of general shear in the midcrust (Figure 13a). Pure shear vertical thinning and N–S horizontal stretching combined with top-north simple shear in the midcrust of southern Tibet, accommodated at shallow crustal levels to the south by normal slip along the STDS, resulted in partial exhumation of these midcrustal rocks by the early to middle Miocene (Figure 13b). During the middle Miocene, periods of either high strain rates along the STDS and/or continued exhumation and cooling of the midcrustal rocks resulted in propagation of the brittle STDS into the zone of general shear (Figure 13b). Thus, the brittle STDS cut down structural section northward from the high Himalaya where, in the Everest region for example, it juxtaposed unmetamorphosed Indian margin sedimentary rocks in the hanging wall upon the GHS in the footwall, to Kangmar where it juxtaposed metamorphosed Tethyan sediments in the hanging wall upon an orthogneiss in the footwall. Slap along the STDS ceased by the middle Miocene [Murphy and Harrison, 1999; Godin et al., 2006, and references therein; Kellett et al., 2009]. As postulated by Lee et al. [2000], soon thereafter middle to late Miocene south directed thrust faulting along the GKT and erosion resulted in doming and final exhumation of the midcrustal rocks to Earth’s surface (Figures 13c and 13d).

4.2. Tectonic Implications

[44] Much of the structural, metamorphic, and intrusive histories recorded in midcrustal rocks exposed in the cores of the North Himalayan gneiss domes are strikingly similar to the histories recorded in the midcrustal GHS exposed in the high Himalaya [cf. Murphy and Harrison, 1999; Vance and Harris, 1999; Walker et al., 1999; Simpson et al., 2000; Searle et al., 2003; Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006; Aoya et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2000, 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Kawakami et al., 2007; Quigley et al., 2008; Cottle et al., 2009; Langille et al., 2010]. These similarities suggest that since the late Eocene/early Oligocene to middle Miocene, vertically thinning and horizontally stretching midcrustal rocks were contiguous for at least ~100–150 km from southern Tibet southward to the high Himalaya [e.g., Grujic et al., 1996, 2002; Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004; Searle et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2000, 2006; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Cottle et al., 2009]. Geochronologic studies show that ductile shear and brittle slip along the MCT and STDS were broadly synchronous [e.g., Hodges et al., 1992, 1996; Murphy and Harrison, 1999; Grujic et al., 1996; Searle et al., 2003], initiating as early as late Eocene/early Oligocene [Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Cottle et al., 2009] and ending during the middle Miocene [e.g., Hodges et al., 1996; Murphy and Harrison, 1999; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007; Cottle et al., 2009; Kellett et al., 2009], an ~20 Myr history. Thus, midcrustal rocks of the GHS and the North Himalayan gneiss domes experienced vertical thinning and horizontal extension between the overlying normal sense STDS and underlying thrust sense MCT, or their precursors, for ~20 Myr from the late Eocene/early Oligocene to the middle Miocene.

[45] The kinematic and vorticity patterns reported here for Kangmar Dome, combined with kinematic and vorticity patterns from Mabja Dome [Langille et al., 2010] and the GHS [Grasemann et al., 1999; Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006; Carosi et al., 2006, 2007; Larson and Godin, 2009], define general shear deformation characterized by top-north simple shear near the STDS, increasing pure shear with depth, and top-south general shear near the MCT. Although the MCT has not been mapped within Mabja Dome [Lee et al., 2004] and is not exposed in Kangmar [Lee et al., 2000, 2002], the top-south shear documented at the deepest structural levels within Mabja [Langille et al., 2010] suggests that it is present just below the deepest mapped exposures, and we speculate that it must be present beneath Kangmar. This ductile deformation pattern is broadly consistent with geometric and kinematic models proposed to explain the southward extrusion of middle crustal rocks bounded above and below by two opposing sense shear zones, the STDS and MCT [e.g., Grasemann et al., 1999; Vannay and Grasemann, 2001; Williams et al., 2006] (Figure 14a). In these models, flow within an extruding wedge or slab is characterized by simple shear deformation along the boundaries of the wedge grading through general shear toward the center of the wedge that extruded by pure shear.

