Central Washington University

[ScholarWorks@CWU](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/)

[All Faculty Scholarship for the College of the](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac)

College of the [Sciences](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac)

5-20-2015

Collective Movement in the Tibetan Macaques (Macaca thibetana): Early Joiners Write the Rule of the Game

Xi Wang

Lixing Sun

Jinhua Li

Dongpo Xia

Binghua Sun

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F156&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/76?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F156&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Behavior and Ethology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/15?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F156&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Authors

Xi Wang, Lixing Sun, Jinhua Li, Dongpo Xia, Binghua Sun, and Dao Zhang

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Wang X, Sun L, Li J, Xia D, Sun B, Zhang D (2015) Collective Movement in the Tibetan Macaques (Macaca thibetana): Early Joiners Write the Rule of the Game. PLoS ONE 10(5): e0127459. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127459

Academic Editor: Elisabetta Palagi, University of Pisa, ITALY

Received: September 24, 2014

Accepted: April 15, 2015

Published: May 20, 2015

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the [Creative Commons CC0](https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31372215, 31172106, 31401981), Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 1408085QC56), and China Scholarship Council. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Collective Movement in the Tibetan Macaques (Macaca thibetana): Early Joiners Write the Rule of the Game

Xi Wang^{1©}, Lixing Sun^{2©} *, Jinhua Li^{1,3¤} *, Dongpo Xia⁴ *, Binghua Sun¹, Dao Zhang¹

1 School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, China,

2 Department of Biological Sciences, Central Washington University, Ellensburg, Washington, United States of America, 3 School of Life Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, Anhui, China, 4 School of Life Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, China

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.

- ¤ Current address: Hefei Normal University, Hefei, Anhui, China
- * jhli@ahu.edu.cn (JL); Lixing@cwu.edu (LS); dpxia@ahu.edu.cn (DX)

Abstract

Collective behavior has recently attracted a great deal of interest in both natural and social sciences. While the role of leadership has been closely scrutinized, the rules used by joiners in collective decision making have received far less attention. Two main hypotheses have been proposed concerning these rules: mimetism and quorum. Mimetism predicts that individuals are increasingly likely to join collective behavior as the number of participants increases. It can be further divided into selective mimetism, where relationships among the participants affect the process, and anonymous mimetism, where no such effect exists. Quorum predicts that a collective behavior occurs when the number of participants reaches a threshold. To probe into which rule is used in collective decision making, we conducted a study on the joining process in a group of free-ranging Tibetan macaques (Macaca thibetana) in Huangshan, China using a combination of all-occurrence and focal animal sampling methods. Our results show that the earlier individuals joined movements, the more central a role they occupied among the joining network. We also found that when less than three adults participated in the first five minutes of the joining process, no entire group movement occurred subsequently. When the number of these early joiners ranged from three to six, selective mimetism was used. This means higher rank or closer social affiliation of early joiners could be among the factors of deciding whether to participate in movements by group members. When the number of early joiners reached or exceeded seven, which was the simple majority of the group studied, entire group movement always occurred, meaning that the quorum rule was used. Putting together, Macaca thibetana used a combination of selective mimetism and quorum, and early joiners played a key role in deciding which rule should be used.

Introduction

Collective decision making has recently attracted a great deal of interest in both natural and social sciences. In collective movements, the decision to move requires a consensus between the initiator's proposal and the acceptance of other members of the group $[1]$ $[1]$. Among large group living species, such a consensus may emerge from simple interaction rules based on local communication principles [\[2\]](#page-13-0). Social insects, for instance, often use simple and local rules among the insects themselves and between the insects and their environment to coordinate collective movements [[3,4\]](#page-13-0). Recent studies have demonstrated that such self-organized processes can also exist in small groups with global communication [\[5](#page-13-0)]. Many of such studies have focused on the role of initiator (e.g. dwarf mongooses, Helogale undulata [\[6](#page-13-0)], Prezwalski horses, Equus ferus [[7](#page-13-0)], brown lemurs, Eulemur fulvus [\[8](#page-13-0)], white-faced capuchins, Cebus capucinus [[9](#page-13-0)], and human election $[10]$). Only a few species, however, have been examined to answer how members decide to join the group activities $[5,11,12]$. Since joining is an indispensable step prior to or during any collective movement, it plays a key role in social coordination. Therefore, knowing the rules used in the joining process is crucial for understanding how collective decisions are made in animal and human societies.

