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ROLL CALL

Senators Present: All Senators or their alternates were present except S. Bayless, F. Carlson, C. Condit, A. Lewis, E. Odell.

Others Present: Thomas Dalglish, Stan Bohne, John A. Green, Bernard L. Martin.

Student Representatives: Jim Duncan, Mike Thomas

AGENDA CHANGES AND APPROVAL

No changes were presented.

MINUTES

Mr. Keller felt the minutes were being edited and that substantial parts were eliminated, especially his and Mr. Burt's comments, from the February 11, 1970, Senate meeting.

The Chairman noted that the comments were well received and would be given consideration.

The Senate minutes of February 4 and 11, 1970, were approved as printed and distributed with the following corrections to the minutes of February 11:

Page 5, second sentence in Miss Michaelsen's statement should read, "She said students have only a very limited choice in breadth requirements and free electives."

Page 5, below Motion No. 636, "Mr. Dudley answered...", rather than "Mr. Duncan answered..."

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Nylander read the following communications:

1. A memo from Eugene Kosy, dated February 10, stated that the Department of Business Education and Administrative Management was requesting the Faculty Senate to make a study of the 'blank contracts' and make appropriate recommendations to the administration.
2. A letter from Harold Williams, dated February 20, 1970, proposing to have a committee appointed by the Senate Executive Committee, Deans' Council, and ASC to study the problem of student advisement and to make recommendations.


6. A letter from the Chairman of the Faculty Executive Committee from Washington State University, stating his committee had sent letters to Washington D.C. concerning S. 1290 and H.R. 9010.

7. Two memorandums from John Green dated February 16 and 20, regarding establishing an Academic Council.

REPORTS

A. Special Reports

1. The "Report of Grievance Procedures Committee" was distributed. The Chairman said the report will be discussed at a later meeting after the Senators have had time to read and study the report.

B. Standing Committees

1. Executive Committee--Mr. Harsha

   a. The Committee discussed the February 13, 1970, memorandum from the ASC president, its officers and legislators. The Committee viewed the ASC proposal favorably and decided to seek Faculty Senate approval at its regular March meeting. Because of the need for student representation on the Senate, the ASC has proposed that three temporary Student Senators be appointed by the ASC president, with ratification by the ASC legislature, to serve on the Senate until regular Student Senators can be elected during spring quarter 1970.

   MOTION NO. 640: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. Hawkins, that three temporary Student Senators be appointed to the Faculty Senate by ASC, and that each representative serve as a Senator until such time when the ASC 1970 spring elections decide regular student representation on the Faculty Senate.
MOTION NO. 641: It was moved by Mr. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Comstock, to amend Motion No. 640, adding that the ASC appoint a minimum of two alternates. The motion passed unanimously by a voice vote.

Motion No. 640 then passed by a unanimous voice vote.

b. The Executive Committee will ask the Senate Code Committee to review the ASC memorandum, and make recommendations to the Senate that will permit compatibility between student representation on the Senate and the Faculty Code.

c. In response to Harold William's letter to the Executive Committee concerning student advisement, the matter has been referred to the Deans where apparently some adjustments in organization will be made to handle the problem. The Executive Committee will make every effort to closely follow procedural developments in student advising.

Mr. Martin said advisement committee arrangements have not been made yet, but it is planned to set up a student advisement system so that each department will have a set of advisors.

d. Larry Bundy and John Purcell met with the Executive Committee on Monday, March 2, to discuss the current computer issue on campus. Mr. Bundy and Mr. Purcell explained the committee structure being used, with two advisory committees (one each from administrative data processing and instructional data processing) and a five-member subcommittee. The sub-committee will collect information from all interested parties regarding the future needs for computerized systems on campus, both administrative and instructional, and the alternatives available to the college in developing computer facilities. Mr. Bundy and Mr. Purcell invited a member of the Senate Executive Committee to serve on the sub-committee. The Executive Committee has not yet responded to the invitation.

e. The Executive Committee wishes to appoint these people to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment:

Dale Comstock, Mathematics  
Stan Dudley, Technology and Industrial Education  
Neal Gillam, Economics and Political Science  
Gene Kosy, Business Ed. & Adm. Management  
A. H. Poffenroth, Physical Education

As stated in the Senate minutes of February 11, 1970, the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment will
have two specific areas of concern: 1) faculty salaries and 2) contractual agreements. A more precise charge will be forthcoming from the Executive Committee.

