Central Washington University ScholarWorks@CWU

All Master's Theses

Master's Theses

1968

# A Comparative Study of a Linguistic and a Traditional Approach to Spelling

Dale Henry Sayler Central Washington University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/etd

Part of the Curriculum and Instruction Commons, Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons, and the Educational Methods Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Sayler, Dale Henry, "A Comparative Study of a Linguistic and a Traditional Approach to Spelling" (1968). *All Master's Theses*. 979. https://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/etd/979

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Master's Theses at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@cwu.edu.

# A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF A LINGUISTIC

15

#### AND A TRADITIONAL APPROACH

TO SPELLING

No.

A Thesis

Presented to

the Graduate Faculty

Central Washington State College

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Education

by

Dale Henry Sayler July 1968

# 170878

nn e ser gir i Sinte erien

на стоят филории слов с имала с у официон филории и имала р

- j. A

.

and the second states of the

and the second second

and state to the second

An markanan ingeria kun kalan parti yana ang itang markanan kun parti ya kun k K

网络拉普通德斯 雅德尔人名布普卡尔普尔名 人名法格普尔格

n n g = n gen **gen nær næ**n **næ**n en in den som en gen gen gen gen gen som en som en som som en s

LD 5771.3 SPECIAL COLLECTION APPROVED FOR THE GRADUATE FACULTY

John A. Schwenker, COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

Alan R. Bergstrom

Roy Ruebel

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

An acknowledgement page is out of necessity an incomplete work. Missing from it are the names of many people who have given of their time, wisdom, materials, patience and talent in helping to complete this paper. To those persons who helped intentionally or incidentally, many thanks.

The author is deeply indebted to the following persons for guidance, assistance and encouragement: Mr. John Schwenker, committee chairman, for many hours of consideration and numberous suggestions, and to other members of the committee, Dr. Alan Bergstrom and Dr. Roy Ruebel for constructive advice and criticism.

Thanks must go to Mr. Robert Allen and Mrs. Dorothy McGrath of Hoover Elementary School for guidance and help given in preparation of this study.

Lastly, a special debt of gratitude is due my wife Marilyn for her moral support, patience and assistance.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE	
I.	THE PROBLEM AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED	
	Importance of the Study	
	The Problem	
	Hypothesis	
	Definition of Terms	
	Limitations of the Study	
	Overview and Summary	
II.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	
III.	METHODS AND PROCEDURES	
	Description of Spelling Texts	
	Selection of Students	
	Selection of Personnel	
	Procedures	
IV.	RESULTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONCLUSIONS	
	Conclusions	
	Recommendations for Further Research	
BTB	LTOGRAPHY	
ALL.		
APP		
APP	ENDIX C	

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PA	GE
I	Comparison of I.Q. and Pre-Test Scores for Experimental		
	and Control Groups	•	18
II	Comparison of Post-Test Spelling Scores for Experimental		
	and Control Groups	٠	21
III	Mean Difference Scores of Pre- and Post-Tests for Upper		
	and Lower Third of Control and Experimental Groups	٠	23
IV	Results of t Tests for Scores Used in Table III	•	24
v	Statistical Results of Experimental and Control Groups		
	Experimental Group Results	٠	36
VI	Statistical Results of Experimental and Control Groups		
	Control Group Results	٠	37
VII	Statistical Comparisons.	•	38

#### CHAPTER I

#### THE PROBLEM AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED

Methods of teaching spelling have been many and varied over the past years. Researchers have tried to prove that some approaches were better than others. Some of the more popular approaches used in teaching spelling over the years have been:

- 1. Study-test spelling method
- 2. Corrected test method
- 3. Test-study method
- 4. Flash-card method
- 5. Words used in composition approach

Most of these typical spelling approaches, according to Hodges, have patterned their spelling programs and word lists around the following criteria:

- 1. Grouping words according to their utility in children's writing.
- 2. Grouping words around some central theme (such as colonial life).
- 3. Grouping words by their visual similarities (such as nation, function, invitation).
- 4. Grouping words around some spelling rules (such as words ending in y, change the y to i before adding suffixes).
- 5. Simply grouping words largely at random (12:630).

Horn, Petty, McKee, Dolch, Rinsland, Betts and others have argued for balanced spelling programs which use a variety of approaches. A main source of confusion in spelling methods has been basic disagreement over which instructional approach should predominate over other approaches.

Part of the problem in spelling is that while the language changes, often times spelling remains the same. Hanna states:

Our difficulties are compounded when we insist on retaining the original spelling of a word, even though we have radically altered its pronunciation. Pupils and teachers continue to be harassed by the phonetically unreliable structure to English and hounded by the public which demands an improvement in spelling ability and performance (6:9).

Homonyms, local geographical pronunciation, foreign words, and sound-toletter irregularities cause problems as to when a spelling would be the correct choice in the sentence used. Rosemier states, "Although the difficulty of selecting the proper spelling for a given context is frequently recognized, a few suggestions have been made concerning the methodology a teacher might employ in developing error free student performance" (16:309). Research is continuing that seeks to find the best method by which spelling may be taught.

Computerized research has opened up fields of spelling investigation that were impossible before the use of computers. One of these computer studies dealt with a linguistics approach to the study of spelling (Hanna and Associates). This study of spelling provides evidence that the American-English Language is highly consistent in its sound-toletter relationship. From his study, Hanna has developed a spelling program quite different in its approach to teaching spelling. It is a linguistic approach and is based on speaking-listening cues to spelling. It is built on the premise that American-English is somewhat consistent in its sound-to-letter relationships. Rules and phonetic generalizations are emphasized based on phonological, morphological, and syntactical principles. Word lists are not used in the linguistic approach, but words are used only to help students discover phonetic generalizations and rules for themselves.

2

#### Importance of the Study

Controversy about the merits of teaching spelling using linguistic principles has risen in recent years. Claims have been made for and against using linguistic methods in teaching spelling. In 1967, Hanna's newly developed linguistic spelling program was selected for use in the elementary schools of Yakima, Washington. Hanna's older traditional spelling program had been used prior to 1967. The writer conducted an experimental study testing Hanna's newly developed spelling program against his former spelling program.