Mimetism is often hypothesized as a self-organized rule used during the joining process. It has two types: 1) anonymous mimetism, where the probability of an individual joining a collective movement depends on the number of individuals already in the group, regardless of their identities $[1]$, and 2) selective mimetism, where the probability of an individual joining a collective movement depends on its social relationships with the members already in the group [[5\]](#page-13-0). In mammals living in small groups, although anonymous mimetism during collective move-ments has been observed in species such as merino sheep (Ovis aries) [\[13\]](#page-13-0) and white-faced capuchins $[14]$, it appears less common than selective mimetism, which has been found in a wider array of species such as Indian palm squirrel (*Funambulus pennanti*) [[15](#page-13-0)], rhesus ma-caques (Macaca mulatta) [[16\]](#page-13-0), Tonkean macaques (M. tonkeana) [[5\]](#page-13-0), free-ranging dogs (Canis lupus) $[17]$, and domestic geese (*Anser domesticus*) [[18](#page-13-0)]. Because being highly associated with related or dominant individuals may increase an individual's fitness, affiliative relationship, a key feature in selective mimetism, can prompt individuals to join collective movements [\[11,19\]](#page-13-0). Apparently, the fitness advantage of coordinated activities among affiliated individuals explains why selective mimetism as a rule used in the process of collective movements [\[11,17,19,20](#page-13-0)].

Mimetic behavior, however, is sometimes insufficient to explain non-linear response from individuals to those already participating in the group movement. In these situations, quorum rules are invoked as an alternative to mimetism. According to Conradt and Roper [[21\]](#page-13-0), a quorum refers to the minimum number of group members required to take or favor a particular action for the whole group to adopt this action. A response to a quorum is observed when the probability of members exhibiting a particular behavior depends on the number of individuals already performing the behavior $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$ $[22-25]$. Quorum has been shown an important mechanism in decision making among ants (*Temnothorax*) [\[22\]](#page-13-0), honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) [\[23\]](#page-14-0), threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) [[25](#page-14-0)], Tonkean macaques [\[26](#page-14-0)] and most common of all, humans [[27,28\]](#page-14-0). For instance, Petit et al. [[1\]](#page-13-0) found that in white-faced capuchins, the whole group has a high probability of moving when at least four monkeys move in the same direction, indicating that a quorum rule is used in the process. In hamadryas baboons (*Papio hamadryas*), group members decide whether to follow male initiators, and during the decision-making process, the entire troop goes in the direction taken by the majority of group members [[29\]](#page-14-0). Furthermore, Sueur et al. [[26](#page-14-0)] provide quantitative evidence that similar quorum processes exist in Tonkean macaques. Unfortunately, quantitative data are still far from sufficient to ascertain whether group members truly decide to join movements according to quorum rules [[30\]](#page-14-0).

Although many species are capable of inter-individual recognition and/or display stable relationships among group members [[31](#page-14-0)], few studies have probed into how joining decisions are related to the structure of a social network [\[5](#page-13-0)[,32\]](#page-14-0). In a recent study, Sueur and Petit [[11](#page-13-0)] applied social network metrics to their analysis of collective movements, showing that, based on the resulting association patterns, one can determine if a population is divided into subgroups, if the strength of association differs between individuals, or if some individuals play a more central role in group cohesion than others $[33–35]$ $[33–35]$ $[33–35]$ $[33–35]$. Therefore, using network metrics is an efficient tool to assess which rules may underlie the joining process during collective movements.

In this study, we used social network analysis to investigate the joining process in a small group of Tibetan macaques (M. thibetana), whose movements regularly switch from the feeding site to nearby forest. The Tibetan macaque is classified as near threatened by the IUCN and is listed on appendix II of the CITES list. Tibetan macaques are highly gregarious. Group members know each other and are familiar with their environment via global communication principles [[36\]](#page-14-0). Females remain in their natal groups throughout their lives, whereas males disperse from their natal groups when they mature [[37,38](#page-14-0)]. Tibetan macaques demonstrate a despotic dominance style, exhibiting low rates of counter-aggression and low conciliatory tendencies [\[37](#page-14-0)]. Frequent group movements have been observed in this species. The joining rule used in group movements, however, remains unknown. Here, we tested the two hypotheses of quorum versus mimetism. Quorum predicts the existence of a threshold that can make the whole group move together all the time, whereas mimetism does not predict such a threshold even though it may also result in whole group movements. Furthermore, we tested selective mimetism against anonymous mimetism, if mimetism was indeed used in the joining process. Selective mimetism predicts that participants are unequal in attracting others to join collective movements whereas anonymous mimetism lacks this feature. To test anonymous versus selective mimetism, we systematically screened for the influences of common individual attributes such as sex, rank, age, and social affiliation on joiners in collective movements.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study complies with the regulations of the Chinese Wildlife Conservation Association regarding the ethical treatment of research subjects, and under the law of People's Republic of China on the protection of wildlife. The study was fully observational, and our data collection did not affect the monkeys' welfare. Huangshan Monkey Management Center and the Huangshan Garden Forest Bureau permitted us to conduct research at the field site.

Study Site and Subjects

The study was conducted from August to December of 2012 at Mt. Huangshan National Reserve located in Anhui province, China. The reserve is a UNESCO World Culture and Nature Heritage site as well as a well-known tourist destination [\[39\]](#page-14-0). The study site is publicly owned. Similar to other macaques, Tibetan macaques display linear dominance hierarchies [[37](#page-14-0)].