MOTION NO. 642: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. Mitchell, that the Senate ratify the above named appointees to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment.

It was stated that the committee would become effective immediately. Also there was some discussion about the committee members appointed.

The motion passed by a voice vote with Mr. Keller voting Nay.

f. The Ad Hoc Committee on Department Chairmanships and Faculty Handbook's chairman, Mr. Jakubek, has sent notice that his committee's first report will be submitted to the Executive Committee by April 1, 1970.

g. It is again time to appoint or reappoint a faculty representative for the Central Washington State College Foundation. Larry Danton is currently the faculty's representative.

MOTION NO. 643: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. McCarty, that Larry Danton be reappointed as faculty representative on the Central Washington State College Foundation. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Budget Committee--Mr. Berry said the committee was meeting with the Deans and Vice-President on March 5 to set up a salary scale for next year. He also stated that none of the other state colleges had made any progress with salaries.

3. Code Committee--no report.

Mr. Nylander announced that the ballots for voting on the amendment to Section XIII, B 4a were in the mail and asked Senators to encourage their department members to vote. The ballots should be returned to the Faculty Senate office by March 31, 1970.

4. Curriculum Committee--Mr. Glauert distributed a memorandum to be acted on at the next meeting.

5. Personnel Committee--no report.

6. Student Affairs Committee--Mr. Leavitt said his committee had been asked to consider collecting books for Asian Students and they had turned this project over to the Spurs, a service organization on campus.
Also he stated that the committee had been deliberating on the current preregistration procedure. He said one area of major concern is that there is little opportunity for a student to request courses or professors that they want and/or need.

Mr. Leavitt asked for a response from the Senate on their possible recommendation that each quarter every student supply a list of the courses, including the desired number of credits, and desired instructor which he would like to take the following quarter.

Some Senators supported this idea, while others thought students wouldn't know what classes they wanted two quarters in advance.

Mr. Leavitt said the committee had also met once with Mr. Dalglish to discuss Policies and Procedures for Disruptive Demonstrations and Other Similar Emergencies. They will be meeting with him again before making a report.

NEW BUSINESS

A. ROTC Report

MOTION NO. 644: Mr. Hawkins moved, seconded by Mr. Burt, that the Senate recommend to President Brooks that the Air Force ROTC contract with Central Washington State College be terminated and that the academic programs and courses which have been related to it be either terminated or organized into other departments.

A rather lengthy discussion followed. Motion No. 644 failed by a roll call vote of 21 Nays, 3 Ayes, and 4 Abstentions.


(Note: Mr. Fadenrecht left before the vote was taken.)

Discussion on the ROTC report was asked to be continued at the next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Nylander announced that there will be a Senate meeting next Wednesday, March 11, 1970, from 3 - 5 p.m. in Hertz Room 123.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:50 p.m.
AGENDA
Regular Faculty Senate Meeting
4 p.m. - Wednesday, March 4, 1970
Room 123 - Hertz Hall

I. ROLL CALL

II. AGENDA CHANGES AND APPROVAL


IV. COMMUNICATIONS

A. Memorandum from Eugene Kosy
B. Letter from Harold Williams
C. Letter from Charles Hawkins
D. Others

V. REPORTS

A. Special Reports
   1. Report from Committee on Grievance Procedures - Mr. Dalglisb (Report only--no discussion at this meeting.)

B. Standing Committees
   1. Executive
      a. Consider proposal of ASC regarding seating of student appointees.
   2. Budget
   3. Code
      a. Faculty vote on Code amendment due.
   4. Curriculum
   5. Personnel
   6. Student Affairs

VI. OLD BUSINESS

VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Entertain motions on ROTC report.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The possibility of the need for a Special Senate Meeting appears strong. Please save Wednesday, March 11 at 3 p.m. for such a meeting if it becomes necessary.
ROLL CALL

