Central Washington University

[ScholarWorks@CWU](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/)

All Faculty Scholarship for the College of the
Sciences

College of the [Sciences](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac)

3-1-2017

Unusual geologic evidence of coeval seismic shaking and tsunamis shows variability in earthquake size and recurrence in the area of the giant 1960 Chile earthquake

M. Cisternas

E. Garrett

R. Wesson

T. Dura

Lisa L. Ely

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac](https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/cotsfac?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F324&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Geology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/156?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F324&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Geomorphology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1053?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F324&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Geophysics and Seismology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/158?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F324&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Tectonics and Structure Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/164?utm_source=digitalcommons.cwu.edu%2Fcotsfac%2F324&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

1 Unusual geologic evidence of coeval seismic shaking and tsunamis shows 2 variability in earthquake size and recurrence in the area of the giant 1960 3 Chile earthquake

- 4
- M. Cisternas¹, E. Garrett^{2,3}, R. Wesson⁴, T. Dura^{5,6}, L. L. Ely⁷ 5

6 ¹Escuela de Ciencias del Mar, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile

² Geological Survey of Belgium, Royal Belgian Institute for Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium.

- 8 ³Department of Geography, Durham University, UK
- 9 ⁴U.S. Geological Survey, Golden, CO, USA
- ⁵ Sea Level Research, Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, USA
- 11 ⁶Institute of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Rutgers University, USA
- ⁷Department of Geological Sciences, Central Washington University, Ellensburg, WA, USA
- 13 Corresponding author: Marco Cisternas (marco.cisternas@pucv.cl)
- 14

15

- 16
- 17

18

19 **Abstract**

 An uncommon coastal sedimentary record combines evidence for seismic shaking and coincident tsunami inundation since AD 1000 in the region of the largest earthquake recorded instrumentally: the giant 1960 southern Chile earthquake (Mw 9.5). The record reveals significant variability in the size and recurrence of megathrust earthquakes and ensuing tsunamis along this part of the Nazca-South American plate boundary. A 500-m long coastal outcrop on Isla Chiloé, midway along the 1960 rupture, provides continuous exposure of soil horizons buried locally by debris-flow diamicts and extensively by tsunami sand sheets. The diamicts flattened plants that yield geologically precise ages to correlate with well-dated evidence elsewhere. The 1960 event was preceded by three earthquakes that probably resembled it in their effects, in AD 898-1128, 1300-1398 and 1575, and by five relatively smaller intervening earthquakes. Earthquakes and tsunamis recurred exceptionally often between AD 1300 and 1575. Their average recurrence interval of 85 years only slightly exceeds the time already elapsed since 1960. This inference is of serious concern because no earthquake has been anticipated in the region so soon after the 1960 event, and current plate locking suggests that some segments of the boundary are already capable of producing large earthquakes. This long-term earthquake and tsunami history of one of the world´s most seismically active subduction zones provides an example of variable rupture mode, in which earthquake size and recurrence interval vary from one earthquake to the next.

Highlights:

1. Introduction

 We combine the Cocotué stratigraphic record with previously reported geological and historical evidence elsewhere in the 1960 region to reconstruct an earthquake history spanning the last 1000 years (Fig. 6). We propose that three earthquakes since AD 1000 resembled the 1960 mainshock in fault-rupture area, and that five other earthquakes likely radiated from smaller ruptures.

2. Setting

2.1. Cocotué outcrop

 Our new geologic findings come from a previously undescribed outcrop along the beach on the Pacific coast at Cocotué, on Isla Chiloé (Figs. 1, 3, S1). The site is midway along the length of the 1960 mainshock rupture. The outcrop was incised by a migrating creek and ocean waves that eroded into a low terrace at the foot of a former sea cliff. The cliff, about 40 m high and composed of Pleistocene glacial outwash, was cut in the late

 The poorly known next predecessor, in 1737, reportedly damaged Valdivia and towns of Isla Chiloé but no associated tsunami has been reported (Cisternas et al., 2005). By contrast, the central Chile earthquakes of 1730 and 1751 farther to the north were both associated with tsunamis that were noted not just in Chile (Lomnitz, 2004; Udías et al., 2012) but also in Japan (Watanabe, 1998).

 The most recent predecessor to the 1960 earthquake, in 1837, destroyed buildings midway along the 1960 rupture area. It was accompanied by changes in coastal land level farther south that lowered some islands (Vidal Gormaz, 1877) while raising others (Darwin, 1851). The ensuing tsunami appears to have been relatively small where documented at Chiloé but crested 6 m high in Hilo, Hawaii (Coan, 1882) and caused flooding and damage near Sendai, Japan (Watanabe, 1998). On the basis of the tsunami´s height in Hilo, the 1837 earthquake was assigned a tsunami magnitude of 9¼ (Abe, 1979).

2.3. The 1960 earthquake

 The mainshock of 22 May 1960 resulted from a rupture at the boundary between the subducting Nazca plate and the overriding South America plate following an extraordinary series of foreshocks (Cifuentes, 1989; Fig. 1a). The mainshock produced extensive damage and abundant slope failures in most of south-central Chile (Weischet, 1963). Warping of the overriding plate lowered one-quarter of Chile's outer coast by a meter or two (Plafker and Savage, 1970; Fig. 1b). Concurrent displacement of the seafloor generated a tsunami with peak heights of 15 m in Chile (Sievers, 1963), 10 m Hawaii (Eaton et al., 1961) and 6 m in Japan (Watanabe, 1998).