The group of Tibetan macaques in our field study was known as Yulinkeng 1 (YA1), which had been continually observed since 1986. At the time of our research, the troop constituted a total of 32 members including four adult males, eight adult females, six sub-adults, nine juveniles, and five infants. YA1 inhabits an area within the reserve known as the "Valley of the Wild Monkeys" (N30° 04' 25.1" / E118° 08' 59.3") [\[40\]](#page-14-0). This area is characterized by steep, mountainous terrain. The group of monkeys were wild. They engaged in social activities in

Table 1. Attributes of focal animals in YA1 during observation.

^aThese two individuals were immigrants from other groups. Their ages were estimated based on physical features [\[36](#page-14-0)].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127459.t001

nearby forest during most of the day without any restriction on their home range. For the convenience of viewing by tourists, they were supplied with $3-4$ kilograms of corn daily $\left[39-41\right]$ $\left[39-41\right]$ $\left[39-41\right]$ $\left[39-41\right]$ $\left[39-41\right]$. After corn feeding, they regularly switched locations from the feeding site to forest. Collective movements often occurred at the time of the switch.

Our focal animals were the 12 adults of YA1, which had been habituated to human presence. They were individually recognized based on distinctive physical features such as scars, hair color patterns, or facial/body appearances [\[36,39](#page-14-0)]. Prior studies have provided detailed information about individual identities and life histories for all the members $[40]$ $[40]$ $[40]$. Key biological attributes in terms of sex, hierarchical rank and age of the 12 adults studied are provided in Table 1.

Definitions and Behavioral Observations

We observed the focal group seven hours per day from 08:30 to 11:30 and from 13:30 to 17:30. We recorded collective movements via a digital video camera (Canon EOS 550D). Based on our preliminary observation for YA1 (August $1st-14th$, 2012), we used a set of criteria similar to those used by Sueur and Petit [\[16\]](#page-13-0) for collecting data about collective movements so that our results are comparable with existing macaque literature. The following are operational definitions for the key terms used in our study.

- Initiation: starting when the first adult walks more than 10 meters in less than 30 seconds. This criterion allowed us to discriminate between the initiation of a collective movement and other movements such as feeding movements. Sub-adults, juveniles, and infants were excluded from initiators because they never incited any entire group movement during our preliminary observation.
- Joiner: any individual that walks more than five meters within 45° in the direction to which the initiator departs before the joining is terminated $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. A joiner that moves in the first five minutes after the initiator departs is defined as an early joiner. For the convenience of presentation, initiators were also counted as earlier joiners. The criterion of five minutes is the minimum duration that can result in an entire group movement based on our preliminary observation. In our data analyses, an initiator was also considered as an early joiner because, by definition, it participated in movements within the first five minutes. More importantly, this broader definition allowed us to focus on the role of all early joiners in collective movements. Since our study focused on adults, early joiners referred exclusively to adults accordingly.
- • Termination of joining: when no more individual joins the movement within five minutes after the departure of the first individual or after the joining of the last individual [\[16\]](#page-13-0). That is, the joining process is considered finished when the delay of the next individual joining the movement exceeds five minutes.
- Entire group movement: a collective movement that has at least two-thirds of all the group members joining the movement before termination. During the mating season, we observed 171 entire group movements initiated by 12 adult members. The duration of an entire group movement was 9.60 ± 3.53 (mean \pm SD) minutes.

The feeding site was marked with a systematic grid of reference points and divided into four zones (Fig 1). This allowed us to accurately record the positions and movement distances for each animal. The starting zone refers to the area in feeding site where less than or equal to 10 meters from the starting point of initiator [[16](#page-13-0)]. We included movement events only if at least two-thirds of the group members were present in the starting zone when they occurred [[32](#page-14-0)].

Measurements during collective movements were taken using the all occurrence sampling method $[42]$ $[42]$ $[42]$.

Data Analyses

To quantify how often two early joiners occur together in collective movements, we used the half-weight index (HWI) [[43](#page-14-0)] calculated by the number of two individuals seen together

Forest

▲ Reference points

Fig 1. Diagram of feeding site for group YA1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127459.g001

divided by the total number of movements. Its value ranges between 0 (never associated) and 1 (always associated) [\[11\]](#page-13-0). We drew the topology of co-occurrence for early joiners during movements via Netdraw in UCINET 6.0 [\[44](#page-14-0)].

We calculated eigenvector centrality coefficient via HWI matrices using SOCPROG 2.4 [\[45](#page-14-0)–[49\]](#page-15-0). Eigenvector centrality coefficient measures how closely associated an individual is to others in collective movements. A high value means either that the individual is connected to more group members than otherwise or that the individual is connected to others that are also highly central in collective movements [[46](#page-14-0)]. Based on eigenvector centrality coefficient, we quantified the attraction of every early joiner to others during joining processes.

To affirm the importance of early joiners on the joining process, we analyzed the correlation between the joining position of every joiner and its centrality coefficient. The joining order index was calculated for each individual per group movement with the following formula: 1−[I−1/N−1], where I is the position in the order of group progression taken by the individual, and N is the number of group members [\[50\]](#page-15-0). The index ranges from 1 (= first position) to 0 (= last position). We scored each individual's mean joining order index using this formula.