#### The Problem

It was the purpose of this study to compare a modern linguistics method in spelling instruction to a previously used traditional method to answer the question: Is the linguistic method more effective than the traditional method in teaching spelling?

## Hypothesis

The null hypothesis investigated was: There will be no differences in spelling achievement between sixth grade children taught by a modern linguistics method and those taught by a traditional method.

#### Definition of Terms

All of these were taken from <u>Phoneme-Grapheme Correspondences</u> as <u>Cues to Spelling Improvement</u> except linguistics which was taken from Harold G. Shane's bookelt Linguistics and the Classroom Teacher.

Algorithm. A rule or set of rules to define a process (7:1715).

<u>Closed Syllable</u>. A syllable ending in a consonant phoneme (7:1715). <u>Environment</u>. The position in which a phoneme occurs in syllables in relation to other phonemes within the same syllable (7:1715).

<u>Free Morpheme</u>. A morpheme which can be used as an independent word; e.g., pin (7:1715).

<u>Grapheme</u>. A written sign representing a phoneme; an alphabetical symbol (7:1715).

Haptic. Tactile, kinesthetic and surface movement sensations obtained through the fingers and hands (7:1715).

<u>Initial position</u>. The occurrence of a phoneme at the beginning of a syllable (7:1715).

<u>Linguistics</u>. The scientific study of language. The study of human speech including the nature, structure, and modification of language (18:2).

Medial position. The occurrence of a phoneme in the middle of a syllable (7:1715).

Morpheme. The minimum meaningful unit of language (7:1715).

<u>Morphology</u>. The study of the ways morphemes are grouped into words (7:1715).

Orthography. A set of rules by which the phonemes of oral language are transcribed into the graphemes of written language according to accepted standards (7:1716).

<u>Phoneme</u>. A class of sounds which are phonetically similar and which are distinctive to the extent that the substitution of one for another changes the word meaning (7:1716). <u>Phoneme-grapheme correspondence</u>. The relationship between a phoneme and a graphemic option representing a phoneme in writing (7:1716).

Phonology. The study of the sound structure of a language (7:1716).

<u>Primary stress</u>. The louder or more prominent stress given to syllables in polysyllabic words (7:1716).

<u>Secondary stress</u>. The stress given to syllables which is louder or more prominent than that given to unstressed syllables, but not as loud or prominent as the stress given to the primary stressed syllables (7:1716).

<u>Syllable final position</u>. The occurrence of a phoneme or grapheme at the end of a syllable (7:1716).

Unstressed syllable. A syllable which receives the lightest stress in pronouncing a word (7:1716).

<u>Word-final position</u>. The occurrence of a phoneme of a grapheme at the end of a word (7:1716).

#### Limitations of the Study

In teaching the experimental program, the teacher had no formal training in linguistic spelling techniques. Children in both experimental and control groups had previously used <u>Building Spelling Power</u> as a spelling text in lower grades. The control group, therefore, may have had an initial advantage.

The two classes may have been exposed to different events in their other classroom activities that could have affected spelling achievement. Explaining to students that they were part of a pilot study in spelling may have caused students to extend more than usual effort. The limited study was a comparison of two sixth grade groups in a public elementary school in Yakima, Washington during a nine week period of the standard school year.

#### Overview and Summary

In 1967, a new spelling approach was introduced on the elementary level. The new text, Hanna's spelling text, was built around the linguistic theories of teaching spelling. What impact would that have on the teaching of spelling? The study was designed to be a comparison between the two Hanna approaches.

Chapter I is a statement of the problem and definition of the terms. Chapter II reviews the literature on a linguistics or phonetic approach to spelling. This was done in an attempt to establish a base for the two approaches used in this paper. Methods and procedures of the study make up Chapter III. The final chapter consists of results, recommendations, and conclusions from the study.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The purpose of this chapter was to review the literature on the linguistic approach to spelling. Some authorities felt the linguistic approach was impractical and had little relevance in the teaching of spelling. Others felt the reverse was true. This review of literature tried to show both points of view.

Controversy over the best methods by which to teach spelling has developed over the years. This controversy continues today involving leading authorities in spelling. The debate centers on the question of whether competency in spelling can be attained through a general use of spelling generalizations (21:154).

Some authorities, led mainly by Ernest Horn, say that American-English language spelling forms are highly irregular and offer learners and their teachers only a confusing and contradictory mass, resistant to any broad systematized set of spelling rules. Paul Hanna and others argued that there was phonetic regularity on sound-to-letter relationships in spelling and that learning spelling would become more efficient and easier by learning spelling rules.

This controversy grew in 1953 when Hanna and Moore presented an article that received wide attention in education journals. The study dealt with an investigation of a 3,000 word spelling list "to determine the extent to which each speech sound in the words comprising the spelling vocabulary of the elementary school child is represented consistently in writing by a specific letter or combination of letters" (9:330).

According to the researchers, the results indicate that phonetic sounds to a high degree were consistently represented by particular letters. One finding showed that "approximately four-fifths of the phonemes contained in the words comprising the spelling vocabulary of the elementary school child are represented by a regular spelling" (9:330).

Ernest Horn, Thomas Horn, Hahn, Sister Evangelist Marie, Petty, Jackson, and others are spelling authorities who tend to agree that spelling cannot be taught best in a sound-to-letter approach.

In 1954, Ernest Horn conducted a study on 10,000 words that was quite similar to Hanna and Moore's study. His results were quite different. As an example, Horn found the sound of long  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  occurred 1,237 times in the list, with 601 exceptions to the most common spelling; the sound of K was found 2,613 times with 932 exceptions . . . Horn wrote:

Some of the claims recently made for the contribution of phonics to spelling and the related proposal to spell by 'word analysis, sounding, and logical reasoning by analogy," do not, unfortunately appear to be soundly grounded in essential related evidence. One is hardly justified in calling spellings "regular" or in teaching commonest spellings as principles or generalizations when the exceptions are numbered not by the score but by hundreds, When the evidence on both the consistency and the irregularities of English spelling is critically and realistically assessed, little justification is found for the claim that pupils can arrive deductively at the spelling of most words they can pronounce. There seem no escapes from the direct teaching of the large number of common words which do not conform in their spelling to any phonetic or orthographic rule (13:425).