3. Previous work

 Paleoseismological studies have extended the history of earthquakes and tsunamis in south-central Chile thousands of years into the past. Some of these studies have relied on evidence for coastal land-level changes and tsunamis, while others have used evidence for shaking. So far none has combined both types of evidence for the same event.

3.1. Land-level changes and tsunamis

 Midway along the 1960 earthquake rupture area, reconnaissance studies in the late 1980s uncovered coastal evidence for its predecessors in the Maullín estuary, located on the mainland 50 km north of Cocotué (Fig. 1). Buried soils provided evidence for recurrent subsidence despite net late Holocene emergence of tidal-marshes (Atwater et al., 1992). Further study yielded a 2000-yr history of repeated coseismic subsidence and tsunamis (Cisternas et al., 2005). The average interval between 1960-type events was two to three times longer than the 128-year average interval separating the 1575, 1737, 1837, and 1960 events. The youngest four events in the Maullín sequence (D, C, B, and A), each marked by subsidence and tsunami, occurred within the past 1000 years. Radiocarbon analyses dated event D to AD 1020-1180 and event C to AD 1280-1390. Maullín event B likely corresponds to 1575 and event A is unquestionably 1960. Traces of subsidence and tsunamis in 1737 and 1837 were sought but not found at the estuary. Indeed, there is a widespread absence of buried soils intermediate between those conspicuously preserved from 1575 and 1960. In addition, tree-ring (see below) and written evidence argued against 19th-century subsidence.

 Landforms and pits on a beach-ridge plain at Caulle, 7 km southwest of Maullín, provided stratigraphic evidence for three tsunamis, including the one in 1960 (Atwater et al., 2013). The site, two kilometers inland, includes a breach in a Holocene beach ridge, a

 pond that extends landward from the breach, and a fan that partly rims the pond. At least two tsunamis prior to 1960 cut the breach. The 1960 tsunami reamed out the breach and aggraded the fan with a sedimentary breccia of sand and soil clasts. Two older sand deposits were found below the 1960 soil, each resting on buried soils. Dating gave an age of AD 1270-1400 for the lower sand bed, similar to the age range of Maullín event C (AD 1280-1390). The intermediate sand sheet was stratigraphically ascribed to the 1575 tsunami.

 Coastal evidence for recurring earthquakes was also identified at Chucalén, an estuarine site 10 km north of Cocotué on the sheltered coast of northern Chiloé (Garrett et al., 2015; Fig. 1c). Four buried soils, at least three of them capped with a sand sheet, provide evidence of earthquakes and tsunamis in 1960 and 1575 and at two earlier times overlapping the ages of Maullín´s events C and D. Changes in diatom assemblages show that subsidence coincided with at least three of the earthquakes. As in Maullín, no geologic evidence of the historical earthquakes in 1737 and 1837 was found in Chucalén. Evidence of land-level change and tsunamis also has been found in the northern half of the 1960 rupture area. Cores in marshes of the Valdivia estuary (Fig. 1b), 230 km north of Cocotué, encountered fragmentary evidence of subsidence and tsunamis before 1960 (Nelson et al., 2009). This evidence includes two successive buried soils that are each overlain by sand and were dated to 2700-1700 and 1700-1300 cal yr BP. Estuarine stratigraphy at Tirúa (Fig. 1b), 400 km north of Cocotué, shows four instances of land-level change and accompanying tsunamis over the past 450 years within

the overlapping ruptures areas of the 1960 and 2010 earthquakes (Ely et al., 2014).

Negligible subsidence reported in 1960 at Tirúa (Plafker and Savage, 1970) contrasts with

 the uplift of 0.5-1 m of this area during the 2010 earthquake. Likewise Tirúa appears to have subsided during the southern Chile earthquake of 1575 and to have been uplifted during the 1751 earthquake to the north. Based on multiple radiocarbon ages and stratigraphic position, Ely et al. (2014) ascribe one of the sand sheets at Tirúa to the 1575 tsunami. Yet, OSL dating suggests older ages (AD 1390±80) for this and other sand sheets in the sequence (Nentwig et al., 2015).

 Evidence of five tsunamis was found in an abandoned meander and banks of Quidico River, 10 km north of Tirúa (Hong et al., 2016). All events but the oldest were correlated with historical tsunamis in 2010, 1960, 1835 and 1751. Although Quidico lies near the northern boundary of the 1960 region, its sequence appears to record tsunamis sourced in the 2010 earthquake region. A prehistoric tsunami dated to AD 1445-1490 is marked by a thick and widespread sand sheet. Its source remains unknown.

 Further clues about land-level changes come from arboreal evidence. Drowned forests of dead or dying trees at San Rafael fiord (Fig. 1b), at the southern end of the 1960 rupture, provided evidence for subsidence in 1837. Counting the annual growth rings of one drowned tree, still rooted to the floor of the fiord, Reed et al. (1988) noted it became stunted and tilted in the 1830s. Comparing the level of the lowest healthy living trees with the level of the lowest well preserved dead stumps, they inferred a subsidence between 2.0 and 2.5 m.

