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Present: Gary Bartlett, Jonathan Fassett, Lori Braunstein and Eric Cheney

Absent: Lila Harper

Guest(s): None

Meeting was convened at 3:06 p.m.

Changes to the Agenda - No changes were made to the agenda.

Approval of minutes - Lori moved to accept the minutes as read. Eric seconded and motion approved.

Proposed language in response to Charge ACBC12-13.07 (update Section IV of the Bylaws to add ex officio, non-voting membership for Dir. of Acad. Planning to Curriculum Cmte. and Gen. Ed. Cmte.) – The committee made a change to remove the Provost designee to the General Education Committee. Eric moved to accept the changes. Jon seconded and motion was approved.

Further discussion of Charge ACBC12-13.08, which has been returned to the BACC following concerns expressed by the Executive Committee regarding language and policy on Faculty Senate Hearings. Specific issues:

In order to require a Senate Hearing by petition, are 10 faculty a sufficient number of signatories?

Consider adding specific language enjoining the Senate and Executive Committee to give due consideration to all sides of an issue before acting on a petition.

Gary brought reported the discussion about the language for these changes he had with the Executive Committee. The Committee discussed the request to change Senate hearing to above 10 names on the petition. Senate is under no obligation to act favorably towards the petitioner's wishes. Due process needs to be given to all sides. Committee agreed that ten is an appropriate number. Gary will draft up information on language regarding due consideration. Petitioners cannot themselves or force a vote on their issue. A Senator must make a motion if so desired. If the Faculty Senate has decided to pursue this and the petitioners are requesting a specific Senate action or proposing a specific policy change....
Meeting was adjourned at 4:03 p.m..

*The next meeting is scheduled for March 4th, 3pm.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Back-burner:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Not yet begun:</strong></th>
<th><strong>In progress:</strong></th>
<th><strong>In Senate process:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Completed:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACBC12-13.03 (Bylaws &amp; Code consistency)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACBC12-13.08 (revise Section VIII of Bylaws and Section IV.F-K of Code)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACBC12-13.04 (former Codes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACBC12-13.11 (Q2S transition)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>