In 1960, Thomas D. Horn speaking about teaching phonic generalizations said, "Although some recent studies have explored the possibilities of teaching phonic generalizations it is clear that only those generalizations which have few exceptions and apply to many words can be used" (14:175).

The value of teaching spelling by using rules or not using rules was investigated by Sister Evangelist Marie. She conducted this study using three procedures: (1) the inductive method presented relevant words and developed the rules from them, (2) the deductive method stated the principle and illustrated it by specific words, and (3) the thought procedure associated the words with their meanings. No rule was used in the thought procedure. The thought method produced significantly greater progress in spelling than did either of the other two methods. Because of this, Sister Marie says that, "It may be inferred that the development of meaning is the single most important factor in spelling mastery and should receive emphasis in any spelling program" (19:604).

In 1960, Hahn found that additional teaching of phonics in reading to pupils in grades three through six, produced no significant difference in spelling errors compared to results obtained from pupils in similar grades receiving no phonics instruction.

Pupils were tested in three schools in three separate school districts in Pennsylvania by Hahn in 1964. Spelling instruction was varied in the three schools to test different methods of teaching. According to Hahn, . . . 'pupils in School A had received much formal training in phonics for two years while pupils in School B and C received a normal amount of such training as a part of their regular reading program. No special phonics work was done in spelling classes in any school" (3:385).

9

According to Hahn, the results of the spelling tests for the three school districts showed no statistical differences between the mean scores of the phonics and normal groups. The phonics group scored lowest of all three districts on a spelling test made up of words that none of the pupils had studied and upon which phonics training should have helped most if phonics training has transfer validity. Since phonics training was provided in the reading program and not the spelling program, this could have caused the difference.

Ernest Horn, Hahn, Petty, Thomas Horn, Sister Evangelist Marie, Jackson, and others in the spelling field feel that spelling rules and the use of phonetics in spelling is of small value. The American-English spelling system traditionally has been assumed to be so inconsistent that each spelling word to be learned requires a separate learning act. The discussion of the research that follows shows the opposite view that learning to spell does not have to be a gradual accumulation of necessary and practiced words.

In 1962, a team of modern day linguists aided by computerized research and a grant from the United States Office of Education, studied the idea that spelling could be taught from a sound-to-letter approach and that certain rules could apply in the spelling of most words. This team consisted of Paul R. Hanna, Richard E. Hodges, E. Hugh Rudorf, and others at Stanford University. Their main contention was that the "American-English language is not based upon a one-to-one relationship between phoneme and grapheme, but that there are patterns of consistency in the orthography which, based upon linguistic factors, may be said to produce correspondences that are surprisingly consistent" (6:23).

Using modern computer technology in Phase I of the research, they examined the degree of consistency in seventeen thousand different words and also analyzed the structure of the American-English orthography in general. They found the orthography was actually "a far more consistent reflection of spoken language than had been assumed, particularly when the several components of the phonology (sound system) underlying the orthography are examined" (17:31). Granting that phonemes have more than one way of being spelled, Hodges and Rudorf however, point out that the great majority of phonemes in spoken American-English are indeed consistently represented in writing when the main phonological factors of: (1) position in syllables, (2) syllabic stress, and (3) internal constraints, are considered. They found when the preceding factors are considered, certain letters and combinations of letters can be spelled with an 89.6 per cent consistency (17:31).

In Phase II, a second computer program called for predicting the spelling of the sample of seventeen thousand different words. The process in the second phase was as follows.

For each phoneme a set of rules, an algorithm, was constructed which indicated which spelling of what phoneme should be used under various conditions of position, stress, and environment. The algorithm was then programmed into the computer and the computer keyed to spell back the seventeen thousand words in the list.

Results of the computer study showed that of the seventeen thousand words, 49 per cent were spelled correctly and 51 per cent incorrectly. Of the 51 per cent spelled incorrectly, 37.2 per cent were spelled with only one error, 11.4 per cent with two errors, and 2.3 per cent with three or more errors. As interpreted by Hanna, Hodges and Rudorf, the results strengthened "the phonological approach to spelling since many of . . . (the) errors may not constitute a serious spelling problem. Many of them could be obviated with the mastery of simple morphological rules" (17:32). Suggestions by Hodges and Rudorf are that 'regularities exist in the relationship between phonological elements in the oral language and their graphemic representations . . . and that a pedagogical method based upon oral-aural (speaking-listening) cues to spelling may well prove to be more efficient and powerful than present methods which rely primarily upon visual and hand learning approaches" (17:31). Thus results of the two linguistics analyses by Hanna and his associates showed certain consistencies in sound-to-letter relationships, From such results, Hanna, Hodges and Rudorf felt that more emphasis on instruction of phonetic relationships may be more valuable than the usual "drill" method. They have insisted, nevertheless, that a balanced program must be maintained, In 1959, Hanna wrote:

While we know that the brain acts as a unit, we can still educate the brain for spelling through first emphasizing one type of input and imagery, and then stressing another type. Each of the types - visual, oral-aural, and haptical - must be systematically planned and learned in the spelling program. And as each type of imagery is learned, it must be systematically joined and coordinated with the other types of imagery so that the net result is a reinforcement by each of the other (4:21).