Senator

Alexander, James
Bayless, Stephen
Berry, Kenneth
Brooks, James
Burt, David
Carlson, Frank
Clark, Glen
Collins, Frank
Comstock, Dale
Condit, Colin
Davidson, Robert
Dillard, David
Dudley, Stanley
Duncan, Clint
Easterling, Ilda
Fadenrecht, George
Glauert, Earl
Hammond, Kenneth
Harsha, Kenneth
Hawkins, Charles
Jakubek, Doris
Keller, Chester
Leavitt, Gordon
Lewis, Albert
McCarty, Richard
Michaelson, Helen
Mitchell, Robert
Nylund, James
Odell, Elwyn
Putnam, Jean
Ringe, Don
Schliesman, Donald
Sparks, Larry
Williams, Harold

Alternate

Bicchieri, Marco
Fairbanks, Richard
Bergstrom, Alan
Jacobsen, Eldon
King, Donald
Baldi, Jan
Johnson, Sheldon
Benton, Robert
Robinson, Bruce
Nelson, Frank
Liboky, John
Legg, App
Brunner, Gerald
Bowen, Ted
Lipskey, Glenn
Waugh, Shirley
Richards, Kent
Andress, Joel
Manship, Darwin
Sessions, Frank
Carlton, Robert
Buchroehl, Jay
DeMerchant, John
Egan, Katherine
Harris, Robert
Moyle, Betty
Murphy, Smith
Hileman, Betty
Yee, Robert
Irish, Everett
Farkas, Steven
LeBay, Vern
Zwanziger, Max
Galbraith, Gordon
March 4 Senate Meeting
Jim Duncan
Mike Thomas
Tom Dalgid
Stan Bohne
John A. Green
Bernard L. Martin
Dear Dr. Nylander:

In regard to the "Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee of Central Washington State College," which its Chairman, Dr. Murphy, and the Faculty Senate discussed on February 4, 1970, I wish to present a motion to the Senate. Would you please place this motion on the Senate agenda? Also, would you please circulate the motion and the accompanying rationale to the Senators?

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Charles H. Hawkins, Senator
Department of Sociology
Dear Professor Mitchell:


I am enclosing a copy of the letter which our Faculty Executive Committee sent to Senator McClellan. Similar letters were sent to Senators Jackson and Magnuson and to Representative May.

Sincerely,

Edna Douglas
Professor of Economics and Chairman, Faculty Executive Committee

ED:gb
enc.
Dr. James Nylander, Chairman  
Faculty Senate  
Campus

Mr. Tim Wing, President  
Associated Students of Central  
Campus

Gentlemen:

As nearly as possible in the spirit with which J.D. Salinger presented Franny and Zooey to William Shawn, editor of The New Yorker -- that of a one year old son urging a luncheon companion to accept a cool lima bean -- I am transmitting to you the attached final "Report" of the Grievance Procedures Committee.

The Committee, created and charged by both the Faculty Senate and the Student Government Association in the Spring of 1969, labored more or less conscientiously in the months since then to develop a report which would be both credible and capable of being acted upon. The Committee performed its task, I believe, with a mixture of cynicism and optimism, and in this frame of mind hopes that the "Report" will be a basis for action and not merely a consumable delicacy for yet other committees.

Whatever the outcome, the Committee appreciates the opportunity to have served the College and trusts that something good will result from its efforts.

Very truly yours,

Thomas K. Dalglisht  
Chairman  
Grievance Procedures Committee

cc: President James E. Brooks  
Faculty Senate Executive Committee  
ASC Executive Board
Dear Jim:

You have conveyed some faculty concern about procedures for the newly adopted contract agreements. The Deans also have been concerned about the most appropriate timing for awarding and conditions placed in the forms. After having cleared with President Brooks the Deans should like to send: first, letters of intent similar to those utilized the previous years; second, after all such procedures as reappointment, tenure, promotion, special assignments and year salary, the contract agreement form would only then be sent. The forms containing signatures by the faculty member and the President would become the mutually binding agreement.

The letters of intent would bind the college to the specific factor(s) included in the letters in the sense that these have carried out the conditions of the Faculty Code. This means that those faculty members who might have objected to contracts which could not at this time contain the specific salary or possible advance in rank can hold return of the contract since a complete and inclusive contract superseding any earlier one will be issued by April 15. If promotions can be determine significantly earlier than this date letters of notice will be sent.

