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Budget & Planning Committee
Minutes
April 20, 2016

Present: Lene Pedersen, Sathy Rajendran, Aimée Quinn, Carey Gazis, Cathy Anderson, George Drake, Ian Loverro, Ken Smith, Michael Young, Kathy Temple, Wendy Cook

Absent: Brian Carroll and Raj Nataraja, Janet Finke and Tim Englund

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 11:03 a.m.

Sathy indicated that the Key Path proposal may be coming back in January. Chris Schedler has indicated that Central didn't come to an agreement with Key Path for fall quarter. Lene suggested a memo to Chris and Provost Hulbert indicating a need for broader campus approval before pursuing. The committee needs more information on what is happening now with this. Need to get whoever is in charge of this or UTAC and ask them to come to the committee to discuss what is happening.

Sathy moved to approve the March 17, 2016 minutes as amended. Lene seconded and minutes were approved.

BFC report – Kathy reported on the BFC meeting. There is a proposal to cut non-resident graduate tuition by 15%. With lower tuition they will be waiving less tuition for graduate students since most of them come in with TA. There is only a small handful of students who do not already receive the waivers. This would save money in long run. BFC approved course fees. There was a memo sent to COTS chairs regarding the budget process. It is consistent with what Joel indicated at the BFC memo. We will be doing Incremental budgeting and will have an RCM shadow budget visible in CatPlan. Departments should be able to see in CatPlan the differences. FY 18 will all be activity based budgeting. The Provost has discretion to subvent certain budgets. Any remaining money in spring quarter outside of the four colleges (CAH, COTS, CEPS, and CB) will be swept and will be going to the Provost and President for one time initiatives. They are putting in funds for computer replacement which is a successor to the win-win program. They will be replacing some of the course fees for technology with direct funds. There are still a lot of details that need to be worked out.

Subgroup reports

Indirect ASL funding - Aimée finally got data, and the subgroup will be meeting three times between the next meeting. They will have more to report at the next meeting.

RCM model – The group has a loose summary of responses from ADCO survey. It has been lightly edited to take out some department names. Before they go any further, they need to look through and make sure there aren't any identifiers and information is okay to be released. One of the strongest responses was whether uncertainty has affected you. There is also strong concern about summer budgets and departmental funding. There are a couple of threads of positive comments about RCM, along with frustration that they don't know if they will be receiving funds from RCM now. Unhealthy competition has developed. There are
approximately 39 total chairs and response was 18. It was discussed whether it is worthwhile to re-run it to try and get additional chairs.

Base funding - the group has not met.

Overhead – The group has still have not received multiple years of financial data. They had asked Institutional Effectiveness for enrollment data to 1990. That information does not exist until 2008.

Faculty Senate report - Lene reported on the full Senate meeting two weeks ago. The major issue was General education. The will be a Task Force to discuss what a Baccalaureate means at Central. The Executive Committee is working on a good process for redesigning the General Education program. This is likely be a two year process. Hope to have something before faculty before the end of this year.

Provost search – Sathy reported the president is meeting with the majority of stakeholders regarding the feedback. The committee gave some feedback regarding the candidates to Lene.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:15 p.m.