 In contrast, old-growth trees in northern Chiloé and near Maullín, killed or damaged by tidal submergence after subsiding in 1960, show no evidence of subsidence in 1837. Measuring tree diameters and annual rings, Bartsch-Winkler and Schmoll (1993) suggested that a forest drowned in 1960 at the Pudeto estuary of Chiloé (Fig. 1d) had failed to subside

 in 1837. On the mainland at Misquihué (Fig. 1c) a similar story was inferred counting rings of 15 trees killed in 1960. Ten of them were found to have lived through 1837 and two through 1737 as well (Cisternas et al., 2005). Furthermore, on an 1874 nautical chart, Chilean Navy surveyors schematically depicted the Misquihué trees as large and healthy. 3.2. Geologic evidence for shaking Shaking-triggered turbidites at Puyehue Lake (Fig. 1b), in the foothills of the Andes and about 150 km northeast from Cocotué, provide evidence for nine earthquakes comparable to the 1960 event during the last 10000 years (Moernaut et al., 2007). Although shaking had recurred at irregular intervals, spanning 1000 years on average, the two youngest turbidites were linked to the historical earthquakes of 1575 and 1960. By contrast, turbidites off the Chilean coast between 38°S and 40°S suggest a more frequent earthquake recurrence, every 100-200 years, over the last glacial period (Blumberg et al., 2008). Climate, sea-level change and proximity to the seismic source would explain 227 such a short recurrence interval by promoting the triggering of offshore turbidites, which likely recorded earthquakes of different sizes. Similar influences were inferred by Bernhardt et al. (2015) when studying offshore turbidites in central Chile, between 29°S and 38°S. Although two of the Bernhardt et al. (2015) cores are located in the northern end of the 1960 region, the scarcity of planktonic foraminifera in the hemipelagic intervals did

not allow a well resolved chronology to compare with other available paleoseismic records.

 The 1575, 1837 and 1960 earthquakes have been invoked to explain a series of three 234 turbidites in another marine record at Reloncaví Fijord (Fig. 1d), 120 km east of Cocotué (St-Onge et al., 2012). Turbidites were linked to those historical dates through average

 sedimentation rates. A fourth and deeper turbidite was attributed to an earthquake around AD 1400.

 Turbidites found in lakes Villarica, Calafquén and Riñihue (Fig. 1b), along the northern half of the 1960 region, suggest variation in rupture mode over the last 900 years (Moernaut et al., 2014). The turbidites were stratigraphically correlated within each of the three lakes. Successive turbidites differed in spatial extent and thickness, characteristics interpreted as products of local earthquake intensity. Although lacking evidence from the southern half of the 1960 rupture area, the four turbidites were ascribed to earthquakes that ruptured its entire along-strike length in AD 1083-1171, 1310-1332, 1575 and 1960. This turbidite sequence improves upon the less precisely constrained ages for the prehistoric events D and C in the Maullín earthquake history (Cisternas et al., 2005). Additionally, intervening smaller turbidites in one basin of Calafquén Lake were interpreted as three smaller events, in AD 1280-1308, 1460-1470 and 1544-1548 (Moernaut et al., 2014). Of the three, only the AD 1460-1470 has a counterpart in another lake, at Riñihue. Two younger and smaller turbidites were linked to the historical earthquakes of 1737 and 1837. The extent and thickness of these turbidites, compared with other paleoseismic and historical records, suggest a northern rupture in 1737 and a southern rupture in 1837.

4. Methods

4.1. Stratigraphy

 We found the Cocotué exposure during the austral summer of 2006 and studied it further during the summers of 2007, 2008 and 2012. Using picks and shovels we cleaned an outcrop 500 m long. Stratigraphic logs were made every 10 m for most of the exposure and

 every 1 m at debris-flow fans. Grain size and texture were estimated in the field by hand lens.

 Airphotos taken before and soon after the 1960 earthquake aided in developing the interpretations cartooned in Figure 2. Pre-earthquake oblique airphotos were taken by the United States military in 1944 and post-earthquake vertical airphotos were taken by the Chilean airforce in January 1961 (Figs. 1d, 3). 4.2. Topography and sea level Height differences among features in the outcrop were measured during each field

season with a tripod-mounted level. The points surveyed in this manner were referred to a

common benchmark by means of third-order leveling with a closure error of 1 cm.

 In January 2012 we linked the benchmark level to mean sea level. We estimated mean sea level at Cocotué by measuring the water level continuously for 10 hours with a portable acoustic tide gauge that we referenced to the benchmark. The resulting tide record, obtained at a time of fair weather, was fitted to tidal predictions from the TPXO 8-atlas tidal model, which is based on harmonic constituents extracted from 14 years of TOPEX/Poseidon satellite altimetry (Egbert et al., 1994; Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002; Melnick et al., 2012). The inferred total error in the estimate of mean sea level at Cocotué was less than 0.1 m.

4.3. Dating by radiocarbon and introduced pollen

 Accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) radiocarbon analysis provided ages for the plants flattened and buried by the debris flows. Well-preserved plant remains, mainly of pineapple-like bromeliads (*Greigia sphacelata*) and rushes (*Juncus* sp.) were found bent

al, 2016). Along the Cocotué´s outcrop we selected an undisturbed stratigraphic column to

be sampled for diatoms (location in Fig. 4b). Diatoms were extracted, using standard

 preparation methods (Palmer and Abbott, 1986), from 0.5 cm thick samples from the soils and sand sheets that bracket them. We inspected slides at a magnification of x1000, identifying at least 250 diatom valves per sample. Identifications followed Rivera and Valdebenito (1979), Hartley et al. (1996) and reference collections held by Durham University. We inferred the paleoecology of the assemblages by grouping species into five categories based on their salinity preference, in the manner of Lowe (1974), Hemphill-Haley (1993), and van Dam et al. (1994).