To evaluate whether affiliative relationship influences the joining process in collective movements, we correlated the co-occurrence of early joiners in collective movements with that in other daily group activities measured by proximity. To do so, we calculated the eigenvector centrality coefficients based on HWI and the dyadic association index (DAI). DAI measures how frequently two individuals X and Y are associated during their daily group activities except collective movements $[51]$: $D_{ab}/(D_{a}+D_{b}-D_{ab})$, where D_{ab} refers to the duration in which X and Y are seen within one meter of each other, D_a refers to the duration when X is seen, and D_b refers to the duration when Y is seen. The DAI centrality coefficient quantifies the attraction of an individual to other group members during group activities other than collective movements.

To obtain durations data for calculating DAI, we used focal animal sampling and continuous recording via a digital voice recorder [\[42\]](#page-14-0). We used 10 minutes as the duration of each focal sample so that all adults could be sampled at least once a day ([Table 1](#page-5-0)) [\[52\]](#page-15-0).

To assess the effect of early joiners' social ranks on the joining process, we determined the dominance ranks of the 12 adults by aggressive and submissive interactions using the event behavior sampling method [[37,42](#page-14-0)[,52](#page-15-0)]. Aggressive interactions were scored when one individual stared, hit, chased, or scratched another individual [\[36\]](#page-14-0). Submissive interactions include such behaviors as fearful grin, cower, mock leave, avoid, flee, or scream during social interactions [\[37](#page-14-0)]. We considered an individual in a lower rank if it displayed submissive behavior toward another group member. On the contrary, the individual to which a lower ranking member submitted was considered in a higher rank [\[36](#page-14-0)[,52\]](#page-15-0).

Statistical Analyses

To analyze the effect of sex on the joining process and to evaluate the attraction differences of early joiners in the social network, we used independent-samples t test for situations involving two samples and K-independent-samples Kruskal-Wallis test for situations involving three or more samples. To test the effect of age and rank on the joining process, the relationship between the joining order of a joiner and its centrality coefficient, and to examine the effect of social affiliation on the joining process, we used Spearman rank correlation analysis. Since t test is parametric, we used one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to assure that the normality assumption of sample distribution was not violated. All tests were conducted using SPSS (version 13.0), and the level of significance was set at 0.05 a priori.

Results

To find a threshold that might trigger the departure of the entire group, we assessed the relationship between the number of early joiners and the probability of entire group movement (Fig 2). We found that, when less than three early joiners (all adults) participated in movements during the first five minutes of the joining process, no entire group movement occurred before the joining process is terminated. When the number of early joiners ranged from three to six, the probability of the entire group response fluctuated between 40% to 85% without a consistent pattern. Nonetheless, once the threshold of seven was reached, the probability of entire group movement became 100%. In other words, when equal to or more than seven adults participated in movements during the first five minutes of the joining process, entire group movement always occurred.

To validate whether early joiners played an important role on joining processes, we analyzed the correlation between the mean joining position and eigenvector centrality coefficient for every adult macaque in the group ($Fig 3$). We found a positive correlation (Spearman rank correlation $r_s = 0.695$, $N = 12$, $P < 0.05$).

To answer why the response of the entire group fluctuated when the number of early joiners ranged from three to six (see $Fig 2$), we explored key attributes of early joiners in the social network. Results show that early joiners differed significantly in eigenvector centrality coefficient (Kruskal-Wallis test: df = 11, $P < 0.05$, $Fig 4$). The difference between adult males and females

[Fig 3. R](#page-8-0)elationship between joining order and eigenvector centrality coefficient. Individuals of the same sex are presented from left to right (males for the first four and females for the next eight) in the descending order in hierarchy.

was insignificant ($t = 0.738$, df = 10, P > 0.05). Also, age and eigenvector centrality coefficient were not correlated (Spearman rank correlation $r_s = -0.174$, $N = 12$, $P > 0.05$). However, social rank was positively correlated with eigenvector centrality coefficient in both adult males (r_s = 0.800, N = 4, P < 0.05) and females (r_s = 0.655, N = 8, P < 0.05).

Finally, to analyze the effect of social affiliation of early joiners on the joining process, we compared the eigenvector centrality coefficients of individuals based on HWI and DAI, characterizing, respectively, when subjects were in collective movements (i.e. co-occurrence) and when they were engaged in other daily activities (i.e. proximity). We found a positive correlation between the two coefficients (Spearman rank $r_s = 0.614$, N = 12, P<0.05, [Fig 5\)](#page-11-0).

Discussion

Several of our findings were interesting. First, our results show that early joiners, when their numbers ranged between three and six, could elicit varied response from other group members as to whether or not to join the collective movement. Apparently, some factors of early joiners influenced the joining decision of others. We found that the more earlier a group member participated in a movement, the more important role it played during collective decision making, as shown by the positive correlation between mean joining order of every joiner and its eigenvector centrality coefficient. The latter index indicates how important the individual acts in joining network $[11]$ $[11]$. This result is comparable with situations in black howler monkeys

[Fig 4. E](#page-8-0)igenvector centrality coefficients of early joiners in social network. Black circles represent males and white circles represent females. The numbers inside each pair of brackets indicate social rank and age, respectively. Line thickness is proportional to HWI value, and the size of a node to the value of eigenvector centrality coefficient.