[46] Seismic reflection data have been interpreted to show that the STDS and MCT converge to the north [Nelson et al., 1996; Hauck et al., 1998] (but see Makovsky et al. [1996]). If this same geometry prevailed during the late Eocene to middle Miocene, the combination of the wedge shape and strain compatibility requires southward extrusion and general shear deformation of midcrustal rocks bounded by the two opposing sense shear zones, the STDS and MCT [e.g., Ramsay and Huber, 1987; Grasemann et al., 1999; Vannay and Grasemann, 2001]. Strain compatibility also requires southward extrusion of a midcrustal slab if the STDS and MCT did not converge to the north [e.g., Makovsky et al., 1996] and Asia acted as a lithostatic backstop. The heterogeneous general shear deformation (characterized by an increasing pure shear (vertical thinning perpendicular and horizontal stretching parallel to the shear zone boundaries) component with structural depth) documented across the midcrust in the GHS [Grasemann et al., 1999; Law et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006; Carosi et al., 2006, 2007; Larson and Godin, 2009] and the midcrust in southern Tibet [this study; Langille et al., 2010] is consistent with both the wedge and slab geometric kinematic configurations. Moreover, heterogeneous pure shear perpendicular to the midcrustal boundaries and southward extrusion would have
Figure 13. Schematic, interpretative, evolutionary N–S cross sections at the longitude of Kangmar Dome. (a) Configuration of major structures and D2 extensional fabrics in the middle crust of southern Tibet from onset of D2 deformation to near its cessation. (b) Episodes of either high strain rates along the STDS and/or exhumation and cooling of the middle crustal rocks resulted in propagation of STDS into the zone of ductile general shear. (c and d) As postulated by Lee et al. [2000], late Miocene south vergent thrust faulting along the GKT and erosion resulted in doming and final exhumation of the midcrustal rocks to the Earth’s surface. GHS, Greater Himalayan sequence; GKT, Gyirong-Kangmar thrust fault system; LHS, Lesser Himalayan sequence; MBT, Main Boundary thrust; MCT, Main Central thrust; MHT, Main Himalayan thrust; STDS, southern Tibetan detachment system. After Lee et al. [2000].
Figure 14. (a) Schematic cross section showing extrusion of a ductile wedge-shaped block deforming by opposing simple shear at the boundaries, general shear toward the middle, and pure shear within the middle. After Grasemann et al. [1999]. (b) Schematic cross section showing how heterogeneous pure shear across midcrustal rocks (light gray) in southern Tibet and the high Himalaya drives southward ductile flow and extrusion and development of the opposing shear sense along the STDS and MCT. Dashed rectangles in the middle crust are schematic strain markers compared to the unstrained solid squares above and below the middle crust. Arrow pairs indicate sense of shear. Pale gray boxes show relative locations of the midcrustal GHS exposed in the Everest massif and midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Kangmar Dome. Percent pure shear values are from Law et al. [2004], Jessup et al. [2006], and this work. Dark gray boxes in the hanging wall of the STDS and the footwall of the MCT are rigid. See text for discussion. Modified from Langille et al. [2010] with permission from Elsevier.
caused a southward increase in the magnitude of stretching [e.g., Pffiffer and Ramsay, 1982; Ramsay and Huber, 1987]. The manifestation of this would be an increase in displacement from north to south along the STDS and the underlying MHT (Figure 14b). The lack of evidence for significant slip along the STDS in Kangmar compared to the 90–216 km of southward displacement estimated for the GHS in the footwall of the STDS at the Everest massif [Searle et al., 2003] supports this postulate.

[47] The midcrustal channel flow hypothesis predicts that deformation patterns recorded within a midcrustal channel will be the result of mixed Poiseuille flow and Couette flow [Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004; Grujic et al., 2002; Grujic, 2006]. Poiseuille flow will be favored by a reduction in viscosity within the midcrust, a decrease in the rate of convergence between India and Asia, an increase in channel thickness, and an increase in the subhorizontal pressure gradient along the channel; the opposites favor Couette flow [Turcotte and Schubert, 2002]. Poiseuille-dominated flow in the Himalaya is suggested by (1) low-viscosity midcrust, which is implied by syntectonic migmatites and leucogranite melts in the GHS and within Malashan and Mabja domes [e.g., Murphy and Harrison, 1999; Searle et al., 2003; Aoya et al., 2005, 2006; Lee et al., 2004; Lee and Whitehouse, 2007], (2) the reduction in convergence rate between India and Asia since collision, including dramatic decreases at ∼45–40 Ma [Molnar and Stock, 2009] or, based on force balance calculations, ∼50–35 Ma [Copley et al., 2010], and (3) a large horizontal gravitational potential energy gradient between the Tibetan Plateau and its margins because of the potential of significant relief or crustal thickness during the early stages of D2 deformation (late Eocene to early Oligocene) [e.g., Rowley and Currie, 2006]. However, Couette-dominated flow is suggested by (1) a decrease in channel thickness implied by the documented ∼30–70% pure shear deformation component perpendicular to shear boundaries in midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Kangmar (this study) and Mabja [Langille et al., 2010] domes, and the GHS exposed on Mount Everest [Lav et al., 2004; Jessup et al., 2006], in Nepal [Carosi et al., 2007; Larson and Godin, 2009], in Bhutan [Carosi et al., 2006], and northwestern India [Grasemann et al., 1999] and (2) a higher viscosity in migmatite- and leucogranite-absent midcrust, as appears to be the case in Kangmar and Kampa domes [Chen et al., 1990; Lee et al., 2000, 2002; Quigley et al., 2006, 2008] and the lower part of the GHS [e.g., Grasemann et al., 1999; Larson and Godin, 2009]. The potential combination of Poiseuille and Couette flow suggests that these rocks record a hybrid flow regime.