5. The Cocotué stratigraphy

 The overall stratigraphy along the 0.5-km-long Cocotué outcrop includes four nearly continuous, mostly tabular units of fine clean sand, each averaging about 10 cm in 313 thickness. These sand sheets alternate with dark organic soil layers, \geq 10 cm thick, which give the exposure a horizontally banded appearance (Fig. 4). This sequence rests on a basal unit of beach sand. Since surveyed, the exposure has been eroded by waves and partially collapsed.

 Sharp contacts mark the boundaries between each sand sheet and underlying soil. These four distinct and continuous sand-soil contacts, from youngest (top) to oldest (bottom), are termed here contacts A, B, C, and D (Fig. 4). One of the four, contact C, is distinctive lithologically because the sand above it contains pebbles of pumice (Figs. S4, S5a). The youngest of the four, contact A, is distinctive paleontologically as the only contact that overlies pine pollen (Fig. S3b).

 Diamicts, composed of unsorted mixtures of clay, silt, sand, and gravel, locally interrupt sand sheets above contacts A, B, C, and D. The largest clasts within the diamict are cobbles and boulders as much as 40 cm in diameter. These are well rounded and a few of them are so weathered that they can be cut with a knife. All these unsorted mixtures are also exposed in a landslide scarp high near the top of the former sea cliff, pointing to the cliff as the source of the diamicts. The diamicts themselves form lenticular beds that coincide with the toes of two conspicuous debris-flow fans (Fans N and S; Fig. S1). Although the diamicts locally interrupt the continuity of the sand-soil contacts, becoming diamict-soil contacts, the lack of erosion on the tops of the soils, evidenced by the presence of growth-position flattened plants (Fig. S2), persuaded us to keep the contact name through the diamict-soil contacts, even though they likely mark a different process. Four less distinct and discontinuous additional contacts, each capped by a sand sheet and three of them also by diamicts, are present locally within the soils beneath contacts A, B, and C. Most of the less conspicuous contacts are associated with fan S but some are also found at fan N. The stratigraphically oldest of the additional contacts, termed cd, is between contact C and D. Two others, contacts bc1 and bc2, are between contact B and C. Contact ab, with a distinctively pink soil, underlies both contact A and the earliest (highest) occurrence of pine pollen (Figs. S3b, S5).

5.1. Basal beach deposits

 Beach deposits thicker than 2 m underlie the eight contacts described below (Fig. 4). We ascribed this basal unit to beach because it contains scattered marine shells and heavy mineral laminae similar to those in the modern foreshore beach. On this old beach an organic dark soil developed. As the soil contains mostly freshwater, salt-intolerant diatoms and was vegetated with plants before burial (Figs. S2, S3), it probably resulted from a significant land-level change that raised the beach out of the tidal zone. The soil likely

 developed shortly before 1000 years ago, when its plants were buried (see age of contact D below). Freshwater species dominated diatom assemblages in the overlying sediments (Fig. S3c), implying that the terrace has never returned to the tidal reaches since the formation of the soil on the beach. Despite this broad trend, during the last 1000 years the freshwater, salt-intolerant diatoms have diminished upwards in favor of diatoms that tolerate or are stimulated at low salinity. This subtle trend would suggest either a relative sea-level rise, a net landward advance of the shore that exposed the terrace to salt spray, or both.

5.2. Contact D

 Contact D marks the boundary between the soil developed on the old beach and both sand and diamict deposits (Fig. 4b). The contact is clearly delineated by a ~10-cm thick tabular sand sheet, which rests on the soil along the entire 500-m outcrop and overlaps both pinched-out edges of the diamict lens. Freshwater diatoms predominate in this sand sheet (Fig. S3c). The diamict lens, located at fan N, is the second largest in exposed area at Cocotué. No coeval diamict is evident at fan S.

 Plants flattened and buried by the diamict at fan N date contact D to AD 907-1149 (*Juncus* sp.) and AD 862-1131 (*G. sphacelata*). Their pooled mean age, 1083±31 14C yr BP, corresponds to AD 898-1128 at 2σ (Fig. 5; Tables 1, S1).

5.3. Contact cd

 Contact cd is defined only by a sand-soil discontinuous boundary. No diamict lens was observed interrupting the sand sheet or occurring at the same stratigraphic level. The thin (~3 cm) tabular and discontinuous sheet of clean sand is found 3-5 cm below contact 369 C. Its clearest exposure is at fan S, where it extends continuously for \sim 4 m below the

5.4. Contact C

 Contact C is clearly marked by both sand-soil and diamict-soil boundaries. While the sand sheet is thinner (~4 cm) and broken in fan N, as it is probably trampled (Figs. 4b, S3), it is thicker (~10 cm) and continuous in fan S (Fig. 4c). The sand overlaps only the lower pinched edge of the diamict in fan N but both edges in the diamict of fan S. Of all the sand sheets at the outcrop, the sand over contact C contains the largest proportion of marine diatoms (30% of the total assemblage; Fig. S3c). Remarkably, diamict lenses over contact C occur at both of the debris-flow fans. The diamict at fan N is laterally longer than the one in fan S (Figs. 4b, 4c).

 A distinctive but discontinuous ~5-cm-thick layer of rounded, gravel-sized pumice (1-5 cm in diameter) conformably caps the sand sheet over contact C at different places but mainly at fan S (Figs. 4a, 4c, S4). No soil nor erosion is evident between the sand and the pumice. Additionally, no other conspicuous pumice layer is evident in the 1000-year-long stratigraphic record. These absences suggest a closeness in time between the pumice deposition and the process that produced contact C. A short discussion about the pumice

 sources and a possible volcanic eruption associated to event C is in the Supporting material (Text S1).