Hodges and Rudorf gave the following as a model for the spelling

12

of American-English. "The orthography of American-English is determined by a set of rules for unit phoneme-grapheme relationships based, with decreasing productivity, upon three levels of analysis-phonological, morphological, and syntactical" (17:34). These three levels of analysis can be further broken down and summarized as shown in the following tabular form:

- 1. Phonological factors Position Stress Environmental factors
- 2. Morphological factors Compounding Affixation Word families
- 3. Syntax

A modern spelling program built upon new research on linguistics

and on teaching-learning theories according to Hanna would:

- 1. Start from the child's possession of a large aural-oral vocabulary.
- 2. Teach him how to break these words into component sounds.
- 3. Lead him to discover the correspondences between the phonemes and the alphabetical letters that have come to represent these sounds in standard American-English spelling.
- 4. Help him discover the influence that position, stress, and context have in the choice of a particular grapheme from among the several options.
- 5. Guide him to go beyond the phonological analysis to examine the morphological elements such as compounding, affixation, or word families.
- 6. Teach him how to use all his sensorimotor equipment of ear-voice-eye-hand to reinforce each other in fixing the standard spelling in his neural system.
- Help him to build a spelling power that should make possible a writing vocabulary "unlimited" or limited only by the size of his spoken vocabularv (5:49).

To make children aware that print represents sound and sound represents meaning, children should explore spelling patterns, that is, the correspondence of letter to sound. From studying spelling patterns, children can realize that all words are not completely different from each other, but that their common features suggest that there is order and system to English spelling (1:192).

Williams and Levin found English spelling was quite irregular when individual letters and sounds were considered. Clusters of letters, however, appear to have a higher regular relationship with sound patterns than do single letters (20:516).

Hiddreth said: "English is approximately six-sevenths phonetic, that is, about eighty-five per cent of the words contain regularly sounded elements" (11:5). She, however, gave no information to support her statement.

Results of Groff's study on words selected from the New Iowa Spelling Scale show that:

- 1. About three out of every four words in the scale are not spelled entirely phonetically. However, less than one of every five letters in these words is non-phonetic.
- 2. Teachers should be aware of the larger per cent of words that are partly non-phonetic and devise some method of making pupils aware of this fact.
- 3. The small per cent of non-phonetic letters in these words suggests that it is not likely to be a formidable or time consuming task to teach the child to spell the non-phonetic parts of words.
- 4. The evidence could also indicate that the teacher should evaluate pupils spelling in terms of parts of words that are misspelled rather than in terms of total words misspelled (2:48).

This second group of researchers felt that a balanced approach to

spelling must be maintained. They felt, however, that the underlying theme of any modern spelling program should be based on a sound-to-letter approach. They felt that the child comes to school with a large speaking vocabulary and that the spelling program should further develop the speaking vocabulary so that a child can spell any word needed to carry out his written communication.

The review of the literature has shown that spelling researchers disagree on any one best approach to teaching spelling. Researchers were generally divided into two groups: those advocating a modern linguistic approach and those advocating a more traditional word study or drill approach.

The writer chose to conduct a study with two sixth grade groups using the two approaches mentioned in the literature review because they represented the two ends on the continuum in teaching spelling and because both were being studied by the Yakima School System. Chapter Three will include a description of texts, procedures, and selection of students and personnel used in the study.

15

#### CHAPTER III

#### METHODS AND PROCEDURES

#### Description of Spelling Texts

Power to Spell consists of thirty-six lessons. The lessons are divided into five parts labeled A. B. C. D. and E. According to Hanna:

The list of words included in this textbook is an extensive one because groups of words are introduced to illustrate particular principles.

Each lesson is designed to introduce or reinforce a linguistic principle or process underlying the orthography. A, B, and D develop principles or the uses of the dictionary; C and E both provide a test of the pupils growing mastery.

The lessons in this book are not organized or presented in a traditional manner . . , there is no standard word list presented at the beginning of each lesson. Words are introduced and used as they are needed to illustrate a principle or process or to help children discover for themselves cues to certain basic principles in our orthography.

The intent is not to have pupils learn x number of words each week to a total of x number of words for the year, but rather to have them discover and apply each week some principle or principles underlying the structure of the orthography.

Words used in the lessons are chosen for their effectiveness in permitting the child to discover the principle or process being taught. They do not necessarily coincide with words on lists indicating children's knowledge of words at this particular grade level (8:6-7).

Every sixth lesson is a review of the preceding five lessons. Lessons thirty-one to thirty-six are a review of the total years work.

<u>Building Spelling Power</u> also consists of thirty-six lessons. Each lesson is designed for one week's work in spelling and is divided into sections A, B, C, and D. Section A was used on the first day of the week to introduce and discuss the meanings of the eighteen words in the list. The second day section B was used as a trial test to find out the words known and those which needed further study. Section C was used the third day to study two review words from previous lessons, words missed on the trial spelling test and any words which were misspelled on daily written work in other subject areas. Section D is called Building Spelling Power. In this section the student uses previously learned techniques to spell new words or learn some principle of spelling to help him spell better. Section D provides necessary phonics instruction.

Every sixth lesson, a review lesson, was used to check the spellings of the words presented in the preceding five lessons. A sample lesson from each spelling text is shown in Appendices A and B.

The basic word list used in the text consisted of four hundred and ninety words. It was selected from several lists compiled by such leaders in spelling as Gates, Rinsland, McKee, Dolch, Betts, and Fitzgerald.

#### Selection of Students

Students in two sixth grade rooms at Hoover School in Yakima, Washington were used in the study. At the beginning of the school year, students at Hoover are randomly placed in classrooms by the administration. There was, at the time of the study, no ability grouping between sixth grade classes. A coin was flipped to determine which class would use the traditional speller, <u>Building Spelling Power</u>, the other one then used the linguistic speller, <u>Power to Spell</u>. Sixteen boys and fourteen girls comprised the experimental group. In the control group there were fourteen boys and fifteen girls. Since the number of students available for randomization was small, I. Q. and spelling abilities were also checked to insure similar groups on these two criteria. The I.Q. scores for each group were compared statistically by use of a  $\underline{t}$  test. The pre-test spelling achievement scores were compared in the same manner as the I.Q. scores. The results are shown in Table I.

#### TABLE I

# COMPARISON OF I.Q. AND PRE-TEST SCORES FOR EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS

Group	Mean	Standard Deviation	Variance	Standard Error	df	
Experimental I.Q.	107,73	9,56	91.44	1.74	57	
Control I.Q.	106,75	9,43	89,04	.27	57	
Experimental Pre-test	6.15	1.50	2.25	1.75	57	
Control Pre-test	6,46	1.99	3,98	.37	57	

The critical ratio for t is 2.00 at .05 level of significance. A t score of .394044 was obtained for the I. Q. comparison and a t score of .662425 was obtained for the pre-test comparison. Lack of significant difference in I. Q. and pre-test scores between the groups on the t test showed the two groups to be similar on these criteria measurements.