PLOS ONE

(Alouatta pigra), where females at the front of a group movement have the highest centrality eigenvectors among the adult group members [\[53\]](#page-15-0). Our results indicate that the individual at the first position of a moving group (i.e. initiator) was not always the only decision maker. Early joiners could also play an important role during group movements, a result consistent with other primate species such as white-faced capuchin monkeys and African baboons [\[54,55\]](#page-15-0).

Second, according to the social network graph, we found that higher-ranking early joiners had higher eigenvector centrality coefficients. Because eigenvector centrality coefficient can quantify the attraction of early joiners to other members during the joining process $[46]$ $[46]$ $[46]$, the result means that higher-ranking early joiners were connected to more group members and were also highly central in collective movements. Similarly, alpha males have been reported to be the consistent decision makers in group movements in mountain gorillas (Gorilla gorilla) [[56\]](#page-15-0) and dominant individuals are more likely than subordinates to instigate movements to new foraging sites in green woodhoopoes (Phoeniculus purpureus) [\[57](#page-15-0)]. In all of these cases, the central individuals, which are in the front of the movements, seem to greatly influence the joining decision of other group members [[6,](#page-13-0)[33](#page-14-0)].

Also, we found that social affiliation could influence the joining process as well because early joiners who had higher centrality coefficients in daily activities also had higher centrality coefficients during collective movements. This means that early joiners with closer social

[Fig 5. E](#page-9-0)igenvector centrality coefficients of individuals based on HWI and DAI. Individuals of the same sex are presented from left to right (males for the first four and females for the next eight) in the descending order in hierarchy.

affiliations could also attract more members during the joining process. This result is comparable to the findings in several other species such as Indian palm squirrel $[15]$, free-ranging dogs [\[17](#page-13-0)], and chacma baboons (Papio ursinus) [[58](#page-15-0)].

In our study, because both social rank of an early joiner and affiliation between group members affected the attraction of the early joiner to other members during the joining process, selective mimetism, rather than anonymous mimetism, was used as the joining rule in Tibetan macaques. Apart from our study, the use of selective mimetism has also been found in several other species [[4](#page-13-0),[13](#page-13-0)[,24](#page-14-0)[,59,60\]](#page-15-0). In Tonkean macaques, for instance, how an individual decides to join a collective movement depends on whether its strongly affiliated individuals depart [\[5](#page-13-0)].

Some researchers suggest that in chacma baboons [\[58\]](#page-15-0) and free-ranging dogs [\[17\]](#page-13-0), longterm benefits of affiliative relationships with dominant leaders may include increased protection from predators and from infanticidal males [\[58\]](#page-15-0). This is because, in groups, low ranking or poorly affiliated individuals are typically peripheral with few and weak relationships with their conspecifics, whereas dominant and/or highly affiliated individuals interact with others more often [\[61\]](#page-15-0). In our study, it appears that early joiners who had higher social ranks and more frequent social interactions with others might be more attractive to members in the joining process than those of lower ranks and interacting less with others.

Selective mimetism may be explained by social styles [[62](#page-15-0)]. For example, Tonkean macaques show an egalitarian social structure $[11]$ $[11]$ $[11]$. Individuals participate in a voting process (quorum)

prior to collective movements, the lack of centrality for dominant or old Tonkean macaques suggests that all individuals may have equal weight in the voting process and interactions are not constrained by individual status in the species $[11]$. However, rhesus macaques, with a more pronounced dominance hierarchy, prefer to join high-ranking or related individuals during collective movements (selective mimetism) $[11,16,32]$ $[11,16,32]$ $[11,16,32]$. High-ranking individuals have high centrality coefficients and thus are more attractive to other members than are low-ranking individuals during the joining process $[11]$. Tibetan macaques demonstrate a despotic dominance style [\[37\]](#page-14-0). In our study, higher-ranking early joiners were connected to a larger number of individuals than were lower-ranking group members, not only in collective movements but also during other daily social activities. This agrees with the study on rhesus macaques which have a similar social structure [[11](#page-13-0)].

Our study also shows that when the number of early joiners accumulated to seven or more, the entire group would participate in collective movement all the time. Clearly, this threshold of seven indicates the use of quorum as the joining rule during collective movements in Tibetan macaques. Quorum rules appear to be more common for self-organization in large groups [\[31](#page-14-0)]. In the quorum process of shoaling [\[25\]](#page-14-0), for instance, three-spine sticklebacks exhibit a highly non-linear response to their immediate neighbors. While largely disregarding the movement of a strange member, sticklebacks tend to follow neighbors committed to a given direction of travel [\[25\]](#page-14-0). Though somehow surprising, the use of the quorum rule in the small group of the Tibetan macaques we studied may be explained by the reduction in risk of getting lost from groups. Living in groups offers a number of benefits for individuals including reduced per capita predation risk through shared vigilance or predator confusion [\[63\]](#page-15-0) in addition to opportunities to cooperate with kin $[64]$ $[64]$ $[64]$. To reap the benefit of group living, it requires that, when the number of joiners in a collective decision has reached a certain level, all others have to follow the decision no matter whether or not it conflicts with their best individual interests. Such quorum as the joining rule is also found in white-faced capuchins, rhesus macaques, and Tonkean macaques, all of which use the threshold of four in collective movements [\[1,12\]](#page-13-0). Our findings provided quantitative evidence for a similar process in Tibetan macaques. They again affirm the use of quorum rules in collective decision making in small, close-knit groups.