 The diamict over contact C, at fan N, flattened a twig from AD 1300-1420 and a *G. sphacelata* leaf from AD 1286-1394. The pooled mean age constrains contact C to AD 1300-1398 (Tables 1 and S1).

5.5. Contacts bc1 and bc2

 Two closely spaced contacts, bc1 and bc2, are overlain by both sand and diamict 398 deposits between contacts C and B. They are delineated by two thin $(\sim 3 \text{ cm})$ sand sheets that pinch out on the flanks of the soil-covered diamict that overlies contact C in fan S (Fig. 4c). The sandy pair also occurs in the middle of fan S and at the southern end of fan N. A pair of overlapped diamict lenses likely also mark contacts bc1 and bc2 between contact C and B. They show an architecture similar to that of fan N: the younger diamict occupies an area beside the higher ground created by the preceding diamict (Figs. 4c and S1d). We could not trace laterally the sand-soil contacts with the diamict-soil contacts; however, their similar stratigraphic level and spatial closeness strongly suggest their correlation.

 By their position between contacts C and B, contacts bc1 and bc2 postdate AD 1300-1398 and predate AD 1411-1625 (see age of contact B below). However, radiocarbon dates obtained for bc1 and bc2 overlap those of the bracketing contacts (Table S1). The earlier diamict in the couplet, resting on contact bc1, flattened and buried a *Juncus* stem from AD 1304-1435, an age mostly indistinguishable from that of the preceding contact C (AD 1300-1398). Likewise, the later diamict, resting on contact bc2, flattened a *Juncus*

 stem from AD 1505-1802, a range that overlaps the age of the overlying contact B (AD 1412-1625).

5.6. Contact B

 Contact B is defined by both sand-soil and diamict-soil boundaries. This contact is clearly marked by a ~8-cm thick tabular sand sheet, which rests on the soil along the entire outcrop. The sand sheet overlies only a distal edge of the diamict lens at fan N. Like contact D, contact B is marked by only one diamict lens.

 The age of contact B is limited by a woody root that was 2 cm below the surface of the soil buried by the diamict in fan N. If the root was part of a plant killed by the debris flow, the contact dates to AD 1412-1625 (Tables 1 and S1).

5.7. Contact ab

 The penultimate contact at Cocotué is overlain by a relatively thick (2-6 cm) and continuous sand sheet that lies 4-8 cm below contact A at fan N (Figs. 4b, S3, S5c), and by diamict lenses in fan S. Both the diamicts and the sand overlie a conspicuous change in color in the soil (pink when wet, paler when dry; Fig. S5). On this basis, the sand at fan N was correlated with two small and one medium-size diamicts in fan S (Fig. 4c). The diamicts and sand sheet are stratigraphically closer to contact A than to contact B, which suggests a temporal proximity between those deposits and the process that produced contact A.

 A piece of wood included in the sand sheet gave a maximum calendar age of AD 1505-1949, with most of the probability density between AD 1700 and 1800 (Tables 1 and S1). A further minimum age for contact ab is provided by *P. radiata* pollen (Fig. S3b).

level even after subsiding in 1960.

 Diatoms associated with the sand sheets neither confirm nor refute a tsunami source (Fig. S3c). Freshwater assemblages predominate in most of the sand sheets. The

 predominance of freshwater species need not imply a terrestrial sediment source, however, because the former cliff lacks clean sand similar to that of the sand sheets. We hypothesize that sand derived from the beach may have contained few diatoms to begin with, while post-depositional freshwater ponding and infiltration may have introduced freshwater diatom taxa into the sand sheets.

 We interpret each of the diamict lenses as an earthquake-triggered debris-flow deposit. This interpretation is supported by their mix of clast types and their coincidence with fans at the bases of debris-flow channels. Airphotos taken eight months after the 1960 earthquake show fan N covered by a fresh, large debris flow (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the 1960, 1837 and 1575 earthquakes are known to have produced abundant slope failures in south-central Chile (Weischet, 1963; Davis and Karzulovich, 1963, Cisternas et al., 2005).

 Where a diamict lens and a sand sheet buried the same soil, and where the sand extends onto unweathered debris-flow deposits, we infer that a tsunami was triggered during the same earthquake that set off the debris flow (Fig. 2).

 When a laterally large diamict lens is associated with a continuous and relatively thick sand sheet, comparable with those assigned to the 1960 earthquake and tsunami (see below), we simplistically infer that they were more likely created by a 1960-type earthquake and tsunami. When the diamict lens is smaller and the sand sheet is discontinuous and thin, we infer that they more likely resulted from a relatively smaller or perhaps more distant event. In making a final interpretation of the relative sizes of the events at Cocotué, we also considered the latitudinal extent of correlative deposits at other sites.

 Given these interpretations, and the corresponding radiocarbon ages, the series of eights contacts gives evidence of four possible 1960-type earthquakes and tsunamis (from old to young, events D, C, B, and A), and of four intervening relatively lesser events (cd, bc1, bc2, and ab) in the span of about 1000 years.

6.2. Chronological correlation with well-dated evidence elsewhere

 In most cases the ages of the plants killed by debris flows predate the inferred earthquake by no more than a few years. This geological precision facilitates correlation with well-dated coastal and subaqueous evidence elsewhere and with historical accounts (Tables 1 and S1; Fig. 5).