#### Selection of Personnel

One teacher was selected at random to teach spelling to both the experimental and control groups. However, this teacher had no formal training in linguistics but followed very closely the teachers manual. This procedure was employed to diminish the halo effect that might influence the study.

#### Procedures

The study was conducted over a nine week period from September 25 to December 1. This time was selected as it coincided with the introduction of <u>Power to Spell</u> in the district. The week of November 20-24 was omitted because of the two day Thanksgiving vacation involved. The Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Form II was administered at the beginning and Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Form I was administered at the conclusion of the study. The spelling achievement levels of the two groups were compared statistically by use of a t test. The critical ratio for the .05 level of significance was selected.

To explain the different tests used in each class, the experimental group of students was told they were part of a pilot program in spelling that would last nine weeks. Each day during the morning session of school a regular period was set aside for both groups for spelling instruction. This time was adhered to as much as the total school schedule would allow. The recommendations of the textbook authors were followed in regard to the presentation of the material in each lesson. Lessons one to seven were covered in Power to Spell. These lessons were

19

used because it was felt that it was necessary to begin a new spelling text on it's introductory lessons. Lessons nineteen through twentyseven were covered in <u>Building Spelling Power</u>. Because almost all students had previous experience with <u>Building Spelling Power</u>, the author randomly selected the lessons used in the study.

Chapter Four will include the results, conclusions, and recommendations of the study.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of the present study was to examine and compare statistically a modern linguistic spelling approach to an older traditional approach. A control and an experimental group were randomly selected. After nine weeks of spelling instruction, a spelling achievement test was given to each group. The spelling section from Form I of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills was used as the post-test.

The mean differences in achievement between experimental and control groups on the post-test was calculated. To check if there was any significant difference on the mean scores of the post-test, a t test was used. The level of significance was .05. The critical ratio for t was 2.00. Table II summarizes the results of these comparisons.

#### TABLE II

# COMPARISON OF POST-TEST SPELLING SCORES FOR EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS

Test	Experimental Mean	Control Mean	df	t	р
Post-test	6.31	6.97	57	1.847951	.05

It is noted from the data that no significant differences occurred between mean achievements of the experimental and control groups. Therefore, the null hypothesis that there would be no significant differences in spelling achievement of sixth grade children taught by a modern linguistic method as compared to children taught by a traditional method is supported. The gain in mean scores between pre- and post-tests for the experimental group was 1.6 months and for the control group it was 5.1 months. Results of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills are given in raw scores which are converted to grade level equivalents. These grade level equivalents are given on a ten point or ten month basis, e.g., a score of 61 would be sixth grade first month or 6.1. During the nine weeks the study lasted, a natural gain of 2.1 months on the results of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills would be expected. With a critical ratio of 2.00 and t score of 1.847951, the mean gain of 5.1 months for the control group may have proved significant had the study run longer than nine weeks.

Using a frequency study, the researcher checked all spelling words used from both texts during the time of the study, against test words used in Form I of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills. This was done to determine whether words that had been used in the study might have been used in the post-test giving a possible testing advantage to one group or the other. Form I contained seven of the words used in <u>Power to Spell</u> and eight words used in <u>Building Spelling Power</u>. This small number of words found to be the same on the post-test and the word lists would seem to not affect the study since the seven found in the experimental group list and the eight found in the control group list offset each other and probably would not give a testing advantage to either group.

The teacher of the experimental group felt the higher ability spelling students were more able to use the linguistic approach. She felt the lower ability spelling student had difficulty in working with and using the generalizations and principles contained in the linguistic approach. To check the teacher's observation on higher and lower ability spelling students, the writer ran the following table.

#### TABLE III

MEAN DIFFERENCE SCORES OF PRE- AND POST-TESTS FOR UPPER

AND LOWER THIRD OF CONTROL AND EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS

Control Group Upper Third	Control Group Lower Third	Experimental Group Upper Third	Experimental Group Lower Third
-1.0 1 .6 9 .5 .2 6 .9 .9 .9 .8	3 .0 1.2 1.4 3.5 5 1.9 1.8 1.1 2.1	.1 .8 1.5 .5 .3 1.3 .5 .6 1.4 -1.3	3 2 -1.0 1.7 1.8 -1.4 3 .4 7 -1.7
Mean Gain 1.3 or Loss	12.2	5.7	- 1.7

The figures in Table III show the gain or loss in spelling achievement scores between the pre- and post-tests. These scores are for the upper and lower third of the control and experimental groups. The top ten and lowest ten scores on the post-test results were used to select the upper and lower third students for each group. The scores show a loss or gain in spelling achievement as measured by the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills. The reader is reminded that the experimental group used Hanna's modern linguistic approach and the control group used Hanna's older traditional approach.

To check the significance of the scores shown in Table III a t test was used. Table IV gives the results of the t tests. For all t scores shown in Table IV the degrees of freedom were 8; P was .05 with a critical ratio of 2.31 for t.

#### TABLE IV

RESULTS OF t TESTS FOR SCORES USED IN TABLE ITI

t For Cl and C3	t For Cl and El	t For Cl and E3	t For C3 and E1	t For C3 and E3	t For El and E3
-2,404346	-1,266540	<b>.</b> 680686	1,395815	2,579337	1,632088
C1 C3 E1 E3	= Control gro = Control gro = Experimenta = Experimenta				

Analysis of the results in Tables III and IV show the greatest growth in spelling achievement was made by the lower third of the control group. The least amount of growth was made by the lower third of the experimental group. The lower third of the experimental group had the only loss, -1.7 months, in spelling achievement between pre- and posttests. The lower third of the control group had the highest gain, 12.2 months, of all four groups. When the lower thirds were compared statistically at the .05 level, a significant difference was established. A critical ratio of 2.579337 is greater than the criterion critical ratio. This would seem to indicate a superiority of Hanna's traditional spelling approach over his linguistic approach when used to teach low achieving spelling students. The top third of the experimental group had a gain of 5.7 months. The lower third of the experimental group had a loss of -1.7 months. This would seem to indicate that the top third of the experimental students were able to discover and put to use the generalizations and principles presented in the linguistic approach, while the lower achieving third was unable to do this.