It is the intention of the Deans and any other appropriate employing administrator that this procedure will be followed in subsequent years. The date of the final agreement form would necessarily change according to our knowledge of legislative appropriation.

A memorandum to this effect is being sent to all faculty members. New faculty, of course, are being issued contracts since specific salaries are negotiated at the time of their employment.

Sincerely yours,

Eldon E. Jacobsen
Acting Vice President for Academic Affairs

cc: President Brooks
Dean Green, Dean Martin, Dean Witherspoon, Dr. Waddle, Mr. Bohne
MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. James G. Nylander, Associate Professor  
    Physical Education
FROM: Eugene J. Kosy, Chairman  
    Department of Business Education  
    and Administrative Management
DATE: February 10, 1970

The following quotation from the minutes of the last Department meeting of Business Education and Administrative Management are self explanatory.

"After discussion by Department members of the 'blank contracts' being issued as letters of renewal for the next academic year, Dr. MacDonald (seconded by Dr. Byrd) moved that, in the interest of faculty morale, the Faculty Senate be requested to make a study of this problem and make appropriate recommendations to the Administration. The motion passed unanimously."

cc: Dr. Kenneth K. Harsha
Dr. James Nylander, Chairman
Faculty Senate
Campus

Dear Jim,

After criticism on our student advisement program from the accreditation team and from our own trustees, I believe we should take some action. My proposal would be to have a committee appointed by the Senate Executive Committee, Deans Council, and ASC, to study the problem of student advisement and to make recommendations.

Harold S. Williams
Professor of Economics

HSW / p
MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans' Council
    Senate Executive Committee
    All College Curriculum Committee

FROM: John A. Green, Dean of Education

DATE: February 16, 1970

SUBJECT: Establishing an Academic Council

I propose that we consider enlarging the Deans' Council to include the Senate Executive Committee and the chairman of the All College Curriculum Committee. Such Academic Council would then be the final authority in academic matters, subject only to vote by the President or by general vote of the faculty.

I am asking this to be placed on the Deans' Council agenda for action and subsequent consideration by the President's Council and the Faculty Senate.

JAG/bose
MEMORANDUM

TO:                      
Department Chairperson

FROM:       John S. Smith, Dean of Libraries

DATE:       February 10, 1972

Attention to memo of February 10 regarding establishing an Academic Council.

Due to an oversight on my part, I fail to remember that the Director of the Library be added as a member of the proposed Academic Council. I consider this proposal, please add the name of the Director of the Library to those included in the previous edition.

MCC: him

[Signature]
Executive Committee Report, March 4, 1970

1. The Committee discussed the February 13, 1970, memorandum from the A.S.C. president, its officers and legislators. The Committee viewed the A.S.C. proposal favorably and decided to seek Faculty Senate approval at its regular March meeting. Because of the need for student representation on the Senate, the A.S.C. has recommended that three temporary Student Senators be appointed by the A.S.C. president, with ratification by the A.S.C. legislature, to serve on the Senate until regular Student Senators can be elected during spring quarter 1970.

In response to the A.S.C. request, the Executive Committee decided to make the following motion: that three temporary Student Senators be appointed to the Faculty Senate by A.S.C., and that each representative serve as a senator until such time when the A.S.C. 1970 spring elections decide regular student representation on the Faculty Senate.

The executive committee will ask the Senate Code Committee to review the A.S.C. memorandum, and make recommendations to the Senate that will permit compatibility between student representation on the Senate and the Faculty Code.

2. The Executive Committee discussed the Deans’ Council enlargement proposal presented by Dean Green. The proposition considers establishing an Academic Council, which would consist of the present Deans’ Council membership, the Senate Executive Committee (all members), the chairman of the All College Curriculum Committee, and the Directory of Library. According to Dr. Green, the Academic Council would be the final authority in academic matters, subject only to veto by the President or by general vote of the faculty. The Executive Committee took no action on the proposal.

3. In response to Harold William’s letter to the Executive Committee concerning student advisement, the matter has been referred to the Deans where apparently some adjustments in organization will be considered in handling the problem. The Executive Committee will make every effort to closely follow procedural developments in student advising.