In conclusion, our study led to two surprising findings. First, Tibetan macaques used a combination of quorum and selective mimetism in collective decision making. Second, the number of early joiners played a critical role as to which rule was used. In our study, the threshold of seven early joiners as the quorum rule for entire group movements exactly exceeds the half number of adult members. It agrees with the majority rule among the adults. Since threshold tends to vary with group size $[65]$, we are uncertain whether the threshold found in our study was truly based on the majority rule or by coincidence. Future studies are needed to test whether this simple majority rule still holds for the decision making process in Tibetan macaques with varying group sizes and in other species. This will lead us to a better understanding as to whether a universal pattern exists for group coordination through collective decision making.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Huangshan Monkey Management Center and the Huangshan Garden Forest Bureau for their permission for us to conduct research at the field site. We are also grateful to Haibin Cheng's family for their outstanding logistic support to our study. The manuscript has benefited considerably from suggestions and comments of O. Petit and two anonymous reviewers.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XW LS JL. Performed the experiments: XW. Analyzed the data: XW LS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XW LS JL. Wrote the paper: XW LS JL DX BS DZ.

References

- [1.](#page-3-0) Petit O, Gautrais J, Leca JB, Theraulaz G, Deneubourg JL (2009) Collective decision-making in whitefaced capuchin monkeys. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 276: 3495–3503. doi: [10.1098/rspb.2009.0983](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0983) PMID: [19605395](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19605395)
- [2.](#page-3-0) Amé JM, Halloy J, Rivault C, Detrain C, Deneubourg JL (2006) Collegial decision making based on social amplification leads to optimal group formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103: 5835–5840. PMID: [16581903](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16581903)
- [3.](#page-3-0) Bonabeau E, Theraulaz G, Deneubourg JL, Aron S, Camazine S (1997) Selforganization in social insects. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 12: 188–192.
- [4.](#page-3-0) Camazine S, Deneubourg JL, Franks NR, Sneyd J, Theraulaz G, Bonabeau E (2001) Self-organization in biological systems. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- [5.](#page-3-0) Sueur C, Petit O, Deneubourg JL (2009) Selective mimetism at departure in collective movements of Macaca tonkeana: an experimental and theoretical approach. Animal Behaviour 78: 1087–1095.
- [6.](#page-3-0) Holekamp KE, Boydston EE, Smale L (2000) Group travel in social carnivores. In: Boinski S, Garber PA, editors. On the move. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp. 587–627.
- [7.](#page-3-0) Bourjade M, Thierry B, Maumy M, Petit O (2009) Decision-making in Przewalski horses (Equus ferus przewalskii) is driven by the ecological contexts of collective movements. Ethology 115: 321–330.
- [8.](#page-3-0) Kappeler PM (2000) Grouping and movement patterns in Malagasy primates. In: Boinski S, Garber PA, editors. On the move. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp. 470–490.
- [9.](#page-3-0) Leca JB, Gunst N, Thierry B, Petit O (2003) Distributed leadership in semifree-ranging white-faced capuchin monkeys. Animal Behaviour 66: 1045–1052.
- [10.](#page-3-0) Little AC, Burriss RP, Jones BC, Roberts SC (2007) Facial appearance affects voting decisions. Evolution and Human Behavior 28: 18–27.
- [11.](#page-3-0) Sueur C, Petit O (2008). Organization of group members at departure is driven by social structure in Macaca. International Journal of Primatology 29: 1085–1098.
- [12.](#page-3-0) Sueur C, Petit O (2010) Signals use by leaders in Macaca tonkeana and Macaca mulatta: group-mate recruitment and behaviour monitoring. Animal Cognition 13: 239–248. doi: [10.1007/s10071-009-0261-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10071-009-0261-9) [9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10071-009-0261-9) PMID: [19597854](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19597854)
- [13.](#page-3-0) Gautrais J, Michelena P, Sibbald A, Bon R, Deneubourg JL (2007) Allelomimetic synchronization in merino sheep. Animal Behaviour 74:1443–1454.
- [14.](#page-3-0) Meunier H, Leca JB, Deneubourg JL, Petit O (2006) Group movement decisions in capuchin monkeys: the utility of an experimental study and a mathematical model to explore the relationship between individual and collective behaviours. Behaviour 143: 1511–1527.
- [15.](#page-3-0) Rajaratnam SMW, Redman JR (1999) Social contact synchronizes free-running activity rhythms of diurnal palm squirrels. Physiology & Behavior 66: 21–26.
- [16.](#page-3-0) Sueur C, Petit O (2008). Shared or unshared consensus decision in macaques? Behavioural Processes 78: 84–92. doi: [10.1016/j.beproc.2008.01.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2008.01.004) PMID: [18281161](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18281161)