 The oldest 1960-type earthquake and tsunami recorded in Cocotué (event D), marked by the lowest debris-flow deposit and associated sand sheet, occurred in AD 898- 1128. Notably this age coincides with evidence for a 1960-type earthquake and tsunami at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005) and Chucalén (Garrett, et al., 2015), and for a comparable earthquake in Calafquén Lake (Moernaut et al., 2014). The Cocotué age range overlaps the age of two rushes killed by tidal submergence at Maullín, the modelled age of submergence at Chucalén and the age of the oldest large turbidite in Calafquén Lake (Fig. 5; Tables 1 and S1).

 Sometime after event D, a relatively smaller tsunami was recorded in Cocotué (cd). Although we could not date it, by its stratigraphic position, between D and C, it postdates AD 898-1128 and predates AD 1300-1398. Interestingly, a local turbidite in Calafquén marks a smaller earthquake that coincides, by stratigraphic position and age range, with cd (Tables 1 and S1). Event cd likely also correlates with a smaller tsunami recorded in

 Maullín. There, at the seaward end of two perpendicular transects, a thin 1-cm sand sheet is exposed between Maullín events D and C (Figs. S2, S3 of Cisternas et al. (2005)). In both stratigraphic level and thickness, the thin sand sheet at Cocotué greatly resembles the sand sheet at Maullín.

 The age of the next prominent earthquake and tsunami at Cocotué is AD 1300-1398 (event C), probably several decades after cd. This date overlaps the ages of plants killed by subsidence in Maullín and in Caulle (Atwater et al., 2013) and an inferred age in Chucalén. The age of C also overlaps the date of the second oldest large turbidite of Calafquén Lake and the oldest of Riñihue Lake (Moernaut et al., 2014; Tables 1 and S1).

 Following event C, a pair of lesser earthquakes and tsunamis left less distinct layers in Cocotué (bc1 and bc2). Notably, turbidites in Calafquén show a similar pattern with evidence of a pair of smaller earthquakes between the events linked to events C and B at Cocotué (Table 1). Radiocarbon dating of the earlier event in Cocotué, bc1, yielded an age (AD 1305-1435) that is indistinguishable from that of event C, while the age of the later bc2 event (AD 1505-1802), is also indistinguishable from that of event B. The similarity in ages together with their stratigraphic proximity (see Fig. 4c) suggests events C, bc1, bc2 and B all occurred close in time. Varve counting in Calafquén Lake supports this inference. The counterpart of bc1 in Calafquén was dated at AD 1460-1470, roughly a century after event C, and bc2 was dated at AD 1544-1548, a few decades before the occurrence of event B.

 Event B, another 1960-type earthquake and tsunami, struck Cocotué in AD 1412- 1625 (Tables 1 and S1). This age range overlaps with ages of the plant remains that underlie the penultimate tsunami deposit at Maullín and the penultimate large turbidites in Calafquén, Riñihue and Villarrica lakes (Moernaut et al., 2014). Event B at Cocotué likely represents the giant and well-documented Chilean earthquake and tsunami of 1575.

 The penultimate event recorded in Cocotué (ab), with a maximum age of AD 1505- 1949 and most of its probability density between AD 1700 and 1800, represents a relatively smaller earthquake and tsunami. This event likely corresponds to the south-central Chile earthquake and trans-Pacific tsunami of 1837. While the historical earthquake of 1737 also falls within the age range, there is no known report of a tsunami either in Chile or Japan in 1737. Further, written records suggest the effects of the 1737 earthquake were focused in the northern half of the 1960 region, around Valdivia farther north of Cocotué, while the 1837 effects were more evident in the southern half, closer to Cocotué. In addition, the stratigraphic position of contact ab is closer to the upper contact than to the lower 1575 contact, suggesting a temporal proximity to 1960. Finally, because the tsunami deposit is around 4 cm below the soil that contains the deepest *P. radiata* pollen, event ab likely occurred only several decades before AD 1900.

 If contact ab records the 1837 earthquake and tsunami, the 1737 earthquake is the only case, in a 1000-year comparison between Cocotué and the lacustrine record farther north, of an incomplete match. From north to south, lakes Villarica, Calafquén and Riñihue recorded both 1737 and 1837 earthquakes (Moernaut et al., 2014). However, turbidites suggest that the 1737 shaking was stronger in the northernmost lake, Villarica, than in the lakes to the south. This agrees with the northern location of the 1737 earthquake indicated by written records (Cisternas et al., 2005). Thus, the lack of 1737 evidence in Cocotué is consistent with a more northerly location.

 The latitudinal extent of the geologic evidence for each of the three giant predecessors to 1960 in Cocotué, Chucalén, Maullín and Calafquén Lake suggests fault rupture at the boundary between the Nazca and South American plates. No intraplate fault could explain strong shaking for 300 km between Cocotué and Calafquén or coastal land- level changes for 350 km between Maullín and Tirúa, in 1575 (Fig. 6b). The tsunami evidence at Cocotué, Chucalén, Maullín, Caulle, and Tirúa also points to megathrust ruptures that warped the floor of the continental shelf and slope to their west.

 Could the size of the debris-flow deposits at Cocotué be indicative of the relative size of the earthquakes? Among these deposits, only those of event D rival the one from 1960 in thickness and continuity along the 500-m outcrop. The size of the 1960 diamict, however, was perhaps enhanced by the land-use change and the season when the event occurred. Spanish colonists initiated widespread clearing of the native rain-forest on Chiloé, promoting soil erosion and slope instability (Torrejón et al., 2004). This process significantly increased and accelerated after Chile's independence in 1810 (Torrejón et al., 2011). Additionally, the 1960 earthquake occurred during the austral winter, a very rainy season at Chiloé. By contrast, the 1575 earthquake occurred early in the era of land clearing and during the drier austral summer.