[48] In addition to evidence implying combined Poiseuille and Couette flow, kinematic and vorticity data suggest temporal and spatial variations in the distribution of the deformation field. For example, Grasemann et al. [1999] proposed that the flow regime at the base of the GHS was temporally partitioned following a decelerating strain path, and Jessup et al. [2006] concluded that flow recorded in the GHS on the Everest massif was spatially and temporally partitioned such that structurally lower, higher-temperature samples recorded early stages of ductile general shear and structurally higher, lower temperature samples recorded subsimple shear at the upper boundary of the channel during later stages of exhumation. Variations in rheology may also play a role. Langille et al. [2010] postulated that midcrustal rocks in Mabja Dome recorded a hybrid flow regime, characterized by an overlap of Poiseuille flow, concentrated at higher structural levels, and Couette flow, concentrated at deeper structural levels, in part a consequence of spatial variations in viscosity. If these interpretations are correct, these comparisons suggest that patterns of midcrustal flow in southern Tibet and the high Himalaya varied spatially, temporally, and with rheology.

5. Conclusions

[49] New detailed microstructural kinematic analyses, deformation temperature estimates, and vorticity investigations within midcrustal rocks exposed in the core of Kangmar Dome identify a 2200–2900 m thick high-strain zone characterized by vertical thinning and N–S horizontal extension. Kinematic analyses show a downward transition from a subequal mix of top-north and top-south shear in garnet zone rocks to dominantly top-north shear in staurolite/kyanite zone and deeper rocks. The schists and quartzites in chloritoid, garnet, and staurolite/kyanite zone rocks record general shear deformation characterized by a pure shear component (vertical thinning and N–S horizontal extension) and a top–north simple shear component. Vorticity values progressively decrease down structure from $W_m = 0.60–0.89$ (59–30% pure shear) in chloritoid zone rocks, $W_m = 0.30–0.85$ (80–35% pure shear) in garnet zone rocks, to $W_m = 0.25–0.76$ (83–45% pure shear) in staurolite/kyanite zone rocks. At the deepest exposed structural levels, the orthogneiss also records general shear deformation ($W_m = 0.38–0.61$; 75–58% pure shear, the highest recorded in Kangmar) with a moderate component of top-north simple shear. Deformation temperature estimates for high-temperature kinematic indicators increase with structural depth from 350 to 450°C in chloritoid zone rocks to ∼500–630°C in staurolite/kyanite zone rocks and ≥600°C in the orthogneiss. These temperature estimates overlap with metamorphic petrology temperature estimates, indicating that the vorticity recorded in these rocks occurred in the midcrust during and after D2 deformation, likely after the late Eocene/early Oligocene and certainly before the middle Miocene. The ∼2–3 km thick ductile deformation zone was subsequently cut by the down-to-the-north brittle normal-slip STDS that juxtaposed metasedimentary rocks upon the orthogneiss. Strain compatibility and an increasing component of pure shear with depth require that these midcrustal rocks were extruded southward. We interpret these relations as indicating ductile deformation within a wedge- or slab-shaped midcrust, broadly consistent with patterns predicted by kinematic and thermal–mechanical models. Comparing studies of ductile deformation in midcrustal rocks in southern Tibet and the GHS reveals similarities and differences, suggesting that patterns of midcrustal flow during the Himalayan orogeny varied spatially, temporally, and with rheology.
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