#### Conclusions

There was no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in spelling achievement. This lack of significant difference would indicate that a modern linguistic approach to spelling is not superior nor is it inferior to a more traditional approach. This study shows significantly that the lower third of the control group had greater spelling success using the older traditional spelling method than the lower third of the experimental group had using the newer linguistic method. This would indicate that low achieving spellers perhaps cannot use the linguistic method as easily and efficiently as the traditional method. The upper third of the control group did not gain as much as the upper third of the experimental group. It might be concluded from this that they were not sufficiently challenged by Hanna's traditional spelling approach. Evidence in this study would indicate that a variety of spelling approaches are needed to successfully cope with the individual student differences found in a typical classroom situation.

Is there a best way to teach spelling? This study lends support to the theory that there is no one best method of teaching spelling.

### Recommendations for Further Research

The researcher would like to have another study conducted in his school district at the earliest possible time. This is recommended because the sampling was small and used over a relatively short period of time. This is recommended also because the results of this research were significant, especially in the upper and lower thirds of the groups tested. (See Table TV.)

Perhaps this study shows that before purchasing new materials for classroom use, they should be carefully checked. This would perhaps insure proper placement, usage, and feasibility of these materials. Since Hanna used no particular recognized word list in his <u>Power to Spell</u> series it could be recommended that his linguistic principles and generalizations of spelling be used along with teaching a recognized basic list of spelling words.

26

BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Botel, M. "What Linguistics Says to This Teacher of Reading and Spelling," <u>Reading Teacher</u>, 18:188-193, December, 1964.
- 2. Groff, Patrick J. "The New Iowa Spelling Scale: How Phonic Is It?" The Elementary School Journal, 62:46-49, October, 1961.
- 3. Hahn, William P. "Phonics: A Boon to Spelling?" <u>Elementary School</u> Journal, 64:383-386, April, 1964.
- 4. Hanna, Paul R., and Jean S. Hanna. "The Teaching of Spelling," <u>National Elementary Principal</u>, 45:19-28, November, 1965.
- 5. Hanna, Paul R., and Jean S. Hanna. "Applications of Linguistics and Psychological Cues to the Spelling Course of Study," <u>Research</u> <u>On Handwriting and Spelling</u>, National Council of Teachers of English, Champaign, Illinois: 1966, pp. 43-49.
- 6. Hanna, Paul R., and Jean S. Hanna. "Spelling as a School Subject: A Brief History," National Elementary Principal, 38:8-23, May, 1959.
- 7. Hanna, Paul R., Jean S. Hanna, Richard E. Hodges, and Edwin H. Rudorf. <u>Phoneme-Grapheme Correspondences as Cues to Spelling Improvement.</u> <u>Bureau of Research, United States Department of Health, Education,</u> and Welfare, OE-32008, Washington: Government Printing Office, 1966.
- 8. Hanna, Paul R., Jean S. Hanna, Richard E. Hodges, and Edwin H. Rudorf. Power to Spell. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1967. 189 pp. Teachers Manual 32 pp.
- 9. Hanna, Paul R., and J. T. Moore. "Spelling From Spoken Word to Written Symbol," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, 53:329-337, February, 1953.
- 10. Hanna, Paul R., and Jean S. Hanna. <u>Building Spelling Power</u>. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1955. <u>112 pp. Teachers Manual</u> 87 pp.
- 11. Hildreth, Gertrude. <u>Teaching Spelling</u>. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1955, 346 pp.
- 12. Hodges, Richard E. "The Psychological Bases of Spelling," <u>Elementary</u> English, 42:629-635, October, 1965.
- 13. Horn, Ernest. "Phonetics and Spelling," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, 57:424-432, May, 1957.

- 14. Horn, Thomas D. "Research in Spelling," <u>Elementary English</u>, 37:174-177, March, 1960.
- 15. McGuigan, F. J. <u>Experimental Psychology A Methodological Approach</u>. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1960, 314 pp.
- Rosemier, R. A. "Effectiveness of Forwarning About Errors in Response--Selective Learning," Journal of Educational Psychology, 56:309-314, December, 1965.
- Rudorf, Hugh E., and Richard E. Hodges. "Searching Linguistics for Cues for the Teaching of Spelling," <u>Research on Handwriting and</u> <u>Spelling</u>, National Council of Teachers of English, Champaign, <u>111inois:</u> 1966, pp. 29-35.
- Shane, Harold G. Linguistics and the Classroom Teacher. Washington, D. C.: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, NEA, 1967. 120 pp.
- 19. Sister Evangelist Marie. "A Study of Teaching Rules in Spelling," Elementary English, 40:602-604, 647, October, 1963.
- 20. Williams, Joanna P., and Harry Levin. 'Word Perception: Psychological Bases," Education, 87:515-518, May, 1967.
- 21. Yee, Albert H. "The Generalization Controversy On Spelling Instruction," Elementary English, 43:154-161, February, 1966.

APPENDIX A

#### APPENDIX A

#### POWER TO SPELL SAMPLE LESSON

Some Sound Cues

Α.

1. Study the following groups of words containing /b/. How many ways is /b/ spelled?

(a)	<b>(</b> b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
belief	throb	label	lobster	ribbon
breezy	glib	mobile	cabinet	nibble

2. To discover the factors that influence the spelling of /b/, answer the following questions:

(1) In what part of the words in group (a) do you hear /b/? In group (b)?

(2) Say the words in group (c) and group (d) to yourself. In which syllable does /b/ occur? What kind of vowel sound comes before /b/ in group (c)? In group (d)? (3) Say the words in group (e):  $/rib \ge n/$ ,  $/nib \ge 1/$ . Where do you hear /b/ in these words? How is /b/ spelled? Compare the spelling of /b/ in group (e) with the rest of the words. What does this comparison tell you about the spelling of this sound?