 Written evidence for far-field tsunamis in Japan, as in 1837 and 1960, could help to define the size of the predecessors to the 1960 earthquake. However, no such evidence has been found in Japan for the 1575 Chilean tsunami (Ninomiya, 1960; Iida et al., 1967; Soloviev and Go, 1984; Watanabe, 1998), despite Chilean written and geologic evidence indicating that the effects of the 1575 earthquake resembled those in 1960. Similarly, there are no accounts in Japan of flooding and damage at times corresponding to the earlier

 The earthquake recurrence intervals among C, bc1, bc2, and 1575 are remarkably short (Table 1; Fig. 6c). If the date range for event C as indicated by the turbidite record is correct (AD 1310-1332), and event B is in fact 1575, the middle and northern thirds of the 1960 region were struck by at least four megathrust earthquakes and four ensuing tsunamis in just two and a half centuries.

 The average recurrence interval for this period (85 yr) is shorter than the historical average in the series 1575, 1737, 1837, 1960 (128 yr) and about three times shorter than the earthquakes geologically recorded at Maullín (285 yr). Furthermore, the interval between event bc2 and 1575 appears to be even shorter than the roughly 85-year average. Correlated with a Calafquén turbidite, bc2 is bracketed by AD 1544-1548. It would imply that the giant 1575 event was preceded by a 1837-type event (bc2) only 30 years before. Although close in time to the foundation of Valdivia in 1552, the first Spanish settlement south of Concepción (Cisternas et al., 2012), bc2 was probably a few years too early to have entered written history through Spanish colonists.

 Alternatively, the AD 1300-1575 recurrence story is oversimplified if events bc1 and bc2 ruptured different portions along the area broken in 1960, as likely occurred in 1737 and 1837. While the rupture in 1737 focused in the north, the one in 1837 was in the center and south. If bc1 and bc2 behaved in a similar way, the AD 1300-1575 average recurrence interval for a given portion of the megathrust would be longer than 85 years. However, the pair bc1-bc2 left a geologic imprint far different from that of the 1737-1837 pair in Cocotué. While the 1737 event did not leave any record, the 1837 event is marked by evidence of shaking (debris-flow deposit) and tsunami (sand sheet). In this respect, the geologic record at Cocotué differentiates between these two ruptures. On the contrary, both bc1 and bc2 are clearly and similarly marked by both shaking and tsunamis at Cocotué. It strongly suggests that the bc1 and bc2 ruptures were both similar to that in 1837 and that they occurred if not in the same area, at least nearby.

 Consequently, the short bc2-1575 interval provides an important precedent for a recurrence interval comparable to the 56 years that have elapsed since 1960. This inference gives some cause for concern, because by 2011 the accumulated interplate moment deficit showed that locked segments of the 1960 region were already capable of nucleating earthquakes of magnitude 8 (Moreno et al., 2011).

8. Conclusions

 The unusual coastal sedimentary record at Cocotué, which combines evidence for seismic shaking and coincident tsunami inundation since AD 1000 in the region of the giant 1960 Chile earthquake, reveals differences in earthquake size and recurrence between successive ruptures.

 In the millennium preceding 1960, south-central Chile was struck by three earthquakes that probably resembled the 1960 mainshock (in AD 898-1128, 1300-1398 and 1575) and by five intervening earthquakes that were likely smaller in fault-rupture area. All but one, in 1737, generated obvious tsunamis. This inferred seismic history begins with a giant, 1960-type, earthquake early in the 12th century AD. A relatively smaller earthquake with a tsunami followed before the end of the 13th century. Soon after, early in the 14th century, another giant earthquake was accompanied by a tsunami and may have occurred close in time with a volcanic eruption as well. Two relatively smaller earthquakes and tsunamis ensued between this event and the giant 1575 earthquake and tsunami. The

 Since AD 1000, megathrust earthquakes and tsunamis recurred most often between AD 1300 and 1575. The recurrence intervals averaged about 85 years, a little more than the time already elapsed since 1960. This conclusion is of serious concern for two reasons. First, no earthquake has been expected to occur so soon in the area struck only 56 years ago by an earthquake as large as the 1960 event. Second, plate locking suggests that some segments of the region are already capable of nucleating earthquakes as large as magnitude 8.

 This millennial earthquake and tsunami history of one of the world´s most active subduction zones adds another example of variable rupture mode, in which earthquake size and recurrence interval vary from one earthquake to the next.

