

4-15-2016

CWU Evaluation and Assessment Committee Minutes - 04/15/16

Janet Shields

Central Washington University, senate@cwu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fcminutes>

Recommended Citation

Shields, Janet, "CWU Evaluation and Assessment Committee Minutes - 04/15/16" (2016). *All Faculty Committee Minutes*. Paper 54.
<http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fcminutes/54>

This Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Committee Minutes at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU.

**Evaluation & Assessment
Minutes
April 15, 2016**

Present: James Bisgard, Jon Hudelson, Deepak Iyengar, Cody Stoddard

Absent: Nicole Stendell-Hollis

Guest(s): Tom Henderson and Rachel Mahnke

Meeting was called to order at 2:01 p.m.

John moved to approve the March 11, 2016 minutes. Minutes were approved as presented.

Rachel Mahnke – The SAS SEOI committee was looking at doing advertisement, but had some questions about SEOIs so decided to put that on hold. The committee would like to know what the purposes of SEOIs are from the faculty perspective. Students seem to have a negative opinion of SEOIs. Rachel suggested that from a marketing perspective, they need to be rebranded. Students would like more time to complete them. They are due at a time that is very busy for students. The notifications on Canvas are too many. When it pops up on a mobile phone, they can't click out of it. The "X" button isn't visible. Everyone time a student clicks on a different button, the reminder pops up again. Tom Henderson indicated he will look into some of these glitches.

Tom Henderson - SEOI raw data. Tom reported that he had a request from Stuart Boersma who wanted information by course and ratings for the first 18 questions. Tom asked the committee if Department Chairs should be able to view raw aggregate data. The committee will revisit this at the next meeting and Tom will do some additional research on EvalKit to see what is possible. The committee moved to have Tom make the data available to Janet who will put the information in DropBox for the committee. Motion was approved. The committee will discuss at the next meeting to see who and what the committee will do with the data.

James talked with the committee about doing a Senate motion regarding SEOI response rate data. Does the committee want an endorsement from Faculty Senate for the committee to get this kind of data in an effort to increase response rates? It was decided to just put this in the next Senate report that the committee will be gathering this data.

Senate & EC evaluations - Is the committee okay to use the same questions? James moved to send the questions as is to the EC for implementation. Motion was approved.

Meeting was adjourned at 3:19 p.m.