4. Larry Bundy and John Purcell met with the Executive Committee on Monday, March 2 to discuss the current computer issue on campus. Drs. Bundy and Purcell explained the committee structure being used, with two advisory committees (one each from administrative data processing and instructional data processing) and a five-member sub-committee. The sub-committee will collect information from all interested parties regarding the future needs for computerized systems on campus, both administrative and instructional, and the alternatives available to the college in developing computer facilities. Drs. Bundy and Purcell invited a member of the Senate Executive Committee to serve on the sub-committee. The Executive Committee has not yet responded to the invitation.
5. The Executive Committee wishes to appoint these people to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment:

Dale Comstock, Mathematics
Stan Dudley, Technology and Industrial Education
Neal Gillam, Economics and Political Science
Gene Kosy, Business Ed. & Adm. Management
A. H. Poffenroth, Physical Education

As stated in the Senate minutes of February 11, 1970, the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment will have two specific areas of concern: 1) faculty salaries and 2) contractual agreements. A more precise charge will be forthcoming from the Executive Committee.

(Motion: Move the Faculty Senate ratify the above named appointees to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment.)

6. The Ad Hoc Committee on Department Chairmanships and Faculty Handbook's chairman, Mr. Jakubek, has sent notice that his committee's first report will be submitted to the Executive Committee by April 1, 1970.

7. It is again time to appoint or reappoint a faculty representative for the Central Washington State College Foundation. Larry Danton is currently the faculty's representative.

The Executive Committee would like to move that Larry Danton be reappointed as faculty representative on the Central Washington State College Foundation (Board).
MEMORANDUM

TO: Faculty Senate
FROM: Senate Curriculum Committee
DATE: March 4, 1970
RE: A motion on prerequisites and a recommendation on procedure for new course additions which appear to duplicate courses already offered.

The All College Curriculum Committee (ACCC) in the fall of 1969 suggested in a memorandum distributed to all faculty directly involved with curriculum (department chairman, administrators, the ACCC, the Senate Curriculum Committee) that special attention be given to such matters as "prerequisites," "duplication of content and activities (within or without departments)," and the "relation of courses to other departments." Then in a report issued by the ACCC in the fall of 1969 on "curriculum committee procedures" and "policy statements," the ACCC laid down some guidelines on these matters. On prerequisites the report stated:

"Departments must justify course prerequisites according to their value and their purpose. Each department must take a close look at its prerequisites or lack of them. In many areas, inter-departmental cooperation will be absolutely necessary."

On duplication of content and activities the report read:

"Cross-listing of a course among two or more departments shall be justified if each department involved can show need to the appropriate Dean and Curriculum Committee to include the course in their departmental listing.

Normally, any course which is cross-listed among two or more departments shall be offered during a particular quarter by only one of the departments. Any deviation from this procedure should be decided by the departments involved."

Also the report included the following statement on maximum number of credits for a major.

"Arts and Sciences Program B.A. degree requirements. A concentration of 60-75 credits which may be satisfied by a major or a major and minor, or a major and courses in other fields as prescribed by the major department."

Although other matters were also suggested as policy on curriculum, to a large extent it was these matters which the Senate Curriculum Committee turned to again and again in its review of ACCC proposals. After deliberation the Senate Curriculum Committee in its memoranda of January 5, February 11, recommended that footnotes be added in the catalog for the (A/S) B.A. and B.S. Chemistry Major; and the (A/S) and (T/Ed) Industrial Technology Major. Also the Committee raised the issue of duplication of courses.
Since the above recommendations concerning prerequisites for the Physics, Chemistry and Industrial Technology Major and the Psychology Minor have been approved by the Senate, the Curriculum Committee feels it appropriate to recommend adoption of the following motion which would apply to all majors and minors.

Prerequisites for courses in majors, minors, and professional curricula must be listed or appear in a footnote in the College Catalog wherever these curricula are listed, unless they appear already as required courses. Such prerequisites are to be listed by department, number, title, and credit hours.

With regard to procedure for new course additions which appear to duplicate courses already offered, the Committee has suggested the following to the administration.