- [17.](#page-3-0) Bonanni R, Cafazzo S, Valsecchi P, Natoli E (2010) Effect of affiliative and agonistic relationships on leadership behaviour in free-ranging dogs. Animal Behaviour 79: 981–991.
- [18.](#page-3-0) Ramseyer A, Petit O, Thierry B (2009) Decision-making in group departures of female domestic geese. Behaviour 146: 351–371.
- [19.](#page-3-0) Hockings KJ, Anderson JR, Matsuzawa T (2006) Road crossing in chimpanzees: a risky business. Current Biology 16: 668–670. PMID: [16581511](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16581511)
- [20.](#page-3-0) King AJ, Douglas CMS, Huchard E, Isaac NJB, Cowlishaw G (2008) Dominance and affiliation mediate despotism in a social primate. Current Biology 18: 1833–1838. doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.048](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.048) PMID: [19026539](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19026539)
- [21.](#page-3-0) Conradt L, Roper TJ (2003) Group decision-making in animals. Nature 421: 155–158. PMID: [12520299](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520299)
- [22.](#page-3-0) Pratt SC, Mallon EB, Sumpter DJT, Franks NR (2002) Quorum sensing, recruitment, and collective decision-making during colony emigration by the ant Leptothorax albipennis. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 52: 117–127.
- [23.](#page-3-0) Seeley TD, Visscher PK (2004) Quorum sensing during nest-site selection by honeybee swarms. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 56: 594–601.
- [24.](#page-11-0) Sumpter DJT (2006) The principles of collective animal behaviour. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 361: 5–22. PMID: [16553306](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16553306)
- [25.](#page-3-0) Ward AJW, Sumpter DJT, Couzin ID, Hart PJB, Krause J (2008) Quorum decision-making facilitates information transfer in fish shoals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105: 6948–6953. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0710344105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710344105) PMID: [18474860](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474860)
- [26.](#page-3-0) Sueur C, Deneubourg JL, Petit O (2010) Sequence of quorums during collective decision making in macaques. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 64: 1875–1885.
- [27.](#page-3-0) Pratt SC, Sumpter DJT (2006) A tunable algorithm for collective decision-making. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103: 15906–15910. PMID: [17038502](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17038502)
- [28.](#page-3-0) Sumpter DJT, Pratt SC (2009) Quorum responses and consensus decision making. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 364: 743–753. doi: [10.1098/rstb.2008.0204](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0204) PMID: [19073480](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19073480)
- [29.](#page-3-0) Kummer H (1968) Social organization of hamadryas baboons. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [30.](#page-4-0) Conradt L, Roper TJ (2005) Consensus decision making in animals. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 20: 449–456.
- [31.](#page-4-0) Petit O, Bon R (2010) Decision-making processes: the case of collective movements. Behavioural Processes 84: 635–647. doi: [10.1016/j.beproc.2010.04.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2010.04.009) PMID: [20435103](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20435103)
- [32.](#page-4-0) Sueur C, Petit O, Deneubourg JL (2010) Short-term group fission processes in macaques: a social net-working approach. Journal of Experimental Biology 213: 1338-1346. doi: [10.1242/jeb.039016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.039016) PMID: [20348346](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20348346)
- [33.](#page-4-0) Lusseau D (2007) Evidence for social role in a dolphin social network. Evolutionary Ecology 21: 357-366.
- 34. Lusseau D, Wilson B, Hammond PS, Grellier K, Durban JW, Parsons KM, et al. (2006) Quantifying the influence of sociality on population structure in bottlenose dolphins. Journal of Animal Ecology 75: 14– 24. PMID: [16903039](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16903039)
- [35.](#page-4-0) Whitehead H (2009) SOCPROG programs: analysing animal social structures. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 63: 765–778.
- [36.](#page-4-0) Li JH (1999) The Tibetan macaque society: a field study. Hefei: Anhui University Press (In Chinese).
- [37.](#page-4-0) Berman CM, Ionica CS, Li JH (2004) Dominance style among Macaca thibetana on Mt. Huangshan, China. International Journal of Primatology 25: 1283–1312.
- [38.](#page-4-0) Li JH, Wang QS, Han DM (1996) Fission in a free-ranging Tibetan macaque troop at Huangshan Mountain, China. Chinese Science Bulletin 41: 1377–1381.
- [39.](#page-4-0) Xia DP, Li JH, Garber PA, Sun LX, Zhu Y, Sun BH (2012) Grooming reciprocity in female Tibetan macaques Macaca thibetana. American Journal of Primatology 74: 569–579. doi: [10.1002/ajp.21985](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.21985) PMID: [22539271](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22539271)