9. Acknowledgments

 This work was funded by Chile's Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico FONDECYT projects 1110848 and 1150321. Additional support for Ely, Wesson and Dura was provided by NSF projects EAR 1145170 and EAR 1144537, and for Garrett by the Quaternary Research Association and Santander. Initial efforts to clean and survey the Cocotué outcrop were made with M. Lagos and S. Shrinivasalu. Other reconnaissance contributors included M. Shishikura, Y. Sawai, C. Youlton, S. Fernando, I. Shiappacasse, K. Jankaew, B. Atwater, A. Prendergast, A. Eipert, E. Yulianto, C.P. Rajendran, and I. Tejakusuma. Initial versions of the manuscript were greatly improved by

- Heusser, C., Foster, R., 1977. Quaternary glaciations and environments of northern Isla 787 Chiloé, Chile. Geology 5, 305–308.
- Heusser, C., 1990. Chilotan piedmont glacier in the southern Andes during the last glacial 789 maximum. Revista Geológica de Chile 17, 3–18.
- Hong, I., Dura, T., Ely, L., Horton, B., Nelson, A., Cisternas, M., Nikitina D., Wesson, R.,
- 2016. A 600-year-long stratigraphic record of tsunamis in south-central Chile. The Holocene. DOI: 10.1177/0959683616646191.
- Hogg, A., Hua, Q., Blackwell, P., Niu, M., Buck, C., Guilderson, T., Heaton, T., Palmer, J.,
- Reimer, P., Reimer, R., Turney, C., Zimmerman, S., 2013. SHCal13 Southern 795 Hemisphere Calibration, 0-50,000 Years cal BP. Radiocarbon 55, 1889–1903.
- Iida, K., Cox, D., Pararas-Carayannis, G., 1967. Preliminary Catalog of Tsunamis
- Occurring in the Pacific Ocean, HIG-67-10, Hawaii Institute of Geophysics, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 275 pp.
- Kanamori, H., McNally, K., 1982. Variable rupture mode of the subduction zone along the Ecuador-Colombia coast. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 72, 1241–53.
- Kempt, L., Anderson, T., Thomas, R., Mudrochova, A., 1974. Sedimentation rates and recent sediment history of lakes Ontario, Erie and Huron. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 44, 207–218.
- Lomnitz, C., 1970. Major earthquakes and tsunamis in Chile during the period 1535 to 806 1955. Geologische Rundschau 59, 938–960.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Index maps and pre-1960 aerial view of the study area. a) Plate-tectonic setting of

Chile. Purple paired arrows indicate plate convergence of 6.6 cm yr-1 (Angermann et al.,

- 1999). b) Area of 1960 earthquake. Brown elongated ellipse outlines the area that subsided
- tectonically in 1960 (Plafker and Savage, 1970). Black dots mark places mentioned in the
- text. Blue dots mark Andean lakes studied by Moernaut et al. (2014). c) Location of
- Cocotué. Dashed blue curve shows western limit of the last glacial advance (Porter, 1981).
- d) Oblique 1944 air view of Cocotué and surroundings.

 Figure 2. Schematic view of how seismic shaking and tsunami inundation were recorded at Cocotué. a) An earthquake triggers a debris flow on a former sea cliff. b) The diamict resulting from the debris flow covers the soil at the foot of the slope, where it flattens and buries plants. c) An ensuing tsunami entrains sand as it comes ashore, and subsequently deposits the sand on the toe of the debris-flow fan. d) A new soil develops on the tsunami deposit and the diamict. e) The next cycle begins when another earthquake triggers another debris flow.

 Figure 3. Setting of the Cocotué outcrop shown by aerial views before and after the 1960 earthquake and tsunami (see also Figure S2). a) Oblique 1944 airphoto shows the slope of the former sea cliff and the elongated freshwater pond at its foot. All three views show the same fence line. In 1944, the sea stack known as Roca Runrun was surrounded by beach sand. b) Vertical airphoto taken eight months after the 1960 earthquake shows a series of

et al., 2013; Garrett et al., 2015). Cocotué ages from coastal sand sheets and diamicts,

interpreted as recording giant and merely great events (this report). Colors of the rectangles

match the events that have been previously correlated, by stratigraphy and dating, to the

924 events of Maullín—Blue: event D; purple: C; green: B (1575); red: A (1960). Gray dots for

Reloncaví indicate dates of earthquakes inferred from marine turbidites and average

sedimentation rates (St-Onge et al., 2012). Barred rectangles show dates and uncertainty

 derived from varve counts for turbidites in Calafquén Lake (Moernaut et al., 2014). Basin-wide and local turbidites interpreted as produced by large and smaller events respectively.

 Figure 6. Graphical summary of the inferred 1000-year earthquake history along the length of the 1960 region. a) Age sequence of the 1960-type and relatively smaller earthquakes recorded at Cocotué compared with ages from Calafquén Lake (Moernaut et al., 2014) and historical dates (Lomnitz, 1970). b) Plot of the stratigraphic and historical evidence for each event. Geologic evidence from Ely et al. (2014), Moernaut et al. (2014), Cisternas et al. (2005), Garrett et al. (2015) and this report. Historical evidence from Lomnitz (1970) and Table S1 of Cisternas et al. (2005). c) Timeline of giant and great earthquakes that struck south-central Chile during the last 1000 years. Age ranges from Calafquén Lake (Moernaut et al., 2014).

Table caption

 Table 1. Stratigraphic and chronological correlation between the Cocotué events (this report) and coastal (Cisternas et al., 2005; Atwater et al., 2013; Garrett et al., 2015) and subaqueous lacustrine evidence (Moernaut et al., 2014) elsewhere. Bold and regular lettering denote events interpreted as giant and relatively smaller earthquakes respectively. Asterisks indicate dates inferred from stratigraphy, written records, or airphotos. While ages from Cocotué Maullín, Caulle, and Chucalén were obtained through radiocarbon analyses, the Villarica, Calafquén, and Riñihue lakes were derived counting varves.

Figure 1

-
- O Smaller tsunami
- v Subsidence
- O Smaller tsunami
- ۳ Subsidence
- ▲ Uplift
- 7 Date for a large historical earthquake
- V Date for a smaller historical earthquake
-