Whenever a new course addition appears to duplicate previously existing courses in the catalog, it is recommended that the dean or deans consult with the chairman of the departments concerned prior to endorsing such new courses for action by the All College Curriculum Committee.
March 4, 1970

Bernard Martin  
Dean of Arts and Sciences  
Barge Hall, Campus

Dear Dean Martin:

As indicated in the Faculty Senate minutes of February 11, two new course additions, Geology 445—Principles of Geomorphology, and Sociology 307—Social Psychology, were omitted from motion 634 which passed the Senate. At a subsequent meeting of the Senate Curriculum Committee on March 3, 1970, the problem of apparent course duplications was discussed and the following procedure was recommended:

Whenever a new course addition appears to duplicate previously existing courses in the catalog, the dean or deans consult with the chairmen of the departments concerned prior to endorsing such new courses for action by the All College Curriculum Committee.

Respectfully,

Earl T. Clauert  
Chairman, Senate Curriculum Committee

cc: Vice-President Jacobsen  
Dean Green  
Professors Shrader, Newman, Kaatz, Ringe, Olson.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senator</th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earl Glauert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent Richards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Alexander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marc Bicchieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Keller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jay Bokrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Berry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Bergstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Harsha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darwin Manship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon Galbraith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilia Easterling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Lipsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Bayless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richard Fairbanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Nylander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Betty Hileman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Mitchell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith Murphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Dillard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>App Legg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Brooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eldon Jacobsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Leavitt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>John Demerchant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Clark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sheldon Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Sparks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max Zahniger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Putnam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Everett Irish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Carlson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan Baldi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Condit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frank Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Burt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Donald King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Hawkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frank Sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Dudley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gerald Brunner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Collins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Benton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.C. Duncan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ted Bowen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Ringe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steven Farkas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Comstock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bruce Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard McCarty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris Jakubek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Carlton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwyn Odell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bob Yee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Schliesman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vern Labay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Michaelson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Betty Doyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Lewis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katherine Egan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Hammond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Joel Andress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Davidson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>John Liboky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Fadenrech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shirley Waugh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: Faculty Senate
FROM: James Nylander
Chairman, Faculty Senate
DATE: February 26, 1970
RE: ROTC Study Committee

Charles Hawkins, Sociology, has submitted a request to present a motion to the Senate pertaining to the ROTC program. Mr. Hawkins' motion and rationale is attached to this memo and I encourage you to study it before the next Senate meeting.

Also, you will find attached a copy of a memo from Dean Green to the Dean's Council. This memo contains recommendations of a Dean's Council sub-committee.

A further comment on the ROTC situation is made in the attached memo from the Executive Committee of the Senate.

It is possible that we will not get to the ROTC matter in this meeting. If not, it will be taken up at the next meeting.

Another matter for your attention will be consideration of the Associated Students of Central (ASC) proposal that you received last week. The Executive Committee will recommend and move, during that committee's report, to accept item "D" of the ASC proposal to be implemented immediately. Further the Executive Committee is asking the Personnel Committee to study items A, B, and C of that proposal and recommend appropriate Senate action.
The basic difficulty of such programs is the threat to the college's autonomy. In general, we should avoid integrating external agencies within the college organization. There are many institutions which might wish such an agency: the armed services, the Boeing Company, other firms, the State of Washington Department of Institutions, a religious institution. All institutional infiltration should be resisted.

Some people have said that the college will help to liberalize the Air Force. They have overlooked the opposite effect: the college is less likely to liberalize the Air Force than is the Air Force militarizing the college.

The college is not in a financial position at this time to be a permanent host to programs which do not have wide relevance to our instructional, research or service. The ROTC program does provide $30 per month support to about 20 junior students, 30 senior students, which is valuable. Hopefully, the termination of the program will avoid financial hardship to such students. However, the Air Force does not meet the college's costs of administration and facilities for the ROTC program.

Some students enter the ROTC program because of the threat of being drafted. The college should help students in their pursuit of an education free from interference by the draft. However, both the ROTC program and the United States' universal military training (the draft) must stand or fall on their own merits. The college should not be indirect support to universal military training by providing a permanent ROTC program.

The Air Force, as well as the other armed services, is properly concerned with training professionals in its officers and enlisted men. Quite reasonably, advanced training is part of this goal. However, this does not imply that an ROTC program on a college campus is the best means. On the contrary, the armed services could provide scholarships to those cadets who it selects for advanced schooling, as do many other institutions.