- [40.](#page-4-0) Berman CM, Li JH (2002) Impact of translocation, provisioning and range restriction on a group of Macaca thibetana. International Journal of Primatology 23: 383–397.
- [41.](#page-5-0) Berman CM, Ogawa H, Ionica C, Yin HB, Li JH (2008) Variation in kin bias over time in a group of Tibetan macaques at Huangshan, China: contest competition, time constraints or risk response? Behaviour 145: 863–896.
- [42.](#page-6-0) Altmann J (1974) Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. Behaviour 49: 227–267. PMID: [4597405](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4597405)
- [43.](#page-6-0) Cairns SJ, Schwager SJ (1987) A comparison of association indices. Animal Behaviour 35: 1454– 1469.
- [44.](#page-7-0) Borgatti SP, Everett MG, Freeman LC (2002) UCINET 6 for Windows: software for social network analysis. Harvard: Analytic technologies.
- [45.](#page-7-0) Girvan M, Newman MEJ (2002) Community structure in social and biological networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99: 7821-7826. PMID: [12060727](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12060727)
- [46.](#page-7-0) Newman MEJ (2004) Analysis of weighted networks. Physical Review E 70: 056131. PMID: [15600716](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15600716)
- 47. Newman MEJ, Girvan M (2004) Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. Physical Re-view E 69: 026113. PMID: [14995526](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14995526)
- 48. Sundaresan SR, Fischhoff IR, Dushoff J, Rubenstein DI (2007) Network metrics reveal differences in social organization between two fission-fusion species, Grevy's zebra and onager. Oecologia 151: 140–149. PMID: [16964497](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16964497)
- [49.](#page-7-0) Whitehead H, Bejder L, Ottensmeyer CA (2005) Testing associations patterns: issues arising and extensions. Animal Behaviour 69: e1–e6.
- [50.](#page-7-0) Barelli C, Boesch C, Heistermann M, Reichard UH (2008) Female white-handed gibbons (Hylobates lar) lead group movements and have priority of access to food resources Behaviour 145: 965–981.
- [51.](#page-7-0) Nishida T (1968) The social group of wild chimpanzees in the Mahali Mountains. Primates 9: 167–224.
- [52.](#page-7-0) Zhang M, Li JH, Zhu Y, Wang X, Wang S (2010) Male mate choice in Tibetan macaques Macaca thibetana at Mt. Huangshan, China. Current Zoology 56: 213–221.
- [53.](#page-10-0) Belle SV, Estrada A, Garber PA (2013) Collective group movement and leadership in wild black howler monkeys (Alouatta pigra). Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 67: 31–41.
- [54.](#page-10-0) Boinski S (1993) Vocal coordination of troop movement among white-faced capuchin monkeys, Cebus capucinus. American Journal of Primatology 30: 85–100.
- [55.](#page-10-0) Byrne RW (2000) How monkeys find their way: leadership, coordination and cognitive maps of African baboons. In: Boinski S, Garber PA, editors. On the move. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp. 491–518.
- [56.](#page-10-0) Watts DP (2000) Mountain gorilla habitat use strategies and group movements. In: Boinski S, Garber PA, editors. On the move. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp. 351–374.
- [57.](#page-10-0) Radford AN (2004) Vocal coordination of group movement by green woodhoopoes (Phoeniculus purpureus). Ethology 110: 11–20.
- [58.](#page-11-0) Stueckle S, Zinner D (2008) To follow or not to follow: decision making and leadership during the morning departure in chacma baboons. Animal Behaviour 75: 1995–2004.
- [59.](#page-11-0) Couzin ID, Krause J (2003) Self-organization and collective behavior in vertebrates. Advances in the Study of Behavior 32: 1–75.
- [60.](#page-11-0) Detrain C, Deneubourg JL. Pasteels JM (1999) Decision-making in foraging by social insects. In: Detrain C, Deneubourg JL. Pasteels JM, editors. Information processing in social insects. Basel: Birkhaüser Verlag. pp. 331–354.
- [61.](#page-11-0) Sueur C, Jacobs A, Amblard F, Petit O, King AJ (2011) How can social network analysis improve the study of primate behavior? American Journal of Primatology 73: 703–719. doi: [10.1002/ajp.20915](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20915) PMID: [21181869](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21181869)
- [62.](#page-11-0) de Waal FBM, Luttrell LM (1989) Toward a comparative socioecology of the genus Macaca: different dominance styles in rhesus and stumptail monkeys. American Journal of Primatology 19: 83–109.
- [63.](#page-12-0) Bertram BCR (1978) Living in groups: predators and prey. In: Krebs JR, Davies JB, editors. Behavioural Ecology. Oxford: Blackwell. pp. 64–96.
- [64.](#page-12-0) Wrangham RW (1980) An ecological model of female-bonded primate groups. Behaviour 75: 262– 300.
- [65.](#page-12-0) Sumpter DJT, Krause J, James R, Couzin ID, Ward AJW (2008) Consensus decision making by fish. Current Biology 18: 1773–1777. doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.064](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.064) PMID: [19013067](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19013067)