3. If you could look at hundreds of words containing /b/, you would find that the rules you have just noted are very reliable. Thus the position of the sound determines the way /b/ is spelled. The sound is never spelled bb at the beginning of a word or after a long vowel in the middle of a word. With one exception /b/ is never spelled bb at the end of a word. This one exception is a word whose sound-spelling is /eb/. How is this word spelled? What does it mean?

4. See if you can discover a rule for spelling the sound you hear at the end of song, by studying the following words.

singer	cling	bang	hang
sink	clink	banker	hank

5. Write the following list of words on paper using the rules you have just reviewed to help you spell them correctly:

/flung	gk/	/b <b>ring</b> k/	/shringk/	/rong/
$(1)$ $\tilde{V}$	Vrite two v	ords that hav	e the sound	-spelling /rung/.
(2)	The name for spelling	or what part o /timg/?	f the body h	has the sound-

1. Say the following three words aloud to a neighbor and have him say them aloud to you: all, or, law. Do the vowels sound the same to you in all three words? There is nothing wrong with pronouncing or hearing them as slightly different. But most speakers of American English probably hear the same vowel sound in all three words. The symbol for this sound is  $/\delta/$ . The neighboring sounds can often give you a cue to these seemingly irregular spellings.

2. Copy the words below and arrange them in alphabetical order. They represent the most common spelling of /6/ before r. Look up any unfamiliar words in the Speller Dictionary to find their meanings.

former	resort	organize	mortal
fortunate	torture	abnormal	orbit

3. The four sound-spellings below represent an exception to this pattern for spelling  $/\delta/$  before r. Write the words on paper, using the Speller Dictionary if you need to. Then write a rule that tells when to use this spelling of  $/\delta/$  before r.

/thwort/ /kwort/ /sworm/ /worp/

4. Study the five familiar words below. The syllables in heavy type represent typical patterns of spelling /o/. By examining them, you can form some tentative rules for spelling this vowel sound. Write your rules on paper and number them, using the five sentences below the words as a guide.

reward	salt	because	autumn	jaw	
(1) When /0/	follows /w/,	it is usually	spelled		,
(2) When /8/	comes before	/1/, it is usual	ally spelled		,
(3) When /0/	comes before	/z/, it is usual	ally spelled		,
(4) When /0/	begins a wor	d, it is usuall	y spelled		,
(5) When /6/	ends a word,	it is usually	spelled		,

5. Test these rules on the words listed below. Copy each word on a paper and write after the word the number of the sentence in Exercise 3 that contains the rule that applies to it.

quarter	squawk	applause	recall	flaw
falter	clause	outward	authentic	audience

Β.

#### C. What Have You Learned?

Number a paper from 1 through 12. After each number write the word or words missing in the sentence of that number. Your teacher will say each sentence for you.

1.	His speech was much too .
2.	A sculpture that has moving parts is called a
3.	The mountain climber was to the of the cliff,
4.	The answer to the question was on the top of my
5.	This seashore was a choice for our vacation,
6.	The villain tried to the plans of our hero.
7.	These antiques will be sold next month.
8.	We have had an amount of rainfall this year.
9.	Only a few of us have as yet had the opportunity to
	the earth.
10.	Did you get to class before the bell had ?
11.	If you have good study habits, you will not the test.
12.	The him with prolonged

D.

1. In Part B you reviewed the rules for spelling  $/\delta/$ . As in the case of most rules, there are some exceptions to these rules also. Some of these exceptions are listed below.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
fault	crawl	awkward	WOIT
assault	shawl	awning	sworn
vault	sprawl	awful	

Write the following sentences on paper, and complete them by filling the blanks.

(1) Before /1/, /ô/ is usually spelled but in group (a) /ô/ is spelled and in group (b) /ô/ is spelled .
(2) At the beginning of a word, /ô/ is usually spelled ..., but in group (c) /ô/ is spelled ..., but in group (d) /ô/ is spelled ..., Write the homonym for worn.

2. Study the following words:

#### astronaut taught ought

In American English, /6/ followed by /t/ is always spelled in one of these three ways. It is not very difficult to memorize how to spell the few words in which  $/\delta/$  is followed by /t/. Your Study Steps will help you learn these special spellings.

#### E. Making Sure You Know

Number a paper from 1 through 20. Your teacher will give you a test on 20 words. Write only the test word after its number on your paper--not the sentence illustrating the use of the word. Use the Study Steps to help you remember any word you misspell.

Test 4E

Study Steps to Good Spelling: Say, Hear, See, Write

1. Say the word. Hear the sounds in the word.

- 2. Look at the word. Say the word again and notice the letter or group of letters that stands for each sound.
- 3. Think about the word and its meaning. Does it have either a prefix or a suffix?
- 4. If the word is spelled other than you thought it would be, study the part that seems unusual to you.
- 5. Cover the word and write it the way you remember it is spelled.
- 6. Uncover the word. Look at the word you wrote in Step 5. Did you spell it correctly? If not, study the word again.

APPENDIX B

#### APPENDIX B

#### BUILDING SPELLING POWER SAMPLE LESSON

#### Building Spelling Power Lesson 20

A. Using th as a Suffix

As your teacher reads the first three Spelling Words, listen for the sound you can hear in all three. This sound is always spelled th, no matter where it comes in a word.

As your teacher reads the rest of the Spelling Words, listen and look to find out in which words th is used as a suffix. In tenth, eleventh, and growth, you can see what the root word is. In depth, the th is added to the word deep, but a change is made in the root word. What is that change? Length really comes from long, but the short o becomes short e. What changes are made in the root words nine, eight, and twelve when the th suffix is added? The word throat begins with the same sound as throw and three. The last three Spelling Words are contractions. Help your class decide what two words were used to make each contraction.

The Spelling Words

nothing	<b>elevent</b> h	eighth	throat
method	growth	ninth	isn't
faith	depth	twelfth	shouldn't
tenth	length	twelve	doesn't

C. Word Study

Review words: month fourth

A month is called that because it is about the length of time between one full moon and the next. Remember that month and Monday were named for the moon. Fourth comes from the number four. It has a homonym, forth, which means forward.