The only professional school the college has is that in education and, since we have had a teacher's college, we are well qualified to maintain it. However, we do not have a law school, medical school, social work school or engineering schools. Before, the ROTC program, in that it is a professional school, does not fit well into the college's organization. Examples of the problems it may create are the use of test materials, although completely appropriate to the military professions, contradicts the college's value on free discussion; the basing of student recommendations in part on political considerations, which probably is necessary in the military professions, contradicts the college's value on tolerance of political dissent among students.

Charles Hawkins
MEMORANDUM

TO:        Dean's Council
FROM:      John A. Green, Dean of Education
DATE:      February 20, 1970
SUBJECT:   ROTC Committee Recommendation

February 20th, Dr. Jacobson, Dr. Sparrow, Col. McCarty, and I went to review the ROTC Committee recommendation. This as a result of that meeting suggest that the report generally be approved by the Dean's Council but that their recommendation concerning military instruction teaching courses be modified in the following way. During the junior year, students be required to take a one hour seminar each academic quarter taught by military instructors for a total of three credit hours. During the senior year, students be required to take three two-hour seminars taught by military instructors for a total of six credit hours, making a total of nine credit hours to be taught by military personnel.

Since some of the material pertinent to the military careers for which these young people are preparing is not included in the regular civilian courses offered on campus, this material would be included in these two-year long seminars. The rest of the minor which these ROTC candidates would complete should include the following required courses:

- Speech 201, Public Speaking: Practice and Criticism, 4 credits
- Bus. Ad. 330, Management Decision Making, 5 credits
- Psy. 455, Personnel Psychology, 4 credits
- Aerospace 201, Introduction to Astronautics, 4 credits

TOTAL: 13 credits

Students would also be required to take the same basic training experience, but should receive no credit as recommended by the ARRC committee. Thus, the only essential point in which this recommendation differs from the ROTC Committee recommendation is the recommendation to include the two-year long seminars for credit.
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MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans' Council
FROM: Faculty Senate Executive Committee
RE: R.O.T.C.
DATE: February 27, 1970

We agree that the modification of the recommendation of the ROTC Committee suggested by Dean Green is still in keeping with the spirit of that recommendation. However, we feel that a very important point was missed.

"Whatever is the above case, Air Force ROTC as presently constituted at Central Washington State College occupies an anomalous position on the college campus. The College is prevented by contract from exercising any administrative control over the course content of ROTC courses. The College has only limited opportunity to participate in the selection of instructors for ROTC courses."¹

To obviate some of these problems, if ROTC is to be retained, it should not have departmental status regardless of whatever arrangements are made with respect to the program.

¹Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee At Central Washington State College, December 5, 1969, p. 4.
1. Contracts or agreement forms that have been tendered this year to untenured faculty were discussed. It was agreed that a motion will be made at the next Senate meeting proposing that the college administration be requested to withdraw the contracts in the form that has been used as it places the faculty members in an untenable position.

2. There was discussion over the problems being encountered as a result of the purchase of the RCA Computer due to go into operation July 1, 1970. Instruction and research interests on campus believe that their programs have not and are not receiving the consideration due them if the current plans are carried out. Dr. Bundy and Dr. Purcell will be asked to the March 2 Executive Committee meeting to discuss this problem.

3. The motion and rationale concerning ROTC proposed by Mr. Hawkins for the next Senate meeting will be sent to all Senators before the March 4th meeting. A memo from Dean Green to the Deans' Council carrying a recommendation of a Deans' Council subcommittee and a memo from the Senate Executive Committee will also be sent.

4. Names were suggested for membership to the ad hoc committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment. Nominees will be contacted before the next meeting.

5. A letter from Harold Williams was read suggesting appointment of a committee to study student advisement. Members of the administration, responsible for student advisement, will be contacted to determine if measures are being taken to strengthen the student advisement program.

6. The Executive Committee will recommend approval of part "D" of the ASC proposals, e.g., the section pertaining to temporary seating of three student appointees until a regular election can be held this spring. Parts A, B, and C will be referred to the Personnel Committee for recommendations on appropriate action.

7. Board of Trustees action during the January Board meeting pertaining to reappointment of certain faculty members for their fourth probationary year will be referred to the Personnel Committee for study.