

11-6-2015

## CWU Evaluation and Assessment Committee Minutes - 11/06/15

Janet Shields

Central Washington University, senate@cwu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fcminutes>

---

### Recommended Citation

Shields, Janet, "CWU Evaluation and Assessment Committee Minutes - 11/06/15" (2015). *All Faculty Committee Minutes*. Paper 61.  
<http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fcminutes/61>

This Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Committee Minutes at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU.

**Evaluation & Assessment Committee**  
**November 6, 2015**  
**Minutes**

Present: James Bisgard, Nicole Stendell-Hollis, John Hudelson and Cody Stoddard

Absent: Deepak Iyengar and Allyson Klutenkamper

Guest: Hauke Harfst

Meeting committee called to order at 1:05 p.m.

Nicole moved to approve the October 9, 2015 minutes. James seconded and minutes were approved.

SEOI - Hauke Harfst - SAS SEOI committee. The committee spoke with Hauke regarding the concerns students have about the SEOIs. They have two committees working on this one working towards education and one towards advertising. Hauke indicated that most students don't understand what happens with SEOIs and what the process is. Students are also concerned about repercussion from faculty. Last year a student came to ASCWU about a professor that called a student out on the SEOI as the faculty recognized the students writing style. Hauke indicated they are currently working on a short 2 minute video that instructors could show in class about SEOIs. Hauke will get the results of the student survey they did last year to the committee.

James moves to nominate John Hudelson as chair through November 20th. Nicole seconded and motion was approved.

Scholarship & Service – The charge from the Executive Committee indicates the committee should send the framework to ADCO for feedback. The committee discussed how it is not real clear why they are doing this. Departments and colleges are too varied to come up with a general document. The committee decided to send a response back to the Executive Committee asking why are we doing this since all departments and colleges already have guidelines. What is the rationale for doing this?

James delegated to Cody to take the first charge 16.01 to get more guidance what the rationale, why are we doing this giving they already exist. John will email it to Executive Committee and Cody will follow-up. Nicole seconded and motion was approved.

Meeting was adjourned at 2:38 p.m.