Write the Review Words in the blank below where they make sense.

April is the \_\_\_\_\_ of the year.

Words Missed in First Test

Words Missed Last Week

B. First Test

D. Building Spelling Power

Often when you come to the end of a line in writing, you find that you don't have room to write the whole of the next word. If it is a word that can be divided correctly at the end of a line, use a hyphen to show that you are breaking the word and write the rest of the word on the following line.

There are two kinds of words which should never be broken at the end of a line. They are:

- 1. Words of three or four letters, even if they are twosyllable words such as any or lion.
- 2. Words of one syllable, even if they are long words such as stretched.

These two kinds of words must always be written on one line. Decide whether each word that follows may be correctly divided at the end of a line. If the word cannot be divided, write the whole word on the line which follows it. If it can be divided, check with your Speller Dictionary for the correct places to divide it. Then write the word on the line which follows it, putting a hyphen in each space between syllables. The first one is done for you.

understood		breakfast	
valley		beneath	
permission	and diverse determined and a state of the second	spread	

navy	disappear	****
fertile	 envy	
fifteen	scratched	
pleasant	fault	
author	 student	

35

On the fifth day of each lesson a test is given on the spelling words presented in Section A.

#### Final Test

 1. My baby brother
 like spinach. (doesn't)

 2. A meadow lark has a black mark on its
 . (throat)

 3. I know a good
 for proving long division. (method)

 4. It
 cold enough to freeze the pond. (isn't)

 5. There is
 John likes better than baseball. (nothing)

 6. There are
 eggs in a dozen. (twelve)

 7. Mother said I
 read when I had the measles. (shouldn't)

 8. If you have
 in a person, you trust him. (faith)

 9. The rate of
 of boxwood is very slow. (growth)

 10. The
 of this rug is six feet. (length)

 11. The skin diver reached a
 of seventy feet. (depth)

 12. Tuesday will be the
 . (ninth)

 13. Thursday will be the
 . (tenth)

 15. Saturday will be the
 . (tenth)

 16. Sunday will be the
 . (twelfth)

 17. December is the last
 of the year. (month)

 2. This is the
 time I have been to the circus. (fourth)

APPENDIX C

## APPENDIX C

# STATISTICAL RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS

# TABLE V

# EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESULTS

Student	I.Q. Score	Pre-Test Results	Post-Test Results	Difference
Vern B.	104	3.4	5.1	1.7
Debbie B.	103	5.8	6.2	.4
Barbara C.	104	5.9	5.7	2
Harry C.	109	6.0	5.7	3
Lou Ann C.	111	7.3	7.2	1
Debbie E.	103	7.4	7.7	.3
Brent F.	125	9.6	9.7	.1
Pam G.	104	6.8	6.2	6
Sam G.	104	3.1	4.9	1.8
John G.	107	3.8	5.7	1.9
Jeannine H.	101	6.3	5.3	-1.0
Sheila H.	117	8.5	7.2	-1.3
Stan J.	126	4.6	3,9	7
Jon K.	121	5.8	7.2	1.4
Randy L.	116	7.5	8,0	•2
Alfred L.	81	7.0	6.7	3
Terry M.	105	6.3	4.9	-1,4
Allan M.	116	8.3	9.1	•8
Jerry M.	88	3.8	4.2	.4
Terry M.	98	6.0	6.2	.2
Richard N.	113	5.4	6.0	•6
Renee P.	110	6.5	8.0	1.5
Kathy P.	105	6.3	5.7	- ,6
Yvonne S.	102	6.9	7.4	•2
Nancy S.	105	5.0	4.7	3
Randy S.	114	6.6	7.2	•6
Lesly S.	115	7.4	7.0	4
David S.	101	4.6	2.9	-1.7
Shari W.	111	6.5	6.0	5
Joyce Z.	113	6.3	7.6	1.3
Mean	107.73	6,15	6.31	

# TABLE VI

Student	I.Q. Score	Pre-Test Results	Post-Test Results	Difference
Shelly A.	88	6,5	6.0	5
Kelly A.	121	6.6	6.3	3
Paulette B.	102	6.5	6.5	•0
Karen B.	110	9.9	8.9	-1.0
Danny B.	109	5.0	6.2	1.2
Terry C.	105	3.4	5.3	1,9
Linda C.	114	6.2	7.0	•8
Bob C.	108	4.8	6.2	1.4
Dean C.	109	6.8	7.7	•9
Mark D.	103	7.3	7.4	.1
Roger D.	103	3.1	4.9	1.8
Rhett D.	131	8.1	8.7	•6
Lisa D.	94	6.0	6.7	•7
Sandra D.	110	7.8	8.3	•2
Carolyn E.	115	8.7	8.1	- ,6
Debbie F.	115	6.3	6.7	•4
Karen G.	110	7.2	8.1	•9
Jim G.	102	2.7	6.2	3,5
Ed H.	109	8.5	7.4	-1,1
Paula M.	97	9.0	8,9	1
Dan M.	115	9.4	8,5	• •9
Janet P.	114	7.0	6.8	2
Gaye R.	98	6.5	7.4	•9
Jim S.	102	3.8	4.9	1.1
Anita S.	93	6.3	6.3	•0
Cynthia U.	90	6.9	6.7	2
Ken W.	108	6.9	7.7	•8
Shane W.	116	8.1	8.3	•2
Wade Y.	105	2.1	4.2	2.1
Mean	106.75	6.46	6.97	

# TABLE VII

# STATISTICAL COMPARISONS

	Standard Deviation	Variance	Standard Error
Experimental I.Q.	perimental 9.56		1.74
Experimental Pre-Test	1.50	2,25	.27
Experimental Post-Test	1.51	2.28	.27
Control I.Q.	9.43	89.04	1.75
Control Pre-Test	1.99	3.98	.37
Control Post-Test	1.24	1.55	•23
t SCORES			
I.Q.	.394044		
Pre-Test	.662425		
Post-Test	1.847951		